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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we consider a generalised subclass of analytic functions involving arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means. For this function class we obtain an inclusion result, Fekete-Szegö inequality and coefficient bounds for bi-univalent functions.


## 1 Introduction

Let $U_{r}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<r\} \quad(r>0)$ and let $U=U_{1}$ denote the unit disk.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the class of all analytic functions $f$ in $U$ of the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in U \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, by $\mathcal{S}$ we shall denote the class of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ which are univalent in $U$. It is known (see [6]) that if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then $f(U)$ contains the disk $\left\{|w|<\frac{1}{4}\right\}$. Here $\frac{1}{4}$ is the best possible constant known as the Koebe constant for $\mathcal{S}$. Thus every univalent function $f$ has an inverse $f^{-1}$ defined on some disk containing the disk $\left\{|w|<\frac{1}{4}\right\}$ and satisfying:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{-1}(f(z))=z, \quad z \in U \quad \text { and } \\
& f\left(f^{-1}(w)\right)=w, \quad|w|<r_{0}(f), r_{0}(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(w)=w-a_{2} w^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) w^{3}-\left(5 a_{2}^{3}-5 a_{2} a_{3}+a_{4}\right) w^{4}+\ldots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ the class of analytic functions which are starlike in $U$.
Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. We define the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\left[f(z)^{1-\alpha}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{1-\beta} \cdot\left[(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)\right]^{\beta}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. It is easy to observe that for specific values of $\beta$, the function $F(z)$ reduces to some generalised means. If $\beta=0$ we obtain generalised geometric means, if $\beta=1$ we obtain generalised arithmetic means and if $\beta=-1$ we obtain generalised harmonic means of functions $f(z)$ and $z f^{\prime}(z)$.
Definition 1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $H_{\alpha, \beta}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, if the function $F(z)$ defined by (3) is starlike, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{(1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in U . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove our main results we will need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. [9, p.24] Let $q \in Q$, with $q(0)=a$, and let $p(z)=a+a_{n} z^{n}+\cdots$ be analytic in $U$ with $p(z) \not \equiv a$ and $n \geq 1$. If $p$ is not subordinate to $q$ then there exist $z_{0}=r_{0} e^{i \theta_{0}} \in U$ and $\zeta_{0} \in \partial U \backslash E(q)$ and $m \geq n \geq 1$ for which $p\left(U_{r_{0}}\right) \subset q(U)$ and:

1. $p\left(z_{0}\right)=q\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$,
2. $z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m \zeta_{0} q^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$,
3. $\Re \frac{z_{0} p^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}+1 \geq m \Re\left[\frac{\zeta_{0} q^{\prime \prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)}{q^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)}+1\right]$.

Denote by $\mathcal{P}$ the class of analytic functions $p$ normalized by $p(0)=1$ and having positive real part in $U$.

Lemma 2. [6] Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ be of the form $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, z \in U$. Then the following estimates hold

$$
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2, n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Lemma 3. [4] If $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is of the form $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, z \in U$. Then

$$
\left|p_{2}-v p_{1}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}-4 v+2, & v \leq 0 \\ 2, & 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ 4 v-2, & v \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

When $v<0$ or $v>1$, the equality holds if and only if $p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations. If $0<v<1$ then the equality holds if and only if $p_{1}(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}$ or one of its rotations. If $v=0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$
p_{1}(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda\right) \frac{1+z}{1-z}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda\right) \frac{1-z}{1+z}, \quad \lambda \in[0,1]
$$

or one of its rotations. If $v=1$, the equality holds if and only if $p_{1}$ is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that the equality holds in the case of $v=0$.

## 2 Inclusion result

In this section we show that the new class $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a subclass of the class of starlike functions.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha \beta(1-\alpha) \geq 0$. Then

$$
H_{\alpha, \beta} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S}
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be in the class $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ and let $p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}$. Then from (4) we obtain that $f \in H_{\alpha, \beta}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\alpha(1-\beta) \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+\alpha \beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{1-\alpha+\alpha p(z)}\right\}>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}=1+q_{1} z+\cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Delta=q(\mathbb{D})=\{w: \Re w>0\}, q(0)=1, E(q)=\{1\}$ and $q \in Q$. To prove that $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ it is enough to show that

$$
\Re\left\{\alpha(1-\beta) \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+\alpha \beta \frac{z p^{\prime}(z)}{1-\alpha+\alpha p(z)}\right\}>0 \Rightarrow p(z) \prec q(z)
$$

Suppose that $p(z) \nprec q(z)$.Then, from Lemma 1, there exist a point $z_{0} \in U$ and a point $\zeta_{0} \in \partial U \backslash\{1\}$ such that $p\left(z_{0}\right)=q\left(\zeta_{0}\right)$ and $\Re p(z)>0$ for all $z \in U_{\left|z_{0}\right|}$. This implies that $\Re p\left(z_{0}\right)=0$, therefore we can choose $p\left(z_{0}\right)$ of the form $p\left(z_{0}\right):=i x$, where $x$ is a real number. Due to symmetry, it is sufficient to consider only the case where $x>0$. We have

$$
\zeta_{0}=q^{-1}\left(p\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\frac{p\left(z_{0}\right)-1}{p\left(z_{0}\right)+1}
$$

then $z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=m \zeta_{0} q^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=-m\left(x^{2}+1\right):=y$, where $y<0$. Thus, we obtain:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Re\left[\alpha(1-\beta) \frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right]+\Re\left[\alpha \beta \frac{z_{0} p^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{1-\alpha+\alpha p\left(z_{0}\right)}\right]= \\
=\Re\left[\alpha(1-\beta) \frac{y}{i x}\right]+\Re\left[\frac{\alpha \beta y}{1-\alpha+\alpha i x}\right]=0+\frac{y[\alpha \beta(1-\alpha)]}{|1-\alpha+\alpha i x|^{2}} \leq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem, therefore $p \prec q$ and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

## 3 Fekete-Szegö problem

In 1933 M. Fekete and G. Szegö obtained sharp upper bounds for $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for $f \in S$ and $\mu$ real number. For this reason, the determination of sharp upper bounds for the non-linear functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for any compact family $\mathbb{F}$ of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is popularly known as the Fekete-Szegö problem for $\mathbb{F}$. For different subclasses of $S$, the Fekete-Szegö problem has been investigated by many authors ( see [2], [4], [11]).

In this section we will solve the Fekete-Szegö problem for the class $H_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are positive real numbers.
Theorem 2. Let $\alpha, \beta, \mu$ be positive real numbers. If the function $f$ given by (1) belongs to the class $H_{\alpha, \beta}$, then

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{-4 \mu}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}+\frac{2(\alpha-1)(1+\alpha \beta)+(\alpha+1)(5+\alpha)}{(1+2 \alpha)(1+\alpha)^{2}} & , \quad \mu \leq \sigma_{1} \\ \frac{1}{1+2 \alpha} & , \quad \sigma_{1} \leq \mu \leq \sigma_{2} \\ \frac{4 \mu}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}-\frac{2(\alpha-1)(1+\alpha \beta)+(\alpha+1)(3-\alpha)}{(1+2 \alpha)(1+\alpha)^{2}} & , \quad \mu \geq \sigma_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{1}=\frac{1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta}{2(1+2 \alpha)}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{2+5 \alpha+\alpha^{2}-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta}{2(1+2 \alpha)}
$$

Proof. Let $f$ be in the class $H_{\alpha}, \beta$ and let $p \in \mathcal{P}$. From (4) we obtain

$$
\left\{(1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}=p(z)
$$

Since $f$ has the Taylor series expansion (1) and $p(z)=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, z \in$ $U$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1+(1+\alpha) a_{2} z+\left[2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}-\left(1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta\right) a_{2}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\ldots=  \tag{7}\\
=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, equating the coefficients of $z^{2}$ and $z^{3}$ in (7), we obtain

$$
a_{2}=\frac{p_{1}}{1+\alpha}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha}\left[p_{2}+\frac{\left(1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta\right) p_{1}^{2}}{(1+\alpha)^{2}}\right] .
$$

So, we have

$$
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2(1+2 \alpha)}\left(p_{2}-v p_{1}^{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\frac{2(1+2 \alpha)}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} \mu-\frac{1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta}{(1+\alpha)^{2}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, our result follows as an application of Lemma 3.

## 4 Subclass of bi-univalent function

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $U$ if both $f$ and $f^{-1}$ are univalent in $U$. Let $\sigma$ be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ such that the inverse function $f^{-1}$ has an univalent analytic continuation to $\{|w|<1\}$. The class $\sigma$, called the class of bi-univalent functions, was introduced by Levin [7] who showed that $\left|a_{2}\right|<1.51$. Branan and Clunie [3] conjectured that $\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}$. On the other hand, Netanyahu [10] showed that $\max _{f \in \sigma}\left|a_{2}\right|=\frac{4}{3}$. Several authors have studied similar problems in this direction (see [1] [5], [8], [12], [13]).

We notice that the class $\sigma$ is not empty. For example, the following functions are members of $\sigma$ :

$$
z, \frac{z}{1-z},-\log (1-z), \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z} .
$$

However, the Koebe function is not a member of $\sigma$. Other examples of univalent functions that are not in the class $\sigma$ are

$$
z-\frac{z^{2}}{2}, \frac{z}{1-z^{2}} .
$$

In the sequel we assume that $\varphi$ is an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk $U$, satisfying $\varphi(0)=1, \varphi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and such that $\varphi(U)$ is symmetric with respect to the real axis. Assume also that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+B_{1} z+B_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, \quad B_{1}>0 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $H_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi), \alpha \in$ $[0,1], \beta \geq 0$, if $f \in \sigma$ and satisfies the following conditions:

$$
(1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)} \prec \varphi(z)
$$

and
$(1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\alpha w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\alpha) g(w)+\alpha w g^{\prime}(w)} \prec \varphi(w)$,
where $g$ is the extension of $f^{-1}$ to $U$.
Theorem 3. If $f \in H_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi)$ is in $\mathcal{A}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{|\tau| B_{1} \sqrt{B_{1}}}{\sqrt{\left|[(1+\alpha)+\alpha \beta(1-\alpha)] B_{1}^{2}-\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(1+\alpha)^{2}\right|}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq B_{1}\left[\frac{1}{1+\alpha}+\frac{\alpha \beta(1-\alpha)}{2(1+2 \alpha)(1+\alpha)}\right]+\frac{\left|B_{2}-B_{1}\right|}{1+\alpha} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in H_{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi)$ and $g=f^{-1}$. Then there exist two analytic functions $u, v: U \rightarrow U$ with $u(0)=v(0)=0$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)}=\varphi(u(z)) \text { and }  \tag{12}\\
& (1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{w^{\prime}(w)+\alpha w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\alpha) g(w)+\alpha w g^{\prime}(w)}=\varphi(v(w)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Define the functions $p$ and $q$ by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+u(z)}{1-u(z)}=1+p_{1} z+p_{2} z^{2}+\ldots, q(z)=\frac{1+v(z)}{1-v(z)}=1+q_{1} z+q_{2} z^{2}+\ldots
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z)=\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1} z+\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2} z^{2}\right)+\ldots\right] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(z)=\frac{q(z)-1}{q(z)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[q_{1} z+\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2} z^{2}\right)+\ldots\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ and, in view of Lemma 2, we have that $\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2$ and $\left|q_{n}\right| \leq 2$, for $n \geq 1$.

Further, using (13) and (14) together with (9), it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(u(z))=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} z+\left(\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\ldots\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(v(z))=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} z+\left[\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\ldots . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, in view of (12), (15) and (16) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)} \\
& \quad=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1} z+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2}\right) z^{2}+\ldots \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\alpha w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\alpha) g(w)+\alpha w g^{\prime}(w)} \\
& \quad=1+\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} w+\left(\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{1}{2} q_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}\right) w^{2}+\ldots \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f \in \sigma$ has the Taylor series expansion (1) and $g=f^{-1}$ the series expansion (2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)+\alpha z^{2} f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{(1-\alpha) f(z)+\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)} \\
= & 1+(1+\alpha) a_{2} z+\left[2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}-\left(1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta\right) a_{2}^{2}\right] z^{2}+\ldots, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\beta)\left[(1-\alpha) \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)}{g(w)}+\alpha\left(1+\frac{w g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{g^{\prime}(w)}\right)\right]+\beta \frac{w g^{\prime}(w)+\alpha w^{2} g^{\prime \prime}(w)}{(1-\alpha) g(w)+\alpha w g^{\prime}(w)} \\
= & 1-(1+\alpha) a_{2} w-\left[2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}-\left(1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta\right)\left(a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}\right)\right] w^{2}+\ldots . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficients in (17), (19) and (18), (20), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1+\alpha) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{1}  \tag{21}\\
2(1+2 \alpha) a_{3}-\left(1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta\right) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(p_{2}-\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} p_{1}^{2} \\
-(1+\alpha) a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} q_{1} \\
-2(1+2 \alpha)\left(a_{3}-2 a_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta\right) a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1}\left(q_{2}-\frac{q_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} B_{2} q_{1}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the first and the third equation of the system (21) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=-q_{1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\left(\frac{B_{1} p_{1} \tau}{4(1+\gamma)}\right)^{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, (22), (23) and the next two equations of the system (21) lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{B_{1}^{3}\left(p_{2}+q_{2}\right)}{4[(1+\alpha)+\alpha \beta(1-\alpha)] B_{1}^{2}-4\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right)(1+\alpha)^{2}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in view of Lemma 2, we obtain the desired estimation of $\left|a_{2}\right|$.
From the third and the fourth equation of (21), we obtain
$a_{3}=\frac{1}{2} B_{1} p_{2} \frac{3+5 \alpha+\alpha \beta(1-\alpha)}{4(1+2 \alpha)(1+\alpha)}+\frac{1+3 \alpha-\alpha \beta(1-\alpha)}{4(1+2 \alpha)(1+\alpha)}+\frac{1}{4} p_{1}^{2}\left(B_{2}-B_{1}\right) \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$,
which yields to the estimate given by (11) and so the proof of Theorem 3 is completed.
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