Several properties on quasi-class A operators

M.H.M. Rashid

Abstract

In this paper, we shall show a similar results corresponding the results of M. Ito [6] for quasi-class A introduced in [7] as a class of operators including class A and p-quasihyponormal. Moreover, we shall show several properties on quasi-class A which corresponding to the properties on class A and p-quasihyponormal.

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space, and let $\mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . If $T \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we shall write ker(T), ran(T)for the null space and range of T, respectively. An operator T is said to be *positive* (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and also T is said to be *strictly positive* (denoted by T > 0) if T is positive and invertible.

Recall ([1, 8, 9]) that an operator T is called *p*-quasihyponormal if $T^*((T^*T)^p - (TT^*)^p T) \ge 0$ for $p \in (0, 1]$, and T is called *paranormal* if $||T^2x|| \ge ||Tx||^2$ for all unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Following [5, 6, 10] we say that $T \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to class A if $|T^2| \ge |T|^2$ and T is called normaloid if $||T^n|| = ||T||^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (equivalently, ||T|| = r(T), the spectral radius of T). Recall [2], an operator $T \in \mathbf{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be *w*-hyponormal if $|\tilde{T}| \ge |T| \ge |\tilde{T^*}|$. We remark that *w*-hyponormal operator is defined by using Aluthge transformation $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. An operator T is said to be quasi-class A if

$$T^* |T^2| T \ge T^* |T|^2 T.$$



Key Words: Quasi-class A operators, p-quasihyponormal operators, Class A operators. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47A53, 47A55; Secondary 47A10, 47A11, 47A20.

Received: December, 2010.

Accepted: February, 2012.

The quasi-class A operators were introduced, and their properties were studied in [7]. (see also [4]). In particular, it was shown in [7] that the class of quasi-class A operators contains properly classes of class A and p-quasihyponormal operators.

Quasi-class A operators were independently introduced by Jeon and Kim [7]. They gave an example of a quasi-class A operator which is not paranormal nor normaloid. Jeon and Kim example show that neither the class paranormal operators nor the class of quasi-class A contains the other. we shall denote classes of p-quasihyponormal operators, paranormal operators, normaloid operators, class A operators, and quasi-class A operators by $\mathcal{QH}(p), \mathcal{PN}, \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}$, and \mathcal{QA} , respectively. It is well known that

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{PN} \subset \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{QH}(p) \subset \mathcal{PN} \subset \mathcal{N}$,

also, the following inclusions holds;

$$\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{A}$$
 and $\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{H}(p) \subset \mathcal{Q}\mathcal{A}$.

Recently, M. Ito [6] showed the following results on powers of class A operators.

Theorem 1.1. Let T be an invertible and class A operator. Then the following assertions holds;

- 1. $|T^n|^{\frac{1}{2n}} \ge \left(T^* |T^{n-1}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge |T|^2 \text{ for } n = 2, 3, \cdots$
- 2. $|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} \ge |T^n|^2$ for all positive integer n.
- 3. $|T^{2n}| \ge |T^n|^2$ for all positive integer n.
- 4. $|T|^2 \leq |T^2| \leq \ldots \leq |T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}}$ for all positive integer n.
- 5. $|T^{-2}| \ge |T^{-1}|^2$.

Theorem 1.2. Let T be an invertible and class A. Then the following assertions holds;

- 1. $|T^*|^2 \ge \left(T|T^{(n-1)*}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T^*\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge |T^{*n}|^{\frac{2}{n}}$ for $n = 2, 3, \cdots$.
- 2. $|T^{n*}|^2 \ge |T^{(n+1)*}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}$ for all integer $n = 2, 3, \cdots$.
- 3. $|T^{n*}|^2 \ge |T^{2n*}|$ for all integer $n = 2, 3, \cdots$.

4. $|T^*|^2 \ge |T^{2*}| \ge \dots \ge |T^{n*}|^{\frac{2}{n}}$.

In this paper, we shall show similar results corresponding to Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for a quasi-class A operators. Moreover, we shall show several properties on quasi-class A operators.

2 Results

We begin this section by introducing the following famous inequality which is quite useful for the study of quasi-class A operators.

Theorem 2.1. (*Löwner-Heinz Theorem*) If $A \ge B \ge 0$, then $A^{\alpha} \ge B^{\alpha}$ for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Theorem 2.2. Let T be an invertible operator such that

$$(T^*|T^{n-1}|^{2k}T)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} \ge |T|^2$$

for some k > 0 and $n = 2, 3, \cdots$. Then for any fixed $\delta \geq -1$,

$$f_{n,\delta}(\ell) = T^{*^{n-1}} \left(T^* |T^{n-1}|^{2\ell} T \right)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)\ell+1}} T^{n-1}$$
(2.1)

is increasing for $\ell \geq \max\left\{k, \frac{\delta}{n-1}\right\}$.

We need the following Lemma in order to give a proof of Theorem 2.2.

Lemma 2.3. [6, Theorem C] Let A and B be positive invertible operators such that

$$\left(B^{\frac{1}{2}}AB^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{\beta_0}{\alpha_0+\beta_0}} \ge B$$

holds for fixed $\alpha_0 \geq 0$ and $\beta_0 \geq 0$ with $\alpha_0 + \beta_0 > 0$. Then for any fixed $\delta \geq -\beta_0$,

$$g(\lambda,\mu) = B^{\frac{-\mu}{2}} \left(B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} A^{\lambda} B^{\frac{\mu}{2}} \right)^{\frac{\delta+\beta_0\mu}{\alpha_0\lambda+\beta_0\mu}} B^{\frac{-\mu}{2}}$$

is an increasing function of both λ and μ for $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\mu \geq 1$ such that $\alpha_0 \lambda \geq \delta$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let T = U|T| be the polar decomposition of T. We remark that U is unitary since T is invertible. Suppose that

$$\left(T^*|T^{n-1}|^{2k}T\right)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} \ge |T|^2.$$
(2.2)

Since

$$(T^*|T^{n-1}|^{2k}T)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} = (U^*|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2k}|T^*|U)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}}$$
$$= U^* (|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2k}|T^*|)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} U$$

(2.2) holds if and only if

$$\left(|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2k}|T^*|\right)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} \ge U|T|^2 U^*$$

if and only if

$$\left(|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2k}|T^*|\right)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} \ge |T^*|^2 \tag{2.3}$$

Let $A = |T^{n-1}|^{2k}$ and $B = |T^*|^2$. Then (2.3) is equivalent to the following:

$$\left(B^{\frac{1}{2}}AB^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{(n-1)k+1}} \ge B.$$
(2.4)

By applying Lemma 2.3 to (2.4), for any fixed $\delta \ge -1$,

$$g(\lambda) = B^{\frac{-1}{2}} (B^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\lambda} B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)k\lambda+1}} B^{\frac{-1}{2}}$$
$$= |T^*|^{-1} (|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2k\lambda}|T^*|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)k\lambda+1}} |T^*|^{-1}$$

is increasing for $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $(n-1)k\lambda \geq \delta$. Hence

$$g(\lambda) = C^{*(n-1)}g(\lambda)C^{n-1}$$

= $C^{*(n-1)}B^{\frac{-1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\lambda}B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)k\lambda+1}}B^{\frac{-1}{2}}C^{n-1}$
= $(UT^{*}U^{*})^{n-1}|T^{*}|^{-1}(|T^{*}||T^{n-1}|^{2k\lambda}|T^{*}|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)k\lambda+1}}|T^{*}|^{-1}(UTU^{*})^{n-1}$

is increasing for $\lambda \geq 1$ such that $(n-1)k\lambda \geq \delta$, and we have

$$g(\frac{\ell}{k}) = (UT^*U^*)^{n-1}|T^*|^{-1}(|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2\ell}|T^*|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)\ell+1}}|T^*|^{-1}(UTU^*)^{n-1}$$

$$= (UT^*U^*)^{n-1}|T^*|^{-1}(|T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2\ell}|T^*|)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)\ell+1}}|T^*|^{-1}(UTU^*)^{n-1}$$

$$= (UT^*U^*)^{n-1}|T^*|^{-1}U(T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2\ell}T)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)\ell+1}}U^*|T^*|^{-1}T^{n-1} \quad \text{(Since } U \text{ is unitary)}$$

$$= (UT^*U^*)^{n-1}T^{-n^*}T^{n-1^*}(T^*||T^{n-1}|^{2\ell}T)^{\frac{\delta+1}{(n-1)\ell+1}}T^{n-1}T^{-n}(UTU^*)^{n-1}$$

$$= (UT^*U^*)^{n-1}T^{-n^*}f_{n,\delta}(\ell)T^{-n}(UTU^*)^{n-1}$$

is increasing for $\ell \geq k$ such that $(n-1)\ell \geq \delta$. Hence $f_{n,\delta}(\ell)$ is increasing for $\ell \geq \max\left\{k, \frac{\delta}{n-1}\right\}$, that is, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is achieved. \Box

By using Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 2.4. Let T be an invertible and quasi-class A operator. Then the following assertions hold;

(a) $T^{*^{n-1}}|T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{n-1} \ge T^{*^{n-1}}(T^*|T^{n-1}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{n-1} \ge T^*|T|^2T$ for n = $2, 3, \cdots$.

(b) $T^{n*}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^n \ge T^{*n}|T^n|^2T^n$ for all positive integer n. (c) $T^{n*}|T^{2n}|T^n \ge T^{n*}|T^n|^2T^n$ for all positive integer n. (d) $T^*|T|^2T \le T^*|T^2|T \le \cdots \le T^{*n}|T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^n$ for all positive integer n. (e) $T^{*^{-1}}|T^{-2}|T^{-1} \ge T^{*^{-1}}|T^{-1}|^2T^{-1}$.

Proof. Define $f_{n,\delta}(\ell)$ as (2.1) in Theorem 2.2. (a). We will use induction to establish the inequality

$$T^{*^{n-1}}|T^{n}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{n-1} \ge T^{*^{n-1}}(T^{*}|T^{n-1}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{n-1}$$

$$\ge T^{*}|T|^{2}T \quad \text{for} \quad n = 2, 3, \cdots.$$
(2.5)

In case n = 2,

$$T^*|T^2|T = T^*(T^*|T|^2T)^{\frac{1}{2}}T \ge T^*|T|^2T$$

hold since T is a quasi-class A operator. Assume that (2.5) holds for some $n \ge 2$. Then

$$T^*|T|^2 T \le T^{n^*} (T^*|T|^2 T)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^n \qquad \text{(by Inequality (2.5))}$$

$$\le T^{n^*} (T^*|T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}} T)^{\frac{1}{2}} T^n \qquad \text{(by Inequality (2.5) and Löwner-Heinz Theorem).}$$
(2.6)

Then (2.6) and Theorem 2.2 ensure that

$$f_{n+1,0}(\ell) = T^{n^*} (T^* | T^n |^{2\ell} T)^{\frac{1}{n\ell+1}} T^n \quad \text{is increasing for} \ell \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{n}, 0\right\} = \frac{1}{n}, \qquad (2.7)$$

and we have

$$T^{n^{*}}(T^{*}|T^{n}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{n} = f_{n+1,0}(\frac{1}{n})$$

$$\leq f_{n+1,0}(1) \text{ by } (2)$$

$$= T^{n^{*}}(T^{*}|T^{n}|^{\frac{1}{2}}T)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}T^{n}$$

$$= T^{n^{*}}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}T^{n}.$$
(2.8)

Hence (2.6) and (2.8) ensure

$$T^{n^*}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}T^n \ge T^{n^*}(T^*|T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}}T)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge T^*|T|^2T,$$

so that (2.5) hold for $n = 2, 3, \cdots$ by induction, that is, the proof of (a) is achieved.

Proof of (b). We will use induction to establish the inequality

$$T^{n*}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^n \ge T^{*n}|T^n|^2T^n \text{ for all positive integer } n.$$

$$(2.9)$$

In case n = 1, $T^*|T^2|T \ge T^*|T|^2T$ holds since T is a quasi-class A operator. Assume (2.9) holds for some n. We remark the following:

since $T^{n^*}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2}{n+1}}T^n \ge T^*|T|^2T$ holds by part(a), Theorem 2.2 ensures that

$$f_{n+2,n}(\ell) = T^{n+1^*} (T^* | T^{n+1} |^{2\ell} T)^{\frac{n+1}{(n+1)\ell+1}} T^{n+1}$$
(2.10)

is increasing for $\ell \ge \max\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{n}{n+1}\right\} = \frac{n}{n+1}$. Then we have

$$T^{n^{*}}|T^{n+1}|^{2}T^{n} = T^{n+1^{*}}|T^{n}|^{2}T^{n+1}$$

$$\leq T^{n+1^{*}}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^{n+1} \quad \text{(by Inequality (2.9))}$$

$$= f_{n+2,n}(\frac{n}{n+1})$$

$$\leq f_{n+2,n}(1) \quad \text{(by (2.10))}$$

$$= T^{n+1^{*}}(T^{*}|T^{n+1}|^{2}T)^{\frac{n+1}{n+2}}T^{n+1}$$

$$= T^{n+1^{*}}|T^{n+2}|)^{\frac{2(n+1)}{n+2}}T^{n+1}. \quad (2.11)$$

Hence (2.9) holds for all positive integer n by induction, that is, the proof of (b) is achieved.

Proof of (c). By part (b) and Löwner-Heinz Theorem, we obtain

$$T^{n^*} |T^n|^2 T^n \leq T^{n^*} |T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}} T^n = T^{n^*} |T^{n+1}|^{2 \cdot \frac{n}{n+1}} T^n$$

$$\leq \cdots$$

$$\leq T^{n^*} |T^{2n}|^{\frac{2(2n-1)}{2n} \times \frac{n}{2n-1}} T^n = T^{n^*} |T^{2n}|^{2 \times \frac{n}{2n}} T^n$$

$$= T^{n^*} |T^{2n}| T^n,$$

so that we have (c).

Proof of (d). Applying Löwner-Heinz Theorem to (b),

$$T^{n*}|T^{n+1}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^n \ge T^{*n}|T^n|^2T^n$$

holds for all positive integer n. Therefore we obtain

$$T^*|T|^2T \le T^*|T^2|T \le \dots \le T^{*n}|T^n|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^n$$

for all positive integer n.

Proof of (e). We cite the following obvious result (see [3]): Let S be an invertible operator. Then

$$(S^*S)^{\lambda} = S^*(SS^*)^{\lambda-1}S$$
 holds for any real number λ . (2.12)

Suppose that T is an invertible quasi-class A operator. Then

$$T^{2^*}T^2 = T^*|T|^2T \le T^*|T^2|T = T^*(T^{2^*}T^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}T = T^{3^*}(T^2T^{2^*})^{\frac{-1}{2}}T^3$$
(2.13)

holds by (2.12). (2.13) holds if and only if

$$T^{*^{-1}}T^{-1} \le (T^{*^{-2}}T^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(2.14)

if and only if

$$T^{*^{-2}}T^{-2} \le T^{*^{-1}}(T^{*^{-2}}T^{-2})^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{-1}$$

if and only if

$$T^{*^{-1}}|T^{-1}|^2T^{-1} \le T^{*^{-1}}|T^{-2}|T^{-1},$$

so that the proof of (e) is complete.

Corollary 2.5. (i) If T is an invertible and quasi-class A operator, then T^n is also a quasi-class A operator.

(ii) If T is an invertible and quasi-class A operator, then T^{-1} is also a quasiclass A operator.

Theorem 2.6. Let T be an invertible and quasi-class A operator. Then the following assertions hold;

(a) $T|T^*|^2T^* \ge T^{n-1}(T|T^{n-1^*}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{*^{n-1}} \ge T^{n-1}|T^{*n}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{*n-1}$ for n = $2, 3, \cdots$ (b) $T^{n}|T^{n+1^{*}}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^{n^{*}} \leq T^{n}|T^{n^{*}}|^{2}T^{n^{*}}$ for all positive integer n. (c) $T^{n}|T^{2n^{*}}|T^{n^{*}} \leq T^{n}|T^{n^{*}}|^{2}T^{n^{*}}$ for all positive integer n. (d) $T|T^{*}|^{2}T^{*} \geq T|T^{2^{*}}|T^{*} \geq \cdots \geq T^{n}|T^{n^{*}}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{n^{*}}$ for all positive integer n.

Proof. First of all, we remark that

$$|S^{-1}| = (S^{*^{-1}}S^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} = (SS^{*})^{\frac{-1}{2}} = |S^{*}|^{-1} \text{ for any invertible operator } S.$$
(2.15)

Suppose that T is an invertible and quasi-class A operator. Then T^{-1} is also a quasi-class A operator by part (e) of Theorem 2.4.

Proof of (a). Since T^{-1} a quasi-class A operator, applying part (a) of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$T^{*^{-n+1}}|T^{-n}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{-n+1} \ge T^{*^{-n+1}}(T^{-1^*}|T^{-n+1}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{-n+1} \ge T^{-1^*}|T^{-1}|^2T^{-1}.$$
 (2.16)

 \square

By (2.15), (2.16) hold if and only if

$$T^{*^{-n+1}}|T^{n^*}|^{\frac{-2}{n}}T^{-n+1} \ge T^{*^{-n+1}}(T^{-1^*}|T^{n-1^*}|^{\frac{-2}{n-1}}T^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{-n+1} \ge T^{-1^*}|T^*|^{-2}T^{-1}.$$

if and only if

$$T^{n-1}|T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{n-1^*} \le T^{n-1}(T|T^{n-1^*}|^{\frac{2}{n-1}}T^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{n-1^*} \le T|T^*|^2T^*$$

Proof of (b). Since T^{-1} a quasi-class A operator, applying part (b) of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$T^{(-n)*}|T^{-(n+1)}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^{-n} \ge T^{(-n)*}|T^{-n}|^2T^{-n}.$$
(2.17)

By (2.15), (2.17) hold if and only if

$$T^{(-n)*}|T^{(n+1)*}|^{\frac{-2n}{n+1}}T^{-n} \ge T^{(-n)*}|T^{n*}|^{-2}T^{-n}.$$

if and only if

$$T^{n}|T^{(n+1)^{*}}|^{\frac{2n}{n+1}}T^{n^{*}} \le T^{n}|T^{n^{*}}|^{2}T^{n^{*}}$$

Proof of (c). Since T^{-1} a quasi-class A operator, applying part (c) of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$T^{(-n)^*}|T^{-2n}|T^{-n} \ge T^{(-n)^*}|T^{-n}|^2T^{-n}.$$
(2.18)

By (2.15), (2.18) hold if and only if

$$T^{(-n)^*}|T^{(2n)^*}|^{-1}T^{-n} \ge T^{(-n)^*}|T^{n^*}|^{-2}T^{-n}.$$

if and only if

$$T^{n}|T^{(2n)^{*}}|T^{n^{*}} \leq T^{n}|T^{n^{*}}|^{2}T^{n^{*}}$$

Proof of (d). Since T^{-1} a quasi-class A operator, applying part (d) of Theorem 2.4, we have

$$T^{*^{-1}}|T^{-1}|^{2}T^{-1} \leq T^{-1*}|T^{-2}|T^{-1} \leq \dots \leq T^{(-n)^{*}}|T^{-n}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{-n}.$$
(2.19)
By (2.15), (2.19) hold if and only if

$$T^{*^{-1}}|T^{*}|^{-2}T^{-1} \le T^{*^{-1}}|T^{2^{*}}|^{-1}T^{-1} \le \dots \le T^{(-n)^{*}}|T^{n^{*}}|^{\frac{-2}{n}}T^{-n}.$$

if and only if

$$T|T^*|^2T^* \ge T|T^{2^*}|T^* \ge \dots \ge T^n|T^{n^*}|^{\frac{2}{n}}T^{n^*}.$$

Hence the proof of the theorem is achieved.

Hölder-McCarthy Inequality. Let T be a positive operator. Then the following inequalities hold for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$: (i) $\langle T^r x, x \rangle \leq \langle T x, x \rangle^r ||x||^{2(1-r)}$ for $0 < r \leq 1$.

(ii)
$$\langle T^r x, x \rangle \ge \langle T x, x \rangle^r ||x||^{2(1-r)}$$
 for $r \ge 1$.

Theorem 2.7. Let T be a quasi-class A. Then the following assertions hold.

- (i) $||T^{k+1}x||^2 \le ||T^kx|| ||T^{k+2}x||$ for all unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and all positive integer k.
- (ii) $\|T^{k+1}\|^{k+1} \leq r(T^{k+1}) \|T^k\|^{k+1}$ for all positive integer k, where $r(T^k)$ denote the spectral radius of T^k .

Proof. (i) Suppose that T is a quasi-class A. Then for every unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| T^{k+1}x \right\|^2 &= \left\langle T^{*k} | T|^2 T^k x, x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle T^{*k} | T^2 | T^k x, x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle (T^{*2}T^2)^{1/2} T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\langle (T^{*2}T^2) T^k x, T^k x \right\rangle^{1/2} \left\| T^k x \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| T^{k+2}x \right\| \left\| T^k x \right\|. \end{split}$$
 (by Hölder-McCarthy Inequality)

(ii) If $T^k = 0$ for some k > 1, then $r(T^k) = 0$. Hence (ii) is obvious. Hence we may assume $T^k \neq 0$ for all $k \ge 1$. Then

$$\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|} \le \frac{\|T^{k+2}\|}{\|T^{k+1}\|} \le \dots \le \frac{\|T^{m(k+1)}\|}{\|T^{m(k+1)-1}\|}$$

by (i), and we have

$$\left(\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|}\right)^{m(k+1)-k} \le \frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|} \times \dots \times \frac{\|T^{m(k+1)}\|}{\|T^{m(k+1)-1}\|} = \frac{\|T^{m(k+1)}\|}{\|T^k\|}.$$

Hence

$$\left(\frac{\|T^{k+1}\|}{\|T^k\|}\right)^{(k+1)-\frac{k}{m}} \le \frac{\|T^{m(k+1)}\|^{\frac{1}{m}}}{\|T^k\|^{\frac{1}{m}}},$$

letting $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\|T^{k+1}\|^{k+1} \le r(T^{k+1}) \|T^k\|^{k+1}.$$

References

- A. Aluthge, D. Wang, An operator inequality which implies paranormality. Math. Ineq. Appl. 2 (1) (1999), 113–119.
- [2] A. Aluthge, D. Wang, w-hyponormal operators. Integral Equation Operator Theory, 36 (2000), 1–10.
- [3] M. Chō, T. Yamazaki, An operator transform from class A to the class of hyponormal operators and its application. Integral Equation operator Theory 53 (4) (2005), 497-508.
- [4] B. P. Duggal, I. H. Jeon and I. H. Kim, On Weyl's theorem for quasi-class A operators. J. Korean Math. Soc., 43 (4) (2006), 899–909.
- [5] T. Furuta, M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, A subclass of paranormal operators including class of *log*-hyponormal and several related classes. Sci. math. 1 (1998), 389–403.
- [6] M. Ito, Several Properties On Class A including p hyponormal and log-hyponormal operators. Math. Ineq. Appl. 2 (4)(1999), 569–578.
- [7] I. H. Jeon, I. H. Kim, On operators satisfying $T^*|T^2|T \ge T^*|T|^2T^*$. Linear Alg. Appl. **418** (2006), 854–862.
- [8] I. H. Jeon, J.I. Lee and A. Uchiyama, On p-quasihyponormal operators and quasisimilarity. Math. Ineq. App. 6 (2) (2003), 309–315.
- [9] I.H. Kim, On (p,k)-quasihyponormal operators. Math. Ineq. Appl. 4 (2004), 169–178.
- [10] A. Uchiyama, Weyl's theorem for Class A operators. Math. Ineq. App. 4 (1) (2001), 143–150.

M.H.M.Rashid Department of Mathematics& Statistics Faculty of Science P.O.Box(7) Mu'tah University Al-Karak-Jordan