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## Bounds of Stanley depth

## Dorin Popescu


#### Abstract

We answer positively a question of Asia Rauf for the case of intersections of three prime ideals generated by disjoint sets of variables and we present several inequalities on Stanley depth.


This is a detailed presentation of our talk at the conference on "Fundamental structures of algebra" in honor of Prof. Serban Basarab at his 70-th anniversary. Let $S=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial algebra over a field $K$, $I \subset J \subset S$ two monomial ideals and $M=J / I$. The depth of $M$ is a homological invariant and depends on the characteristic of the field $K$. For example if $I$ is the Stanley-Reisner ideal associated to the triangulation of the projective real plane $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^{2}$ then depth $S / I=3$ if and only if the characteristic of $K$ is not 2, otherwise depth $S / I=2$ (see [16]). This is because the singular homology $\tilde{H}_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^{2} ; K\right)=0$ if and only if the characteristic of $K$ is not 2 , otherwise $\tilde{H}_{1}\left(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{R}}^{2} ; K\right)=K$. In 1982 Stanley [18] introduces a new invariant the so-called the Stanley depth, which is combinatorially defined and so does not depend on the characteristic of the field $K$. Given a monomial $u \in(J \backslash I)$ and $Z \subset\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, we say that $\hat{u} K[Z], \hat{u}=u+J$, is a Stanley space of dimension $|Z|$ if it is free over $K[Z]$. A Stanley decomposition of $J / I$ is a finite direct sum of Stanley spaces, $\mathcal{D}: \quad J / I=\oplus_{i=1}^{s} u_{i} K\left[Z_{i}\right]$, and we call sdepth $\mathcal{D}=\min \left\{\left|Z_{i}\right|\right\}$ the Stanley depth of $\mathcal{D}$. For example the Stanley decomposition $\mathcal{D}: K[x, y] /\left(x^{2}, x y\right)=K[y] \oplus x K$ has sdepth $\mathcal{D}=0$. We define

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} J / I=\max \{\operatorname{sdepth} \mathcal{D}: \mathcal{D} \text { Stanley decomposition of } J / I\} .
$$

There exists an infinite set of Stanley decompositions and apparently it is impossible to find sdepth in general. Herzog-Vladoiu-Zheng [5] reduced the problem to find a partition of a finite ordered set. Stanley conjectured that

[^0]sdepth $J / I \geq$ depth $J / I$. In [11] and [12] we showed that if $n \leq 5$ and either $J=S$ or $I=0$ then the Stanley's Conjecture holds. Stanley depth shares some common properties, as Apel noticed [1], with the usual depth as, for example,
$$
\operatorname{sdepth} S / I \leq \min _{P \in \operatorname{Ass} S / I} \operatorname{dim} S / P
$$
where Ass $J / I$ denotes the associated prime ideals of $J / I$.
A. Rauf stated in [15] the following result:

Proposition 1. depth ${ }_{S} S /(I: v) \geq \operatorname{depth}_{S} S / I$, for each monomial $v \notin I$.
It is worth to mention that these results hold only in monomial frame. One could think about similar questions on Stanley depth. The following proposition can be seen as a possible analog of the above proposition and it is given in the arXiv version of [12] but not in the printed version, where the paper had to be shorter.

Proposition 2. $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S}(I: v) \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I$ for each monomial $v \notin I$.
Proof. By recurrence it is enough to consider the case when $v$ is a variable, let us say $v=x_{n}$. Let $\mathcal{D}: I=\oplus_{i=1}^{r} u_{i} K\left[Z_{i}\right]$ be a Stanley decomposition of $I$ such that sdepth $\mathcal{D}=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I$. We will show that

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\prime}:\left(I: x_{n}\right)=\left(\oplus_{x_{n} \mid u_{i}}\left(u_{i} / x_{n}\right) K\left[Z_{i}\right]\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{u_{j} \notin\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n} \in Z_{j}} u_{j} K\left[Z_{j}\right]\right)
$$

is a Stanley decomposition of $\left(I: x_{n}\right)$. Indeed, if $a$ is a monomial such that $x_{n} a \in I$ then we have $x_{n} a=u_{i} w_{i}$ for some $i$ and a monomial $w_{i}$ of $K\left[Z_{i}\right]$. If $x_{n} \not \backslash u_{i}$ then $x_{n} \mid w_{i}$ and so $x_{n} \in Z_{i}$. If $x_{n} \mid u_{i}$ then $a=\left(u_{i} / x_{n}\right) w_{i}$, which shows that

$$
\left(I: x_{n}\right)=\left(\Sigma_{x_{n} \mid u_{i}}\left(u_{i} / x_{n}\right) K\left[Z_{i}\right]\right)+\left(\Sigma_{u_{j} \notin\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n} \in Z_{j}} u_{j} K\left[Z_{j}\right]\right)
$$

It remains to show that the above sum is direct. If $x_{n} \mid u_{i}, u_{j} \notin\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n} \in Z_{j}$ and $u_{j} w_{j}=\left(u_{i} / x_{n}\right) w_{i}$ for some monomials $w_{j} \in K\left[Z_{j}\right], w_{i} \in K\left[Z_{i}\right]$ then $u_{j}\left(x_{n} w_{j}\right)=u_{i} w_{i}$ belongs to $u_{i} K\left[Z_{i}\right] \cap u_{j} K\left[Z_{j}\right]$, which is not possible.

Thus $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ is a Stanley decomposition of $\left(I: x_{n}\right)$ with sdepth $\mathcal{D}^{\prime} \geq$ sdepth $\mathcal{D}=$ $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I$, which ends the proof.

Corollary 3. (Ishaq, [8]) Let $I \in S$ be a monomial ideal with $\operatorname{Ass}(S / I)=$ $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\}$. Then $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \leq \min \left\{\operatorname{sdepth}\left(P_{i}\right): 1 \leq i \leq s\right\}$.
Proof. (After [8]) Let $P_{i} \in \operatorname{Ass}(S / I)$. Then $P_{i}$ is a monomial ideal and there exists a monomial $w_{i} \in I$ such that $I: w_{i}=P_{i}$. By the above proposition, we have $\operatorname{sdepth}(I) \leq \operatorname{sdepth}\left(I: w_{i}\right)=\operatorname{sdepth}\left(P_{i}\right)$.

Another interesting result of Ishaq is the following

Theorem 4. (Ishaq, $[7]) \operatorname{sdepth}(J / I) \leq \operatorname{sdepth}(\sqrt{J} / \sqrt{I})$.
When $J=S$ the result is given in [2], or in the case of depth in [3].
Next we present some bounds of $\operatorname{sdepth}(I), \operatorname{sdepth}(S / I)$ given when $I$ has a small number of primary components.

Theorem 5. (Popescu-Qureshi, [14]) Let $Q, Q^{\prime}$ be two primary monomial ideals of $S$. If $Q+Q^{\prime}$ is the maximal ideal of $S$ then sdepth $S /\left(Q \cap Q^{\prime}\right) \leq$

$$
\max \left\{\min \left\{\operatorname{dim} S / Q^{\prime},\left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{dim}(S / Q)}{2}\right\rceil\right\}, \min \left\{\operatorname{dim}(S / Q),\left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S / Q^{\prime}\right)}{2}\right\rceil\right\}\right\}
$$

and the equality holds when $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are irreducible (for example prime).
Always we can reduce the problem to the case when $Q+Q^{\prime}$ is the maximal ideal of $S$, since a free variable increases depth and sdepth by 1 as it is showed in [5].

Corollary 6. If $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are irreducible monomial ideals then the Stanley's Conjecture holds for $S /\left(Q \cap Q^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 7. (Popescu-Qureshi, [14]) If $Q, Q^{\prime}$ are irreducible monomial ideals and $Q+Q^{\prime}$ is the maximal ideal of $S$ then

$$
\operatorname{sdepth} Q \cap Q^{\prime} \geq\left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{dim}(S / Q)}{2}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S / Q^{\prime}\right)}{2}\right\rceil
$$

Corollary 8. Let $Q, Q^{\prime}, Q^{\prime \prime}$ be irreducible monomial ideals then the Stanley's Conjecture holds for $Q \cap Q^{\prime}$ and $S /\left(Q \cap Q^{\prime} \cap Q^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

The above corollary is completed by Adrian Popescu as follows:
Theorem 9. (A. Popescu, [10]) The Stanley's Conjecture holds for intersections of three prime ideals.

The proof of the above theorem relies on a special Stanley decomposition which we extend in [13]. Let $r<n$ be a positive integer and $S^{\prime}=$ $K\left[x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], S^{\prime \prime}=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]$. We suppose that one prime ideal $P_{i}$ is generated in some of the first $r$ variables. If $P_{i}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$ we say that $P_{i}$ is a main prime. For a subset $\tau \subset[s]$ we set

$$
S_{\tau}=K\left[\left\{x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq r, x_{i} \notin \Sigma_{i \in \tau} P_{i}\right\}\right]
$$

and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all nonempty subsets $\tau \subset[s]$ such that

$$
L_{\tau}=\left(\cap_{i \in \tau} P_{i}\right) \cap S^{\prime} \neq(0), J_{\tau}=\left(\cap_{i \in[s] \backslash \tau} P_{i}\right) \cap S_{\tau} \neq(0)
$$

For $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$ we consider the ideals $I_{0}=\left(I \cap K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right]\right) S$, and

$$
I_{\tau}=J_{\tau} L_{\tau} S_{\tau}\left[x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]
$$

Define the integers

$$
A_{\tau}=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S_{\tau}\left[x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]} I_{\tau} \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S_{\tau}} J_{\tau}+\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}} L_{\tau}
$$

and $A_{0}=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I_{0}$ if $I_{0} \neq(0)$. Then
Theorem 10. (D. Popescu, [13]) $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq \min \left\{A_{0},\left\{A_{\tau}\right\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{F}}\right\}$.
Corollary 11. (D.Popescu,[13]) The Stanley's Conjecture holds for intersections of four prime ideals.

Our Theorem 10 has also some limits which can be seen in the next example.

Example 12. ([13]) Let $n=10$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1} & =\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right), P_{2}=\left(x_{3}, \ldots, x_{8}\right), \\
P_{3} & =\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}, x_{8}, \ldots, x_{10}\right), \\
P_{4} & =\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}, x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{10}\right), \\
P_{5} & =\left(x_{5}, \ldots, x_{10}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $P_{1}+P_{3}=P_{2}+P_{3}=P_{1}+P_{4}=P_{2}+P_{4}=P_{3}+P_{5}=P_{1}+P_{5}=m$, $P_{2}+P_{5}=m \backslash\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}, P_{3}+P_{4}=m \backslash\left\{x_{6}, x_{7}\right\}, P_{4}+P_{5}=m \backslash\left\{x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$, $P_{1}+P_{2}=m \backslash\left\{x_{9}, x_{10}\right\}$. We have $t(I)=2$, where $t(I)$ is the big size of I (see Definition [13]), and $\operatorname{depth}_{S} S / I=4$. Applying Proposition 10 for $P_{1}$ as main prime we see that $A_{3,4}^{(1)} \geq 3$, that is $A_{\tau}$ for $\tau=\{3,4\}$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{3,4}^{(1)} \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{K\left[x_{6}, x_{7}\right]}\left(x_{6}, x_{7}\right) K\left[x_{6}, x_{7}\right]+ \\
+\operatorname{sdepth}_{K\left[x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{10}\right]}\left(x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{10}\right) K\left[x_{8}, x_{9}, x_{10}\right]=3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly choosing $P_{2}$ as a main prime we get $A_{3,4}^{(2)} \geq 3$ and taking $P_{3}, P_{4}$ as main primes we get $A_{2,5}^{(3)} \geq 3$, respectively $A_{2,5}^{(4)} \geq 3$. Thus from these we cannot conclude that $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq \operatorname{depth}_{S} I$. Fortunately, choosing $P_{5}$ as a main prime one can see that all $A_{\tau} \geq 4$, which is enough.

Let $I=\cap_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}, s \geq 2$ be a reduced intersection of monomial prime ideals of $S$. We assume that $\Sigma_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}=m=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Definition 13. Let $e$ be the minimal number such that there exists $e$-prime ideals among $\left(P_{i}\right)$ whose sum is $m$. After Lyubeznik the size of $I$ is $e-1$. We call the big size of $I$ the minimal number $t=t(I)<s$ such that the sum of all possible $(t+1)$-prime ideals of $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{s}\right\}$ is $m$. In particular, there exist $1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{t} \leq s$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{t} P_{i_{k}} \neq m$ and for all $j \in[s] \backslash\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{t}\right\}$ we have $P_{j}+\Sigma_{k=1}^{t} P_{i_{k}}=m$. Clearly the big size of $I$ is bigger than the size of $I$.

Remark 14. By Lyubeznik, $\operatorname{depth}_{S} S / I$ is always greater than the size of $I$ and so if the size of $I$ is 1 then necessary $\operatorname{depth}_{S} I \geq 2$.

Example 15. Let $n=5, s=4, P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, x_{5}\right), P_{2}=\left(x_{2}, x_{5}\right), P_{3}=\left(x_{3}, x_{5}\right)$, $P_{4}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$. Since $P_{1}+P_{2}+P_{3} \neq m$ the big size of $I=\cap_{i=1}^{4} P_{i}$ is 3 but depth ${ }_{S} S / I=1$ because $P_{i}+P_{4}=m$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

Corollary 16. If the big size of I is 1 then the Stanley's Conjecture holds for $I$.

It is easy to see that the above corollary holds for $n \leq 2$. If $n \geq 3$ then $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq 2=$ depth $I$ by Fløysted and Herzog [4]. A different proof is done in [13] using Theorem 10. This theorem is extended for all monomial ideals and has the following consequence:

Theorem 17. (Herzog, Popescu, Vladoiu, [6]) sdepth $I \geq 1+$ size $I$.
Next we present some results on intersections of prime ideals generated by disjoint sets of variables. A helpful result is the following:

Theorem 18. (D. Popescu, [13]) Let $I=\cap_{i=1}^{s} P_{i}$ be a reduced intersection of monomial prime ideals of $S$. Assume that $P_{i} \not \subset \Sigma_{1=j \neq i}^{s} P_{j}$ for all $i \in[s]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq s=\operatorname{depth}_{S} I
$$

that is the Stanley's Conjecture holds for I.
The above result is useful to show the following:
Theorem 19. (Ishaq, [8]) Let I be a monomial ideal such that the prime ideals of Ass $S / I$ are generated by disjoint sets of variables. Then the Stanley's Conjecture holds for $I$ and $S / I$.

When $I$ is square free the above theorem is stated in [10]. A. Rauf [15] asked if sdepth $I \geq 1+\operatorname{sdepth} S / I$. When $I$ is the intersection of two irreducible monomial ideals, this question has a positive answer (see [14]).

Theorem 20. Let $1 \leq r \leq e \leq q$ be some integers such that $n=r+e+q$ and assume that $P_{1}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right), P_{2}=\left(x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{r+e}\right), P_{3}=\left(x_{r+e+1}, \ldots, x_{r+e+q}\right)$ and $I=P_{1} \cap P_{2} \cap P_{3}$. Then

1. $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I$,
2. moreover sdepth $_{S} I \geq 1+\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I$ except possible in the case when either $r=e$ is even and $q$ is even, or $r$ is odd and $e=r+1$.

Proof. Choose $P_{1}$ to be main prime and apply Theorem 10. Set $A_{2}, S_{2}$, $J_{2}, L_{2}$ for $\tau=\{2\}$ and similarly for $\tau=\{3\}$ or $\tau=\{2,3\}$. Note that $S_{2}=S_{3}=S_{23}=S^{\prime \prime}$ and $J_{2}=J_{3}=0, J_{2,3}=P_{1} \cap S^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
A_{23} \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S_{2}}\left(P_{1} \cap S_{23}\right)+\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime}}\left(P_{2} \cap P_{3} \cap S^{\prime}\right) \geq\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil+\left\lceil\frac{q+e}{2}\right\rceil,
$$

the inequality being strict by [7, Corollaries 2.9, 2.10] (see also [17]) if $q, e$ are not both even, and $\left\lceil\frac{r}{2}\right\rceil$ denotes the smallest upper integer greater than $r / 2$. It follows that $A_{23} \geq 1+r+\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil$ except possible when $r=e$ is even and $q$ is even. Using the next proposition $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I \leq r+\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil$ except possible when $e=r+1$ and $r$ is odd. Hence $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq 1+\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I$ except possible in the cases when either $r=e$ is even and $q$ is even, or $r$ is odd and $e=r+1$. In these two cases we may have only $\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} I \geq \operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I$. Finally, $A_{0}=\operatorname{sdepth}_{S^{\prime \prime}}\left(I \cap S^{\prime \prime}\right)+n-r \geq 1+\operatorname{dim} S / P_{1} \geq 1+\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I$ if $I \cap S^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$. The proof ends by applying Theorem 10 .

Proposition 21. (Ishaq,[8]) In the hypothesis of the above theorem it holds

$$
\operatorname{sdepth}_{S} S / I<1+r+\min \left\{e,\left\lceil\frac{q}{2}\right\rceil\right\}
$$

except in the case $r$ is odd and $e=r+1$ when the upper bound could be possible reached.
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