



---

---

## SYZYGIETIC PROPERTIES OF A MODULE AND TORSION FREENESS OF ITS SYMMETRIC POWERS

Vittoria Bonanzinga and Gaetana Restuccia

### Abstract

Let  $E$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module of finite projective dimension. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the  $q$ -torsion freeness of the symmetric powers  $Sym_t(E)$ , ( $t \geq 1$ ). In projective dimension  $> 1$ , we study the connection between the acyclicity of the complex  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  of a module  $E$  and the condition  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  on  $E$ .

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring with unit and let  $E$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Let  $Sym_R(E) = S(E) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} Sym_t(E)$  be the symmetric algebra of  $E$ . It is well-known that if  $R$  is an integral domain,  $Sym_R(E)$  is hardly ever an integral domain itself.

It is so if and only if each of the symmetric powers  $Sym_t(E)$  is a torsion free  $R$ -module [6]. If  $E = I$ , an ideal of  $R$ , and  $(R, m)$  is local then  $Sym_R(m)$  is an integral domain if and only if  $R$  is regular ([6]).

We say that an ideal  $I$  is of linear type ([13]) if the canonical epimorphism  $Sym_R(I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}(I) \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}(I) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} I^t$  is the Rees algebra of  $I$ , is an isomorphism, and  $Sym_R(I)$  is an integral domain if and only if  $R$  is an integral domain.

If  $E$  is a module of finite presentation  $R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  then the torsion freeness of the symmetric powers of  $E$  is connected with some conditions of finiteness for the depth of the Fitting ideals  $F_k(E)$  of  $E$ ,  $e+1 \leq k \leq n$

---

Key Words: Approximation complex, Cohen-Macaulay ring, symmetric algebra  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 13D25, 13H10

when the module  $E$  admits rank  $e > 0$ . Consequently, we can deduce theoretic properties of the symmetric algebra  $Sym_R(E)$  by the syzygetic properties of the module  $E$ .

It is interesting to investigate the  $q$ -torsion freeness of the symmetric powers of  $E$ , by using acyclicity criteria for canonical complexes associated to the symmetric algebra of  $E$ .

In projective dimension 1, the basic result of Avramov [2] solves the problem completely, in the sense, that, for each  $t$ ,  $Sym_t(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free if and only if  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_q := \text{depth}(F_k(E)) \geq k - e + q$ ,  $e + 1 \leq k \leq n$ .

In section 1, we consider modules of finite projective dimension and we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the  $q$ -torsion freeness of the symmetric powers  $Sym_t(E)$  ( $t \geq 1$ ).

In section 2, we examine the relation between the acyclicity of the  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ -complex of a module  $E$  and the condition  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  on  $E$ , when the  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ -complex coincides with the Koszul complex of the immersion  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^n$ , with  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow E$  a finite presentation of  $E$  and  $L$  not necessarily free.

## 1

We consider a module  $E$  of finite projective dimension with the following free resolution

$$\mathbf{F} : \quad 0 \rightarrow F_s \xrightarrow{f_s} F_{s-1} \xrightarrow{f_{s-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

with  $F_i$ , free  $R$ -modules.

By theorem 2.1 [11] we can associate to  $E$  a canonical complex  $\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{F})$ . The goal of this section is to use this canonical complex in order to study when the symmetric powers of  $E$  are  $q$ -torsion free ( $q \geq 1$ ).

We recall that a module  $E$  is called  $q$ -torsion free if every  $R$ -regular sequence of length  $q$  is also  $E$ -regular.

**Proposition 1** *Let  $E$  be a module of finite projective dimension over a noetherian ring  $R$  and let  $q$  be an integer. The following are equivalent:*

1.  $E$  is  $q$ -torsion free.
2. For every prime ideal  $\wp$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{depth}(E_\wp) \geq \min(q, \text{depth}(R_\wp))$
3.  $E$  is a  $q$ -th syzygy.

**Proof.** See [1], [2]. ■

**Remark 1** *In general, for an arbitrary module  $E$ , we have only the implications  $3) \Rightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 1)$ . We have also the equivalence for arbitrary modules if  $R$  is a normal domain and  $q \leq 2$  ([10], prop. 1). In this case and in finite projective dimension, the 2-torsion free modules are the reflexive ones.*

Let  $E$  be a module generated by  $n$  elements. For the next theorem we switch from determinantal ideals to Fitting invariants  $F_k(E) = I_{n-k+1}(f_1)$ , where  $I_{n-k+1}(f_1)$  denotes the ideal generated by the  $n - k + 1$ -sized minors of  $f_1$ .

We say that a module  $E$  has rank  $e$  if  $E \otimes_R Q(R)$  is a free  $Q(R)$ -module of rank  $e$ , where  $Q(R)$  the total quotient ring of  $R$ .

**Theorem 2** *Let  $E$  be a module of projective dimension 2 over a noetherian ring  $R$ , of rank  $r$  and with resolution*

$$\mathbf{F} : \quad 0 \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

where  $F_2, F_1, F_0$  are free  $R$ -modules of rank  $p, m$ , and  $n$ , respectively. Suppose that  $E$  is  $2(i - 1) + q$ -torsion free, ( $q \geq 1$ ),  $i \geq 2$ ;  $i!$  is invertible in  $R$ . Then we have:

1.  $Sym_i(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free
2.  $depth F_k(E) \geq k - r + q$ ,  $r + 1 \leq k \leq n$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to observe that the complex  $\mathcal{S}_i(\mathbf{F}.)$  associated to  $E$ , of theorem 2.1 [11], is acyclic, and by Corollary [14]  $Sym_i(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free, hence 1).

Since  $E$  is  $2(i - 1) + q$ -torsion free, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G_{2(i-1)+q}$$

with  $G_j$ -free of finite type.

Then the sequence (2) is extendable to the right by an exact sequence in this way:

$$0 \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G_{2(i-1)+q}.$$

By Buchsbaum-Eisenbud criterion we must necessarily have  $depth(I_{r_1}(f_1)) \geq 2(i - 1) + q + 1$ , where  $r_1 = rank \text{Im } f_1 = m - p$ . But  $I_k(f_1) \supseteq I_{r_1}(f_1)$ ,  $\forall k \leq r_1$ , then  $depth(I_k(f_1)) \geq depth(I_{r_1}(f_1))$ ,  $\forall k \leq r_1$ .

In particular  $\text{depth}(I_{r_1-i+1}(f_1)) \geq 2i + q - 1 > i + q - 1 \geq i + q$ ; if we put  $r_1 - i + 1 = k$ , then

$$\text{depth}(I_k(f_1)) > r_1 - k + q + 1$$

hence 2). ■

**Theorem 3** *Let  $E$  be a module of projective dimension 2 over a noetherian ring  $R$ , of rank  $r$  and with resolution (2), with  $\text{depth } I_p(f_2) \geq m - p - 1$ . Let  $q$  be an integer,  $q \geq 1$  and let  $i$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ ; such that  $2i < m - p$ ;  $i!$  is invertible in  $R$ . We suppose that:*

$$\text{depth } F_k(E) \geq k - r + q, \quad r + 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

Then  $\text{Sym}_i(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free.

**Proof.** It follows by [1.9, 11] that

$$\text{pd}_R(\text{Sym}_i(E)) \leq 2i \quad \forall i > 0.$$

Then, if  $\varphi$  is a prime ideal such that  $\text{depth}(R_\varphi) \geq 2i + q$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) &= \text{depth}(R_\varphi) - \text{pd}_{R_\varphi}(\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) \geq \\ &\geq 2i + q - \text{pd}_{R_\varphi}(\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) \geq 2i + q - 2i = q. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider a prime ideal  $\varphi$  such that

$$\text{depth}(R_\varphi) < 2i + q.$$

By hypothesis,  $\text{depth}(I_1(f_1)) \geq (m - p) + q > 2i + q$  and we have that  $I_1(f_1) \not\subseteq \varphi$ . It follows that the module  $E_\varphi$  admits a free resolution over  $R_\varphi$

$$0 \longrightarrow F'_2 \longrightarrow F'_1 \xrightarrow{f'_1} F'_0 \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

with  $F'_0 = R_\varphi^n = R_\varphi^{n-1} \oplus R_\varphi$ ,  $F'_1 = R_\varphi^m = R_\varphi^{m-1} \oplus R_\varphi$ . Moreover, since  $I_{k-1}(f'_1) = I_k(f_1)_\varphi$ , we have the inequalities

$$\text{depth}(I_{k-1}(f'_1)) \geq (m - 1) - p - (k - 1) + 1 + q, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k - 1 \leq (m - 1) - p$$

We can then apply the induction on  $m$ . In fact, if  $m = 0$ ,  $E$  is free and the result is trivial. By induction, then we can conclude that

$$\text{depth}(\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) \geq \min(q, \text{depth}(R_\varphi)).$$

■

**Corollary 4** *Let  $E$  be a module of projective dimension 2 over a noetherian ring  $R$ ,  $2(i-1)+q$ -torsion free of rank  $r$  and with resolution (2). Let  $q$  be an integer  $\geq 1$  and let  $i$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ ; such that  $2i < m-p$ ;  $i!$  is invertible in  $R$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1.  $\text{depth}(F_k(E)) \geq k-r+q, \quad r+1 \leq k \leq n$
2.  $\text{Sym}_i(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free.

We say that a module  $E$  satisfies  $\mathfrak{F}_q$  if  $\text{ht } I_t(f_1) \geq \text{rank } f_1 - t + 1 + q, \quad 1 \leq t \leq \text{rank } f_1$ , where  $q \geq 0$  is an integer and  $\text{rank } f_1 = \sup\{t/I_t(f_1) \neq 0\}$

**Theorem 5** *Let  $E$  be a module of finite type over  $R$ , of rank  $e$ , generated by  $n$  elements, of finite projective dimension. Let  $q$  be an integer,  $q \geq 1$ . We suppose that:*

1.  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Sym}_R(E)) \leq n-e$
2.  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_q$ .

*Then  $\text{Sym}_t(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free,  $\forall t > 0$ .*

**Proof.** We consider a presentation of the module  $E$

$$R^m \xrightarrow{f_1=(a_{ji})} R^n \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

and let

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0.$$

We have  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Sym}_i(E)) \leq n-e = \ell = \text{rank } L \quad \forall i > 0$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(R_\varphi) \geq \ell + q$ . Then

$\text{depth}(\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) = \text{depth}(R_\varphi) - \text{pd}_{R_\varphi}(\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) \geq \ell + q - \ell = q = \min(q, \text{depth}(R_\varphi))$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(R_\varphi) < \ell + q$ .

By  $\mathfrak{F}_q$ ,  $\text{depth}(I_1(f_1)) \geq \ell + q$ ,  $I_1(f_1) \not\subseteq \varphi$  and localizing (3) at the prime ideal  $\varphi$ , we have:

$$R_\varphi^{m-1} \oplus R_\varphi \xrightarrow{f'_1 \oplus id} R_\varphi^{n-1} \oplus R_\varphi \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

and  $E_\varphi$  has the presentation:

$$0 \longrightarrow L'_\varphi \xrightarrow{f'_1} R_\varphi^{n-1} \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

$L_\varphi = L'_\varphi \oplus R_\varphi$ ,  $\text{rank}(L'_\varphi) = \text{rank}(L_\varphi) - 1$  and  $I_{k-1}(f'_1) = I_k(f_1)_\varphi \quad \forall k$ .

We proceed by induction on  $\ell$ .

If  $\ell = 0$ ,  $E$  is free and the equivalences are trivial.

By induction hypothesis,

$$\text{depth } I_{k-1}(f'_1) \geq \ell - k + q + 1 = (\ell - 1) - (k - 1) + q + 1$$

where  $1 \leq k - 1 \leq \ell - 1$  and it follows

$$\text{depth } (\text{Sym}_i(E)_\varphi) \geq q \geq \min(q, \text{depth}(R_\varphi)).$$

■

**Remark 2** *If  $R$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ring and  $E$  is a module of finite type over  $R$  of finite projective dimension 1, which is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  (or, equivalently, if  $\text{Sym}_t(E)$  is torsion free,  $\forall t > 0$ ), the condition  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Sym}_R(E)) \leq n - e$  is always verified. In fact, in this case  $\text{Sym}_R(E)$  has a free finite resolution ([5], Prop. 4.1) of length  $n - e$ .*

**Theorem 6** *Let  $E$  be an  $R$ -module of finite type, being  $R$  a Cohen-Macaulay ring, of rank  $e$ , of finite projective dimension. Let  $q \geq 1$  be an integer and we suppose that:*

1. *For all prime ideal  $\varphi$  of  $R$  such that  $\text{depth } (\varphi R_\varphi) > \ell$ ,  $\text{depth } I_k(f_1)_\varphi \geq \ell - k + q + 1$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ ;*
2.  *$\text{Sym}_t(E)$  is  $q$ -torsion free,  $\forall t > 0$ .*

*Then  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_q$ .*

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on  $\ell = \text{rank } L$ ,  $L = \ker(R^m \rightarrow E)$ .

If  $\ell = 0$ , the assertion is trivial.

If  $\text{rank } L = \ell$ , by theorem 3.1 [9], we have:

$$\text{depth } I_1(f_1) \geq \ell.$$

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth } \varphi R_\varphi \leq \ell$ . Then  $I_1(f_1) \not\subseteq \varphi$  and, localizing (3) at the prime ideal  $\varphi$ , we have:

$$R_\varphi^{m-1} \oplus R_\varphi \xrightarrow{f'_1 \oplus \text{id}} R_\varphi^{n-1} \oplus R_\varphi \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

and the presentation:

$$0 \longrightarrow L'_\varphi \xrightarrow{f'_1} R_\varphi^{n-1} \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

$\text{rank } (L'_\varphi) = \text{rank}(L_\varphi) - 1$  and  $I_{k-1}(f'_1) = I_k(f_1)_\varphi$ . By induction hypothesis:

$$\text{depth } I_{k-1}(f'_1) \geq (\ell - 1) - (k - 1) + q + 1 = \ell - k + q + 1.$$

Since for every prime ideal  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $\text{depth } I_k(f_1)_\varphi \geq \ell - k + q + 1$ , then we can suppose that  $R$  is local and it results that  $\text{depth } I_k(f_1) \geq \ell - k + q + 1$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ , i. e.,  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_q$ . ■

## 2

Let  $E$  be a module of finite presentation:

$$R^m \xrightarrow{f_1=(a_{ji})} R^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} E \longrightarrow 0$$

and let

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow 0.$$

If  $E$  has rank  $e$ , then we have

$$\text{rank } L = \ell = n - e.$$

We introduce the  $Z$ -complex,  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ , of the module  $E$  that is a complex of graded  $S = S(R^n)$ -modules:

$$\mathcal{Z}(E) := 0 \longrightarrow Z_{n-e} \otimes S[-\ell] \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow Z_1 \otimes S[-1] \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_R(E) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$\text{where } Z_i = Z_i(E) = \ker(\bigwedge^i R^n \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigwedge^{i-1} R^n \otimes E), \partial(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_i) = \sum (-1)^j (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{a}_j \wedge \dots \wedge a_i) \otimes \varphi(a_j), S[-j]_r = S_{r-j}.$$

We consider the case when the complex  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  coincides with the Koszul complex of immersion  $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n$

$$S(L) := 0 \longrightarrow \bigwedge^\ell L \otimes S[-\ell] \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{\ell-1} L \otimes S[-\ell+1] \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow L \otimes S[-1] \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \text{Sym}_R(E) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We need some preparatory lemmas

**Lemma 7** *Let  $F$  be a module of finite type over  $R$ , not necessarily free. Let*

$$S_R(F) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \text{Sym}_i(F) = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} S_i(F)$$

*be the symmetric algebra of  $F$  and  $\bigwedge F = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigwedge^i F$  the exterior algebra of  $F$ .*

*Then we have:*

$$1. S_i(F \oplus R) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^i S_j(F);$$

$$2. \bigwedge^i (F \oplus R) \cong \bigwedge^i F \oplus \bigwedge^{i-1} F$$

**Proof.** For  $F$  free see lemma 3 [12], lemma 2 [14].

1. If  $F$  is not free, we consider a presentation of  $F$  :

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{f} F \longrightarrow 0$$

and the induced exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow S(R^n) \longrightarrow S(F) \longrightarrow 0 .$$

We have

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n \oplus R \xrightarrow{f \oplus id} F \oplus R \longrightarrow 0,$$

and the induced exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow S(R^n \oplus R) \longrightarrow S(F \oplus R) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow J_i \longrightarrow S_i(R^n \oplus R) \longrightarrow S_i(F \oplus R) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $J_i = J \cap S_i(R^n \oplus R)$ . Since  $S_i(R^n \oplus R) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i S_j(R^n)$ ,  $J_i = J \cap \left( \bigoplus_{j=0}^i S_j(R^n) \right) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^i J_j$ . Hence  $S_i(F \oplus R) \cong S_i(R^n \oplus R) / J_i \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^i S_j(R^n) / J_j \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^i S_j(F)$ .

2. We consider the presentation  $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{f} F \longrightarrow 0$  and by  $0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow R^n \oplus R \xrightarrow{f \oplus id} F \oplus R \longrightarrow 0$  the induced exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow \bigwedge (R^n \oplus R) \longrightarrow \bigwedge (F \oplus R) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow B_i \longrightarrow \bigwedge^i (R^n \oplus R) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^i (F \oplus R) \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $B_i = B \cap \bigwedge^i (R^n \oplus R) = B \cap (\bigwedge^i R^n \oplus \bigwedge^{i-1} R^n)$ .

Hence  $\bigwedge^i (F \oplus R) \cong \bigwedge^i (R^n \oplus R) / B_i \cong \bigwedge^i R^n \oplus \bigwedge^{i-1} R^n / B_i \cong \bigwedge^i F \oplus \bigwedge^{i-1} F$ .

■



**Lemma 8** *Let  $E$  be a module of finite type on  $R$  and let  $K. := 0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ ;  $K'. := 0 \rightarrow L \oplus R \xrightarrow{f_1 \oplus id_R} F_0 \oplus R \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$  be two presentations of  $E$ ,  $L$  not necessarily free,  $F_0$  free on  $R$ . Then the Koszul complexes  $S(L.)$  and  $S(L'.)$  of immersion  $0 \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0$ ,  $0 \rightarrow L \oplus R \xrightarrow{f_1 \oplus id_R} F_0 \oplus R \rightarrow E$  have the same homology.*

**Proof.** If we call  $S(L.)$  and  $S(L'.)$  the two Koszul complexes of immersions  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow F_0$ ,  $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow F_0 \oplus R$ ,  $L' = L \oplus R$ , in the component of degree  $t > 0$ , we have:

$$S_t(L.) := \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^i L \otimes S_{t-i}(F_0) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{i-1} L \otimes S_{t-i+1}(F_0) \rightarrow \dots$$

$$S_t(L'.) := \dots \rightarrow \bigwedge^i L' \otimes S_{t-i}(F_0 \oplus R) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{i-1} L' \otimes S_{t-i+1}(F_0 \oplus R) \rightarrow \dots$$

Let:

$$(S_t(L.))_i = \bigwedge^i L \otimes S_{t-i}(F_0) \text{ and } (S_t(L'.))_i = \bigwedge^i L' \otimes S_{t-i}(F_0 \oplus R).$$

From lemma 7, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (S_t(L'.))_i &= \bigwedge^i (L \oplus R) \otimes S_{t-i}(F_0 \oplus R) \cong \\ &\cong \left( \bigwedge^i L \oplus \bigwedge^{i-1} L \right) \otimes (S_{t-i}(F_0) \oplus S_{t-i-1}(F_0) \oplus \dots \oplus F_0 \oplus R) = \\ &= (S_t(L.)_i \oplus S_{t-1}(L.)_i \oplus \dots \oplus S_{i+1}(L.)_i \oplus S_i(L.)_i) \oplus \\ &\oplus (S_{t-1}(L.)_{i-1} \oplus S_{t-2}(L.)_{i-1} \oplus \dots \oplus S_i(L.)_{i-1} \oplus S_{i-1}(L.)_{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We proceed in a similar way to that contained in [12] or [14], prop. 3, and we can conclude that  $S(L.)$  and  $S(L'.)$  have the same homology. ■

**Theorem 9** *Let  $E$  be a torsion free module of finite type on  $R$ , Cohen-Macaulay ring of finite projective dimension, of rank  $e$  and with resolution:*

$$0 \rightarrow R^p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{f_1} R^n \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0. \quad (4)$$

*We suppose that:*

1.  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ ;
2. If  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\ell = \text{rank } L$ , the complex  $S(L.)$  is exact  $\iff S(L.) \otimes R_\varphi$  is exact, for all  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(\varphi R_\varphi) < \ell$ ;
3.  $\bigwedge^r L = (\bigwedge^r L)^{**}$  for  $r < \text{rank } L$ .

Then the complex  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  is acyclic.

**Proof.** Since  $E$  is a torsion free module of finite projective dimension, then  $E_\varphi$  is a free  $R_\varphi$ -module for every  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(R_\varphi) \leq 1$ . By prop. 4.1, [5], we have  $(\bigwedge^r L)^{**} \cong Z_r(E)$ ,  $\forall r < \text{rank} E$ .

Being  $L$  of finite projective dimension,  $(\bigwedge^\ell L)^{**} = \det L = R$  and the  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$ -complex of  $E$  is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(E) := & 0 \rightarrow R \otimes S_{t-\ell}(R^n) \rightarrow \bigwedge^{\ell-1} L \otimes S_{t-\ell+1}(R^n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \\ & \rightarrow L \otimes S_{t-1}(R^n) \rightarrow S_t(R^n) \rightarrow S_t(E) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

We show, by induction on  $\ell$ , that  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  is acyclic. If  $\ell = 0$ ,  $E$  is free and  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  is acyclic. ([8]).

We suppose that  $\ell > 0$ . Let  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  implies  $\text{depth} I_1(f_1) \geq \ell$ ,  $I_1(f_1) \not\subseteq \varphi$ .

Localizing (4) at the prime ideal  $\varphi$ , we have:

$$R_\varphi^{m-1} \oplus R_\varphi \xrightarrow{f'_1 \oplus \text{id}} R_\varphi^{n-1} \oplus R_\varphi \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

with the presentation:

$$0 \longrightarrow L'_\varphi \xrightarrow{f'_1} R_\varphi^{n-1} \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

$L_\varphi = L'_\varphi \oplus R_\varphi$ ,  $\text{rank}(L'_\varphi) = \text{rank}(L_\varphi) - 1$  and  $I_{k-1}(f'_1) = I_k(f_1)_\varphi$ , where  $\text{depth} I_k(f'_1) \geq (\ell - 1) - (k - 1) + q + 1 = \ell - k + q + 1$ ,  $1 \leq k - 1 \leq \ell - 1$ , the module  $E_\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . We can suppose then  $R$  is local and we can conclude by lemma 8 and by the induction hypothesis. ■

**Theorem 10** *Let  $E$  be a module of finite type over  $R$ , Cohen-Macaulay ring of finite projective dimension, of rank  $e$  and with resolution (4). We suppose that:*

1. *the complex  $S(L.)$  is exact  $\iff S(L.) \otimes R_\varphi$  is exact for all  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(\varphi R_\varphi) < \ell$ ;*
2.  *$E$  is free on the prime ideals  $\varphi$  such that  $\text{depth}(\varphi R_\varphi) \leq 1$ ;*
3.  *$\bigwedge^r L = \left(\bigwedge^r L\right)^{**}$ ,  $\forall r < \text{rank} L$ ;*
4.  *$\text{depth} I_1(f_1) \geq \ell$  and  $\bigwedge^{\ell-1} L \cong R^\ell$ ;*
5.  *$\mathcal{Z}(E)$  is acyclic.*

Then the module  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .

**Proof.** We have the maps:

$$\bigwedge^r L \longrightarrow Z_r(E)$$

where the modules are reflexive.

Localizing at the prime ideals  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(\varphi R_\varphi) \leq 1$ , the modules  $\bigwedge^r L$  and  $Z_r(E)$  coincide. Hence :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(E) := & 0 \rightarrow R \otimes S_{t-\ell}(R^n) \xrightarrow{d_\ell} R^\ell \otimes S_{t-\ell+1}(R^n) \xrightarrow{d_{\ell-1}} \bigwedge^{\ell-2} L \otimes S_{t-\ell+2}(R^n) \rightarrow \\ \dots \rightarrow & L \otimes S_{t-1}(R^n) \xrightarrow{d_1} S_t(R^n) \rightarrow \text{Sym}(E) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since the complex  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  is exact,  $\text{depth} I_{(\ell)}(d_\ell) = \text{depth} I_1(f_1) \geq \ell$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth}(\varphi R_\varphi) < \ell$ . Then  $I_1(f_1) \not\subseteq \varphi$ . Localizing (4) at the prime ideal  $\varphi$ , we obtain:

$$R_\varphi^{m-1} \oplus R_\varphi \xrightarrow{f_1 \oplus \text{id}_R} R_\varphi^{n-1} \oplus R_\varphi \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

with the presentation:

$$0 \longrightarrow L'_\varphi \xrightarrow{f_1} R_\varphi^{n-1} \longrightarrow E_\varphi \longrightarrow 0$$

$$L_\varphi = L'_\varphi \oplus R_\varphi, \text{rank}(L'_\varphi) = \text{rank}(L_\varphi) - 1 \text{ and } I_{k-1}(f'_1) = I_k(f_1)_\varphi.$$

By lemma 8 , the complex  $\mathcal{Z}(E) \otimes R_\varphi$  is acyclic and

$$\text{depth} I_k(f_1)_\varphi = \text{depth} I_{k-1}(f'_1) \geq (\ell - 1) - (k - 1) + 1 = \ell - k + 1,$$

$1 \leq k \leq \ell - 1$ , by the induction hypothesis. Then we can suppose that  $R$  is local and we can conclude that  $E$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ .

**Example 1** We exhibit an example of a module that is high torsion free, containing a field and that fulfills the hypothesis in theorem 10. More precisely, let  $E$  be a  $q$ -torsion free module, where  $q = (t - 1)(\ell - 1) + \ell$ ,  $\ell = \text{rank} L$ ,  $\text{pd} E = t$ ,  $t > 1$ ,  $\ell \geq 3$ , and  $d > t(\ell - 1) + 2$ , where  $d = \text{depth} R$ . We verify all points of theorem 10.

1.  $L$  is  $(q + 1)$ -torsion free, then  $\bigwedge^i L$  is  $(\ell - 1)$ -torsion free,  $\forall i \leq \ell - 1$ .

If  $\varphi \in \text{Spec}(R)$  such that  $\text{depth} R_\varphi \geq \ell$ ,  $\text{depth}(\bigwedge^i L)_\varphi \geq \min\{\ell - 1, \ell\} = \ell - 1$ . Then the complex  $(S(L.\!))_\varphi = S(L.) \otimes R_\varphi$  is exact, by the criterion of Peskine-Szpiro, [14, theorem B].

Then we have to verify only that  $(S(L.))_{\wp}$  is exact for every  $\wp$ ,  $\text{depth}R_{\wp} < \ell$ .

2. Since  $E$  is torsion free and of finite projective dimension, then hypothesis 2 is verified.

3.  $L$  is of projective dimension  $t-1$  and  $L$  is  $q-1 = (t-1)(\ell-1) + (\ell-1)$ -torsion free. Moreover  $L$  is  $(t-1)i + (\ell-1)$ -torsion free, for every  $i \leq \ell-1$ , because  $(t-1)i + (\ell-1) \leq (t-1)(\ell-1) + (\ell-1)$ . By Corollary [14], this implies  $\bigwedge^i L$  is  $(\ell-1)$ -torsion free, for every  $i \leq \ell-1$  and since  $\ell \geq 3$ ,  $\bigwedge^i L$  is 2-torsion free, for every  $i \leq \ell-1$ . By Corollary [14] and since  $\bigwedge^i L$  has a finite projective dimension,  $\bigwedge^i L$  is a reflexive module for every  $i$  (Remark 1).

4. Since  $E$  is  $(t-1)(\ell-1) + \ell$ -torsion free, by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow G_{(t-1)(\ell-1)+\ell},$$

$\text{depth}I_{r_1}(f_1) \geq (t-1)(\ell-1) + \ell + 1$ ,  $\text{depth}I_{r_1}(f_1) \geq t(\ell-1) + 2 \geq \ell$ , since  $t > 1$ . Since  $I_{r_1}(f_1) \subset I_1(f_1)$ ,  $\text{depth}I_1(f_1) \geq \text{depth}I_{r_1}(f_1) \geq \ell$ .

We show that  $\bigwedge^{\ell-1} L$  is a free module. Since  $\text{rank} \bigwedge^{\ell-1} L = \ell$ , we have to prove that  $\bigwedge^{\ell-1} L \cong R^{\ell}$ .

$L_{\ell-1}F$  is a resolution of  $\bigwedge^{\ell-1} L$  of length  $(t-1)(\ell-1)$ ,  $\text{depth} \bigwedge^{\ell-1} L = \text{depth}R - \text{pd} \bigwedge^{\ell-1} L = d - (t-1)(\ell-1) \geq t(\ell-1) + 2 - (t-1)(\ell-1) = 2 + \ell - 1 = \ell + 1$ , by [4], syzygy theorem,  $\bigwedge^{\ell-1} L$  is free and is isomorphic to  $R^{\ell}$ .

5.  $\mathcal{Z}(E)$  is acyclic. For every  $i \leq \ell-1$ ,  $\text{depth} \bigwedge^i L = \text{depth}R - (t-1)i \geq d - (t-1)(\ell-1) \geq d - (\ell-1)t > t(\ell-1) + 2 - (\ell-1)(t-1) = t(\ell-1) + 2 - t(\ell-1) + \ell = 2 + \ell > i$  and the complex is acyclic by the acyclicity of Peskine-Szpiro, [3, lemma 3], [7, lemma 1.8].

## References

- [1] Auslander M., Bridger M., *Stable module theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **94**, Providence, R. I. (1969).
- [2] Avramov L., *Complete Intersections and Symmetric Algebras*, J. Algebra **72**, (1981), 248-263.
- [3] D. A. Buchsbaum, D. Eisenbud, *What makes a Complex exact?*, J. Algebra, **25**, (1973), 259-268.

- [4] Evans E. G., Griffith, *The syzygy problem*, Ann. of Math. (2), 114, (1981), 323-333.
- [5] Herzog J. , Simis A., Vasconcelos W. V., *On the arithmetic and homology of algebras of linear type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **283**, N. 2, (1984), 661-683.
- [6] Micali A., *Algèbres intègres et sans torsion*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **94**, (1966), 5-14.
- [7] C. Peskine, L. Szpiro, *Dimension projective finie et cohomologie locale*, Publ. Math. I. H. E. S., **42**, (1972), 47-119.
- [8] Restuccia G., *Formes linéaires et algèbres symétriques*, Bull. Sc. Math., **110** (1986), 391-410.
- [9] Restuccia G., Ionescu C., *q-torsion freeness of symmetric powers*, Rend. Circolo Mat. di Palermo, serie II, XLVI (1997), 329-346.
- [10] Samuel P., *Anneaux gradués factoriels et modules réflexifs*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **92** (1964) 234-249.
- [11] Tchernev A. B., *Acyclicity of symmetric and exterior powers of complexes*, J. of Algebra **184**, (1996), 1113-1135.
- [12] Utano R., *Moduli di dimensione proiettiva 1 e algebre simmetriche*, Università di Messina, (1987).
- [13] Valla G., *On the symmetric and Rees algebras of an ideal*, Manuscripta Math. **30** (1980), 235-255.
- [14] Weyman J., *Resolution of exterior and symmetric powers of a module*, J. of Algebra **58** (1979), 333-341.

Università di Reggio Calabria,  
DIMET, Facoltà di Ingegneria,  
via Graziella (Feo di Vito) 89100,  
REGGIO CALABRIA  
Italia  
e-mail: bonanzin@ns.ing.unirc.it

Università di Messina,  
Dipartimento di Matematica,  
Contrada Papardo (salita Sperone), 98166  
MESSINA  
Italia  
e-mail: grest@dipmat.unime.it

