



Pseudo-contractibility Of Weighted L^p –Algebras

Fatemeh Abtahi

Abstract

Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G . Recently, we introduced the Lebesgue weighted L^p –algebra $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$. Here, we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ to be ϕ –contractible, pseudo-contractible or contractible. Moreover we give some similar results about $L^p(G, \omega)$.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach algebra and X be a Banach \mathcal{A} –bimodule. A derivation is a linear map $D : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

A derivation D from \mathcal{A} into X is inner if there is $\xi \in X$ such that

$$D(a) = a\xi - \xi a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

A Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is called contractible if every continuous derivation from \mathcal{A} into any Banach \mathcal{A} –bimodule is inner [15]. Accordingly, \mathcal{A} is contractible if and only if it has a diagonal, i.e. there is an element $m \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ for which

Key Words: Contractible, Lebesgue weighted L^p –algebra, ϕ –contractible, pseudo-contractible, weight function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 43A15, Secondary 43A20.

Received: April, 2012.

Revised: May, 2012.

Accepted: February, 2013.

$am = ma$ and $\pi(m)a = a$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ [15]. Here and in the sequel, π always denotes the product morphism from $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ into \mathcal{A} , specified by

$$\pi(a \otimes b) = ab.$$

In fact every contractible Banach algebra is unital. The structure of contractible Banach algebras has been studied by many authors; see for example [15], [24] and also [26]. Moreover, the concept of pseudo-contractibility was introduced and investigated by Ghahramani and Zhang [14], according to the existence of a central approximate diagonal; i.e., a net (m_α) in $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\|\pi(m_\alpha)a - a\| \rightarrow_\alpha 0$$

and also $am_\alpha = m_\alpha a$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and all α . Although there are many pseudo-contractible Banach algebras which are not contractible, but it has been proved that pseudo-contractibility of the unitization algebra of any Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is equivalent to contractibility of \mathcal{A} [14, Theorem 2.4].

Moreover, let $\phi \in \Omega(\mathcal{A})$, the spectrum space of \mathcal{A} consisting of all non-zero characters on \mathcal{A} . Then \mathcal{A} is called ϕ -contractible if there is a ϕ -diagonal, i.e. an element $m \in \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\phi(\pi(m)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad am = \phi(a)m,$$

for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The notion of ϕ -contractibility of \mathcal{A} was laid by Hu, Monfared, and Traynor [17]. Furthermore it has been investigated ϕ -contractibility of some classes of Banach algebras; see for example [2].

In the present paper, we present some characterizations for contractibility, pseudo-contractibility and also ϕ -contractibility of the Lebesgue weighted L^p -algebra $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ and also weighted L^p -algebra $L^p(G, \omega)$, endowed with convolution product. In fact all of the obtained results about $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$, can be considered as the consequences for Segal algebra $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$; see [20] for basic definitions and information concerning Segal algebras.

2 Preliminaries

Let G be a locally compact group with a fixed left Haar measure λ , and let ω be a weight function on G ; that is, a positive Borel measurable function on G . The weight function ω is of left moderate growth on G if for each $x \in G$,

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_y \frac{\omega(xy)}{\omega(y)} < \infty.$$

For $1 < p < \infty$, the weighted L^p -space $L^p(G, \omega)$ with respect to λ is the set of all complex valued measurable functions f on G such that $f\omega \in L^p(G)$, the

usual Lebesgue space as defined in [16]. We denote this space by $\ell^p(G, \omega)$ when G is discrete. Then $L^p(G, \omega)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{p, \omega}$ defined by $\|f\|_{p, \omega} = \|f\omega\|_p$, for all $f \in L^p(G, \omega)$. Recently, in [1] we introduced the Lebesgue weighted L^p -space $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G) := L^1(G) \cap L^p(G, \omega)$ with norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)} = \|f\|_1 + \|f\|_{p, \omega}$, and also the space $L^\infty(G) + L^q(G, 1/\omega)$ as its dual, with the action

$$\langle \phi + \psi, f \rangle = \int_G \phi f d\lambda + \int_G \psi f d\lambda \quad (f \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G))$$

and the norm of $F = \phi + \psi$ being

$$\|F\|_{\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)^*} = \inf\{\max\{\|\phi\|_\infty, \|\psi\|_{q, 1/\omega}\}\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\phi \in L^\infty(G)$ and $\psi \in L^q(G, 1/\omega)$ such that $F = \phi + \psi$. Let \mathcal{A} be one of the spaces $L^p(G, \omega)$ or $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ and let \mathcal{A}^* be its dual space. We will denote by $\tilde{G}_\mathcal{A}$ the subset of \mathcal{A}^* , consisting of all continuous homomorphisms $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

It should be noted that the spaces $L^p(G, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ and also their algebraic properties under the convolution product, have been studied very more completely in the decade of 1970. We found a lot of interesting results related to these algebras in many earlier publications. We just refer to some of them such as [7], [8], [9], [11], [12], [13] and also [25].

Note that $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is a Banach algebra whenever $L^p(G, \omega)$ is a Banach algebra. We provide two examples in this field. The following examples have been essentially introduced in [9, page 454].

Example 2.1. *Take G to be either of \mathbb{R}^m or \mathbb{Z}^m .*

1. *Define the weight function ω_a on G by*

$$\omega_a(x) = (1 + |x|)^a \quad (x \in G).$$

Then $L^2(G, \omega_a)$ is a Banach algebra whenever $a > m/2$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,2}(G)$ is a Banach algebra, as well.

2. *Define the weight function ω on G by*

$$\omega(x) = 1 + |x_1|^{a_1} + \cdots + |x_m|^{a_m} \quad (x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in G),$$

whenever $0 \leq a_i < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,2}(G)$ is a Banach algebra.

It is noticeable to know that the space $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is in fact a weighted case of Segal algebra $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$. However there are important differences in their structures as Banach algebras. For instance, consider \mathbb{Z} , the additive

group of the integer numbers, and take a weight function ω on \mathbb{Z} such that it is not submultiplicative and also $1/\omega \in \ell^q(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ is always a Banach algebra whereas $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(\mathbb{Z}) = \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, \omega)$ is not necessarily a Banach algebra. In fact on a discrete group, the weight of any Banach algebra for all $p \geq 1$ is submultiplicative; see [19, page 573].

From now on, we assume that G is a locally compact group and ω is a weight function on G such that $L^p(G, \omega)$ or $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ are Banach algebras under convolution, in their own situations. Moreover, we take as assumption that there are some $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$. Note that the trivial character $\rho = 1$ always belongs to \tilde{G}_A , whenever $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$. If $\mathcal{A} = L^p(G, \omega)$, then $1 \in \tilde{G}_A$ if and only if $1/\omega \in L^q(G)$. For example this condition is satisfied whenever G is abelian [18].

If $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ or $L^p(G, \omega)$ is a Banach algebra, then [19, Lemma 2.1] implies that ω^p is locally summable; that is $\omega \in L^p(K)$, for each compact subset K of G .

For completeness, we also turn the attention to the spectrum space of \mathcal{A} , where \mathcal{A} is one of the Banach algebras $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ or $L^p(G, \omega)$. It is not hard to see that each of them contains characters ϕ_ρ , defined by

$$\phi_\rho(f) = \int_G f(x)\rho(x)d\lambda(x),$$

where $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$. See [18] for the proof of this statement, whenever $\mathcal{A} = L^p(G, \omega)$ and G is abelian.

3 Main Results

We commence this section with the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G and $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible.
- (ii) $L^p(G, \omega)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible.
- (iii) G is compact.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ be ϕ_ρ -contractible. By assumption, there exists $m \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ such that for all $h \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$

$$\phi_\rho(\pi(m)) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad h * \pi(m) = \phi_\rho(h)\pi(m).$$

Set $g = \rho\pi(m)$. So $g \in L^1(G)$ and also $\phi_1(g) = \phi_\rho(\pi(m)) = 1$. Thus $f * g = \phi_1(f)g$, for all $f \in C_{00}(G)$; indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f * g &= f * (\rho\pi(m)) \\ &= \rho((\tilde{\rho}f) * \pi(m)) \\ &= \rho\phi_1(f)\pi(m) \\ &= \phi_1(f)g. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_{00}(G)$ is dense in $L^1(G)$, then for each $f \in L^1(G)$

$$f * g = \left(\int_G f(x) d\lambda(x) \right) g,$$

almost every where on G . It follows that G is compact; see for example [21, Exercise 1.1.7].

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let G be compact. Since ω^p is locally summable, it follows that $\rho \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$. Set $m = \tilde{\rho} \otimes \rho$, where $\tilde{\rho}(x) = \rho(x^{-1})$, for each $x \in G$. Then

$$\phi_\rho(\pi(m)) = \phi_\rho(\tilde{\rho})\phi_\rho(\rho) = 1.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ and all $g, h \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((f * \tilde{\rho}) \otimes \rho)(g, h) &= g(f * \tilde{\rho})h(\rho) \\ &= h(\rho) \int_G \int_G g(x)f(y)\tilde{\rho}(y^{-1}x)d\lambda(y)d\lambda(x) \\ &= h(\rho) \int_G g(x)\tilde{\rho}(x)d\lambda(x) \int_G f(y)\rho(y)d\lambda(y) \\ &= h(\rho)\langle g, \tilde{\rho} \rangle \phi_\rho(f) \\ &= \phi_\rho(f)(\tilde{\rho} \otimes \rho)(g, h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for each $f \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$,

$$(f * \tilde{\rho}) \otimes \rho = \phi_\rho(f)(\tilde{\rho} \otimes \rho).$$

It follows that $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible and so (i) is equivalent to (iii). One can easily follow the same steps to prove the equivalence between (ii) and (iii). \square

We state here the following theorem, as an immediate result of Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible, for all $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible, for some $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$.
- (iii) $L^p(G, \omega)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible, for all $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$.
- (iv) $L^p(G, \omega)$ is ϕ_ρ -contractible, for some $\rho \in \tilde{G}_A$.
- (v) G is compact.

By [2], every pseudo-contractible Banach algebra \mathcal{A} is ϕ -contractible, for each $\phi \in \Omega(\mathcal{A})$. Thus the following result is obtained from Proposition 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G . If $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ or $L^p(G, \omega)$ is pseudo-contractible then G is compact.*

It would be interesting to know whether if the converse of Corollary 3.3 also holds. An affirmative answer for a particular case is given bellow. As a basic property of Segal algebras, $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is always a Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module. Also [22, Theorem 3.5] implies that $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is pseudo-contractible if and only if G is compact. Since $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G) \subseteq L^1(G)$, it also comes to mind to verify this result for $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$, in the case where it is a Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module. In the next result, we take this property as an assumption for $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ and $L^p(G, \omega)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is pseudo-contractible and it is a Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module.
- (ii) $L^p(G, \omega)$ is pseudo-contractible and it is a Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module.
- (iii) G is compact and ω is of left moderate growth.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Corollary 3.3 implies that G is compact. Now we show that ω is of left moderate growth. We follow an argument similar to [19, Theorem 3.1]. Since $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is a Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module, it follows that with some constant $K > 0$

$$\|f * g\|_{\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)} \leq K \|f\|_1 \|g\|_{\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)},$$

for all $f \in L^1(G)$ and $g \in \mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$. Since ω^p is locally summable, then $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ contains characteristic functions χ_A , for each subset A of G of finite measure. We also have the following inequality, pointwise

$$\lambda(A)\chi_{xyB} \leq \chi_{xA} * \chi_{A^{-1}yB}, \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and relatively compact subsets A and B of G with positive measure. Hence inequality (1) implies that

$$\lambda(A) \|\chi_{xyB}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega^p}^{1,p}(G)} \leq \|\chi_{xA} * \chi_{A^{-1}yB}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega^p}^{1,p}(G)} \leq K \|\chi_{xA}\|_1 \|\chi_{A^{-1}yB}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega^p}^{1,p}(G)}.$$

Thus

$$\|\chi_{xyB}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega^p}^{1,p}(G)} \leq K \|\chi_{A^{-1}yB}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\omega^p}^{1,p}(G)}.$$

It follows that

$$\|\chi_{xyB}\|_1 + \|\chi_{xyB}\|_{p,\omega} \leq K (\|\chi_{A^{-1}yB}\|_1 + \|\chi_{A^{-1}yB}\|_{p,\omega}). \quad (2)$$

Let $x \in G$ be fixed. Since ω^p is locally summable, it follows that there exists a family \mathcal{V} of sets of positive measure such that every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ contains the identity and every neighborhood of identity contains eventually all $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and also the following equations hold:

$$\lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{1}{\lambda(V)} \int_{yV} \omega^p(r) dr = \omega^p(y)$$

and

$$\lim_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \frac{1}{\lambda(V)} \int_{yV} \omega^p(xr) dr = \omega^p(xy),$$

for locally almost all $y \in G$; see [3]. For such y and any $\varepsilon > 0$ for sufficiently small $V \in \mathcal{V}$ we have

$$\|\chi_{yV}\|_{p,\omega}^p = \int_{yV} \omega^p(r) dr < \lambda(V) \omega^p(y) (\varepsilon + 1) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\|\chi_{xyV}\|_{p,\omega}^p = \int_{yV} \omega^p(xr) dr > \frac{\lambda(V) \omega^p(xy)}{\varepsilon + 1}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, there exists a relatively compact neighborhood U of identity such that

$$\|\chi_{U^{-1}yV}\|_{p,\omega} < (1 + \varepsilon) \|\chi_{yV}\|_{p,\omega} \quad (5)$$

and also

$$\|\chi_{U^{-1}yV}\|_1 < \frac{(1 + \varepsilon)}{K} \|\chi_{yV}\|_1. \quad (6)$$

By (2) we have

$$\|\chi_{xyV}\|_1 + \|\chi_{xyV}\|_{p,\omega} \leq K (\|\chi_{U^{-1}yV}\|_1 + \|\chi_{U^{-1}yV}\|_{p,\omega}). \quad (7)$$

As a consequence of the observations (3), (4), (5) and (6) together with (7), we have the following inequality,

$$\lambda(V) + \frac{\lambda(V)^{1/p}\omega(xy)}{(\varepsilon + 1)^{1/p}} \leq K \left(\frac{1 + \varepsilon}{K} \lambda(V) + (1 + \varepsilon)^{1+1/p} \omega(y) \lambda(V)^{1/p} \right)$$

and hence

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/p} \lambda(V)^{1-1/p} + \omega(xy) \leq \left((1 + \varepsilon)^{1+1/p} \lambda(V)^{1-1/p} + K(1 + \varepsilon)^{1+2/p} \omega(y) \right).$$

Since G is compact, it follows that the net $(\lambda(V))_{V \in \mathcal{V}}$ is bounded and in the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we conclude that

$$\frac{\omega(xy)}{\omega(y)} < K,$$

locally almost every where $y \in G$. Consequently ω is of left moderate growth.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let G be compact and let ω be of left moderate growth. Since ω is locally summable, it follows that ω is equivalent to a continuous function [10, Theorem 2.7]. So the compactness of G implies that $L^p(G, \omega) = L^p(G)$. Consequently $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G) = L^p(G)$. Now the identity map is a topological isomorphism of $L^p(G)$ onto $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$. Since $L^p(G)$ is pseudo-contractible [14, Theorem 4.5], it follows that $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is also pseudo-contractible.

A similar argument shows that (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). \square

The following known result is immediately obtained from Theorem 3.4, which is in fact [22, Theorem 3.5].

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a locally compact group and $1 < p < \infty$. Then $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ is pseudo-contractible if and only if G is compact.*

Remark 3.6. Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G . Also let \mathcal{A} denote one of the Banach space $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ or $L^p(G, \omega)$ (not necessarily an algebra), such that \mathcal{A} is a Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module.

- (i) Whenever \mathcal{A} is an essential Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module, a stronger result may be obtained. Indeed, we show that if \mathcal{A} is an essential Banach left $L^1(G)$ -module then \mathcal{A} is left translation invariant. Since $L^1(G)$ has a bounded approximate identity, one can conclude that $L^1(G) * \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, by the factorization theorem [16]. Then for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$ one can choose such $g \in L^1(G)$, $h \in \mathcal{A}$ that $f = g * h$. Now take an approximate identity ξ_ν in $L^1(G)$ and any $x \in G$. Denote by ϕ^x the left translation of a function ϕ by x . We have then $f^x = g^x * h$ and $\xi_\nu^x * g \rightarrow g^x$ in $L^1(G)$. So $\xi_\nu^x * f = (\xi_\nu^x * g) * h$ is a Cauchy net in \mathcal{A} . Its limit is obviously f^x . Thus $f^x \in \mathcal{A}$ and consequently \mathcal{A} is translation-invariant.

- (ii) If ω is locally summable then $C_{00}(G)$, the set of all compactly supported continuous functions on G , is contained in \mathcal{A} . It follows that $L^1(G) * \mathcal{A}$ is dense in \mathcal{A} , whenever $\mathcal{A} = L^p(G, \omega)$ and G is compact. By a similar proof to part (i) we obtain that \mathcal{A} is translation invariant.
- (iii) By [19, Lemma 2.1], if $L^p(G, \omega)$ is a Banach algebra then ω is locally summable. Thus part (ii) implies that if $\mathcal{A} = L^p(G, \omega)$ is a Banach algebra and G is compact then \mathcal{A} is essential and again left translation invariance of \mathcal{A} is obtained by part (i).
- (iv) Let G be compact and let $\mathcal{A} = L^p(G, \omega)$ be a Banach algebra. Then one gets not only \mathcal{A} is translation invariant, but also the translation is a bounded operator on \mathcal{A} ; see for example [6]. Now one can conclude that ω is of left moderate growth by [7]. Consequently the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Theorem 3.4 can be proved more easier.

We finish the paper with the presentation of an equivalent condition to contractibility of $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ and also $L^p(G, \omega)$. Let us first recall the concept of approximation property (AP).

A Banach space \mathcal{X} is said to have the approximation property (AP), if every compact operator on \mathcal{X} is a limit of finite-rank operators.

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be a locally compact group, $1 < p < \infty$ and let ω be a weight function on G . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) $L^p(G, \omega)$ is contractible.
- (ii) $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is contractible.
- (iii) G is finite.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii). It follows from previously known results. First, one can show that $L^p(G, \omega)$ has the approximation property (AP); see for example [5, Example 11, p. 245]. From a result of Selivanov [23], it follows then that if $L^p(G, \omega)$ is contractible then G is finite.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ be contractible. Then it is unital and since $\mathcal{L}_\omega^{1,p}(G)$ is dense in $L^1(G)$, it follows that $L^1(G)$ is unital. Consequently G is discrete [4]. Since contractibility implies pseudo contractibility, it follows by Corollary 3.3 that G is compact. Therefore G is finite.

The statement (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear. □

Acknowledgments. The author expresses its sincere gratitude to Professor Hans Georg Feichtinger for his invaluable advice in continuously pointing out a number of earlier publications in the field. This research was partially supported by the Banach algebra Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan

References

- [1] F. Abtahi, *Lebesgue weighted L^p -algebra on locally compact groups*, Acta. Math. Hungar., **133/4**, (2011), 324-331.
- [2] M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr Isfahani and M. Nemati, *On ϕ -contractibility of the Lebesgue-Fourier algebra of a locally compact group*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **95**, (2010), 373-379.
- [3] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of integrals*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **78** No. 9 pt. 2, (1971).
- [4] A. Deitmar and S. Echterhoff, *Principles of harmonic analysis*, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [5] J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, AMS, 1977.
- [6] D. H. Dunford, *Segal algebras and left normed ideals*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **8**, (1974), 514-516.
- [7] R. E. Edwards, *The stability of weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **93**, (1959), 369-394.
- [8] H. G. Feichtinger, *On a class of convolution algebras of functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **27**, no. 3, (1977), 135-162.
- [9] H. G. Feichtinger, *Some remarks on Banach convolution algebras of functions (*)*, Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica Symposia Mathematica, Volume XXII, (1977), 453-455.
- [10] H. G. Feichtinger, *Gewichtsfunktionen auf lokalkompakten Gruppen*, Osterreich. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Sitzungsber. II, **188/8-10**, (1979), 451-471.
- [11] H. G. Feichtinger, *Weighted L^p -spaces and the canonical mapping $T_H : L^1(G) \rightarrow L^1(G/H)$* , Bollettino U. M. I. (5)**16-B**, (1979), 989-999.
- [12] H. G. Feichtinger and A. T. Gürkanli, *On a family of weighted convolution algebras*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **13/3**, (1990), 517-525.
- [13] G. Fendler, K. Grchenig, M. Leinert, J. Ludwig and C. Molitor-Braun, *Weighted group algebras on groups of polynomial growth*, Math. Z., **245/4**, (2003), 791-821.
- [14] F. Ghahramani and Y. Zhang, *Pseudo-amenable and pseudo-contractible Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **142**, (2007), no. 1, 111-123.
- [15] A. Ya. Helemskii, A. Ya. Helemskii, *Banach and Locally Convex Algebras*, The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- [16] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis I,II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
- [17] Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math., **193**, (2009), no. 1, 53-78.
- [18] Yu. N. Kuznetsova, *Weighted L_p -Algebras on Groups*, Funct. Anal. Appl., **40/3**, (2006), 234-236.
- [19] Yu. N. Kuznetsova, *Invariant weighted algebras $L^p(G, \omega)$* , Mat. Zametki., **84/4**, (2008), 567-576.
- [20] H. Reiter, *L^1 -algebras and segal algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **231**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [21] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1774**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

- [22] E. Samei, N. Spronk and R. Stokke, *Biflatness and pseudo-amenability of Segal algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **62**, (2010), no. 4, 845-869.
- [23] Ju. V. Selivanov, *Banach Algebras of Small Global Dimension Zero*, *Uspehi Mat. Nauk.*, **31**, (1976), 227-228.
- [24] J. L. Taylor, *Homology and cohomology for topological algebras*, *Advances in Math.*, **9**, (1972), 137-182.
- [25] J. Wermer, *On a class of normed rings*, *Ark. Mat.*, **2**, (1954), 537-551.
- [26] Y. Zhang, *Maximal ideals and the structure of contractible and amenable Banach algebras*, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **62**, (2000), 221-226.

Fatemeh ABTAHI,
Department of Mathematics,
University of Isfahan,
P. O. Box 81746-73441,
Isfahan, Iran.
Email: f.abtahi@sci.ui.ac.ir

