

An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța

SOME EXAMPLES OF REAL DIVISION ALGEBRAS

Cristina Flaut

Abstract

It is known, by Frobenius Theorem, that the only division associative algebras over \mathbb{R} are $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$. In 1958 Bott and Milnor showed that the finite-dimensional real division algebra can have only dimensions 1, 2, 4, 8. The algebras \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{O} , first, second and third are associative and the fourth is non-associative, are the only finite-dimensional alternative real division algebras. In [Ok, My; 80] is given a construction of division non-unitary non-alternative algebras over an arbitrary field K with $charK \neq 2$. In this paper we analyse a case when these algebras are isomorphic.

The algebras \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{O} are **flexible** (i.e. (xy) x = x (yx), for all x, y) and every element of these algebras satisfies the quadratic equation: $x^2 - t(x)x + n (x) e = 0$, where t is a linear and n is a quadratic form.

Each of these algebras is a **composition** algebras, i.e. has an associated symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form $(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} [n (x + y) - n (x) - n (y)]$, permitting composition:

$$(xy, xy) = (x, y) (y, y).$$
 (1)

Let A be an arbitrary algebra. A vector spaces morphism $f : A \to A$ is an **involution** if f(xy) = f(y) f(x) and $f(f(x)) = x, \forall x \in A$.

Proposition 1.[Ok, My; 80] Let A be a finite dimensional composition algebra over a field K with $charK \neq 2$ and let (x, y) be its associated symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form defined on A. If we have the relations:

$$x(yx) = (xy) x = (x, x) y,$$
 (2)

Key Words: composition algebra, division algebra, flexible algebra, Hurwitz algebra, power-associative algebra

Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 17D05, 17D99

⁶⁹

then A has the dimension 1, 2, 4 or $8.\square$

Proposition 2. [Ok, My; 80] Let A be an algebra over the field K with $charK \neq 2$, and (x, y) the associated symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form. Then A satisfies the relation (2) if and only if (x, y) is associative, that means:

$$(xy,z) = (x,yz), x, y, z \in A,$$
(3)

and (x, y) permits composition.

Proposition 3. [Ok, My; 80] Let A be a finite-dimensional composition algebra over the field K, with $charK \neq 2$ and (x, y) a symmetric bilinear form on A. Then A is a division algebra if and only if $(x, x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0$, $x \in A$.

Let $sl(3,\mathbb{C})$ be the Lie algebra of the complex matrices of order three with the zero trace.

We define the multiplication x * y in $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$:

$$x * y = \mu xy + (1 - \mu) yx - \frac{1}{3} Tr(xy) I, \qquad (4)$$

where xy is the multiplication of the matrices x and y, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu \neq \frac{1}{2}$ and I is the identity matrix.

Since, for $x, y \in sl(3, \mathbb{C}), Tr(xy) = 0, sl(3, \mathbb{C})$ becomes an algebra over \mathbb{C} with the multiplication defined by the relation (4).

Suppose that $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the equation:

$$3\mu \left(1 - \mu \right) = 1. \tag{5}$$

We define the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form:

$$(x,y) = \frac{1}{6} Tr(xy), x, y \in sl(3,\mathbb{C}), \qquad (6)$$

and the associated quadratic form:

$$N(x) = (x, x) = \frac{1}{6}Trx^{2}.$$
(7)

Obviously, this bilinear form is associative and permits composition:

$$N(x * y) = N(x) N(y).$$
(8)

Using the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem, the relation (8) gives us the equation:

$$x^{3} - \frac{1}{2} (Trx^{2}) x - \frac{1}{3} (Trx^{2}) I = 0, \text{ for } x \in sl(3, \mathbb{C})$$
(9)

and

$$Trx^4 = \frac{1}{2} \left(Trx^2 \right)^2. \tag{10}$$

The algebra $sl(3, \mathbb{C})$, with the multiplication given by the relations (4) and (5), is called the **pseudo-octonions algebra**. This algebra is a simple flexible non-associative algebra without unity element.

Let $\bar{A} = \{x \in (sl(3, \mathbb{C}), *) / \bar{x}^t = x\}$. Since $\bar{\mu} = 1 - \mu$ is the conjugate of μ , it follows from (4) that $(\overline{x * y})^t = x * y$, for all $x, y \in \bar{A}$. Therefore, $(\bar{A}, *)$ becomes an algebra over \mathbb{R} , called the **real pseudo-octononion algebra**. So that, this algebra gives us a new example of real division algebra without unity element, with dimension 8.

Let A be a composition algebra over the field K with e the unit element. We have the relation:

$$x^{2} - 2(e, x) x + (x, x) e = 0, \forall x \in A,$$
(11)

with (x, y) the associated nondegenerated bilinear form. Then the algebra A has the dimensions 1, 2, 4 or 8 and it is a quaternion or octonion algebra when dim A = 4 or dim A = 8. Let $x \in A$. We denoted by $\bar{x} = 2(e, x)e - x$, and it is called the **conjugate** of x.

We define a new multiplication on A:

$$x \circ y = \bar{x}\bar{y} = -yx + 2(e, yx)e. \tag{12}$$

The algebra A defined in (12) is denoted A_e . It satisfies the relation (2) and:

$$x \circ e = e \circ x = \bar{x}.\tag{13}$$

It is obvious that (e, e) = 1 and $e \circ e = e$.

An element $e \in A$ with the properties

$$x \circ e = e \circ x = \bar{x}, (e, e) = 1 \text{ and } e \circ e = e \tag{14}$$

is called the **pseudo-unit** or **para-unit** of the algebra A.

If O is a real octonion algebra with the unit element e, then the real algebra O_e defined by (12) is called the **para-octonion algebra** and has the para-unit e. The real pseudo-octonion algebra and para-octonion algebra are division algebras.

Proposition 4. [Ok, My; 80] Let A be an algebra over the field K, with $charK \neq 2$, wich satisfies the condition of Proposition 2. Let $\gamma \in K$ and $g \in A$ be arbitrary elements such that:

$$\gamma \neq \frac{1}{(g,g)}.\tag{15}$$

Let $A(\gamma, g)$ be an algebra defined on the vector space A with multiplication x * y given by:

$$x * y = -yx + \gamma \left(g, \, yx\right)g. \tag{16}$$

If $(x,x) \neq 0$ for $x \neq 0, x \in A$, then $A(\gamma,g)$ is a division algebra.

Proof. [Ok, My; 80]For $\gamma = 2$ and g = e, we obtain the para-octonion algebra. For $a \neq 0, b \in A(\gamma, g)$ the equations a * x = b and y * a = b become:

$$-xa + \gamma \left(g, xa\right)g = b. \tag{17}$$

We multiply the relation (17) to the left side with a and we get:

$$-(a,a)x = \gamma(g,xa)ag + ab.$$
(18)

We apply the (\cdot, g) in the relation (17) and we obtain:

$$(g, xa) [-1 + \gamma (g, g)] = (g, b).$$
(19)

Since $(a, a) \neq 0$, it results that the equation a * x = b has a unique solution:

$$x = -\frac{1}{(a,a)} \left[ab + \frac{\gamma(b,g)}{-1 + \gamma(g,g)} ag \right].$$

Similarly, we get that the equation y * a = b has the unique solution:

$$y = -\frac{1}{(a,a)} \left[ba + \frac{\gamma(b,g)}{-1 + \gamma(g,g)} ga \right]$$

Since (x, y) permits composition on A, it follows from (16) that (x, y) permits composition on $A(\gamma, g)$ if and only if we have:

$$\gamma (g, yx)^2 [\gamma (g, g) - 2] = 0.$$
 (20)

Since (x, y) is nondegenerate if $g \neq 0$, we get:

$$\gamma = 0 \text{ or } \gamma = \frac{2}{(g,g)} \Box$$
 (21)

Proposition 5. Let (A, \cdot) be a unitary finite-dimensional algebra over the field K, with charK $\neq 2$, which satisfies the conditions in Proposition 4 and $A(\gamma, g)$ be the algebra defined by (16).

a) In algebra $A(\gamma, e)$, the map $f(x) = \bar{x}$ is an involution.

b) If A' is an unitary finite-dimensional algebra which satisfies the conditions in Proposition 4, $f : A \to A'$ is an algebra isomorphism and $\gamma = \gamma'$, f(g) = g', (x, y) = (f(x), f(y)), then $(A(\gamma, g)) \simeq (A'(\gamma, g'))$. **Proof.** a) $\bar{x} * \bar{y} = -\bar{y}\bar{x} + \gamma(e,\bar{y}\bar{x})e$ and $\overline{y * x} = -\bar{y}\bar{x} + \gamma(e,xy)e$. Since $(x,y) = (\bar{x},\bar{y})$, and the bilinear form (\cdot,\cdot) is associative, we get that f is an involution.

b) By calculation, we obtain $f(x * y) = -f(yx) + \gamma(g, yx) f(g)$, and $f(x)*f(y) = -f(y) f(x) + \gamma(g', f(y) f(x)) g' = -f(yx) + \gamma(f(g), f(yx)) f(g)$. By hypothesis, we get that f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y) so that $(A(\gamma, g)) \simeq (A'(\gamma, g'))$, because f is a bijective map. \Box

The algebra $A(\gamma, g)$ is not in general flexible and associative. The associativity law (x * y) * x = x * (y * x) is equivalent with

$$\gamma(g, xy) gx + \gamma(g, (x * y) x) g = \gamma(g, yx) xg + \gamma(g, x(y * x)) g.$$

Let O be a real division octonion algebra with the unit e. The associated para-octonion algebra O_e , is a division algebra with the para-unit e and satisfies the conditions on the Proposition 4. Then the multiplication x * y in $O_e(\gamma, e)$ is

$$x * y = -y \circ x + \gamma (e, y \circ x) e = xy - (2 - \gamma) (e, xy) e,$$
(22)

with (e, e) = 1.

Using (22) we get then:

$$(x * y) * x - x * (y * x) = 0$$

since O is flexible and (e, xy) = (e, yx). It results that $O_e(\gamma, e)$ is flexible, but it doesn't have the identity element only if $\gamma = 2$. Indeed, we suppose that f is a unit element for $O_e(\gamma, e)$. Then, by (22), $f = \alpha e$ with $\alpha = 1 + (2 - \gamma)(e, f)$. Since (e, e) = 1 it results $\alpha = 1 + (2 - \gamma)\alpha$. Hence we get that f is not a unit element in $O_e(\gamma, e)$ only if $\gamma = 2$.

REFERENCES

[Be, Br, Os; 82] Benkart, G.M., Britten, D.J., Real flexible division algebras, Canad. J. Math., **34**(1982), 550-588.

[En; 88] Enciclopaedia Mathematica, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1988.

[Go; 94] Gonzales, S. (Ed.), Non-associative algebras and its applications, Kluwer Academic Publisher, 1994.

[Ka; 53] Kaplansky, I., Infinite-dimensional quadratic forms admitting composition, Proc. Amer. Math., 4(1953), 956-960.

[Ko, Sh; 95] Kostrikin, A. I., Shafarevich, I.R. (Eds), *Algebra VI*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[Ok, My; 80] Okubo, S., Myung, H. C., Some new classes of Division Algebras, J. of Algebra, **67**(1980), 479-490. [Ok,Os; 81 (1)] Okubo, S., Osborne, J.M., Algebras with nondegenerate associative symmetric bilinear forms permitting composition, I, Comm. Algebra **9**(12)(1981), 1233-1261.

[Ok,Os; 81(2)] Okubo, S., Osborne, J.M., Algebras with nondegenerate associative symmetric bilinear forms permitting composition, II, Comm. Algebra **9(20)**(1981), 2015-2073,.

[Os; 62] Osborn, J. M., Quadratic Division Algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **115**(1962), 202-221.

[Sc; 66] Schafer, R. D., An Introduction to Nonassociative Algebras, Academic Press, New-York, 1966.

Department of Mathematics, Ovidius University Bd. Mamaia 124, 900527 Constantza, Romania E-mail: cflaut@univ-ovidius.ro, cristina_flaut@yahoo.com