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#### Abstract

A regular triangular prism with all edges of length 1 can be held by a circle.


## 1 Introduction

Problems on a net or a box holding a unit sphere have been considered by A . S. Besicovitch [1]. H. S. M. Coxeter proposed a problem on a cage holding a unit sphere in [3]: Find a cage of minimum sum of edge lengths holding a unit sphere (not permitting it to slide out). Coxeter conjectured that it is a right triangular prism all of whose edges are equal to $\sqrt{3}$, so that the total length of all edges is $9 \sqrt{3}=15.59 \ldots$. But this conjecture was false, as Besicovitch proved in [2] that the greatest lower bound of the sum of edges of a cage to hold a unit-sphere is at most $\gamma=\frac{8 \pi}{3}+2 \sqrt{3}=11.88 \ldots$

Are there any convex bodies which can be held using a circle? T. Zamfirescu [6] showed not only that these convex bodies do exist, but also that they form a large majority. More precisely, he showed that the convex bodies which cannot be held by a circle form a nowhere dense subset.

What about the prisms, pyramids, cylinders or cones? Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the space of all circles in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, endowed with the Hausdorff metric $\delta$.

[^0]Following [6], for a convex body $\mathrm{B} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ to be held by the circle C means that $\operatorname{int} \mathrm{B} \cap \mathrm{C}=\varnothing$ and, for some natural number $m$, there is no continuous mapping, $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $f(0)=\mathrm{C}, \delta(f(0), f(1))>m$ and, for all $t \in[0,1], f(t)$ is congruent with C and $f(t) \cap \operatorname{intB}=\varnothing$. That is, the circle C cannot move or can move only slightly. If the circle $C$ cannot move at all, we say that B is held by C rigidly.

For example, balls and circular cylinders cannot be held by a circle; on the other hand regular tetrahedra can. For a related question, see [4]. Whether a regular triangular pyramid can be held or not depends on its height [5].

In this paper, we will investigate the non-obvious question whether a prism B can be held by a circle. We reach the following main conclusion.

Theorem 1.1. A regular triangular prism with all edges of length 1 can be held by a circle.

## 2 Preliminaries
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We consider the regular triangular prism abcdef with all edges of length 1 (see Fig. 1). Let $l$ be the orthogonal projection of $a$ on $b c$ and $m$ be the orthogonal
projection of $d$ on $e f$. Take points $t$ on the line-segment $l c$, and $p$ on the side $a c$ with $p t \| a l$. Let $x$ be the distance $|l t|$ from $l$ to $t\left(0 \leqslant x<\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Denote by $q, u$ the mid points of $a d, l m$ respectively. Let $\pi$ be the plane through the points $p, q, t$, as in Figure 1. The intersection $\pi \cap \mathrm{B}$ is a pentagon pqrst symmetric with respect to $q u$. The quadrilateral prst is a rectangle. We denote the radius of the circle circumscribed to the rectangle prst by $R(x)$. From elementary calculation, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{7 x^{2}-3 x+\frac{7}{4}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$R(x)$ has a (single) minimum at $x=\tau=\frac{3}{14}=0.24 \ldots$

We denote the radius of the circle circumscribed to the triangle $t q s$ by $T(x)$, and find out that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(x^{2}+1\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $x \geqslant 0, T(x)$ is an increasing function. The triangle tqs is acute. We denote the value of $x$ which realizes $R(x)=T(x)$ by $\sigma$. We define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(x)=\max \{R(x), T(x)\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. $M(x)$ has a unique minimum at $x=\sigma$.
Proof. The value of $\sigma$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{7 x^{2}-3 x+\frac{7}{4}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(x^{2}+1\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
16 x^{4}-52 x^{2}+36 x-5=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\sqrt{\xi}+\sqrt{\xi-\frac{9-(4 \xi-13) \sqrt{\xi}}{2 \sqrt{\xi}}}\right)=0.192 \ldots . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=\frac{\sqrt{109}}{6} \cos \frac{\alpha}{3}+\frac{13}{6} \quad \text { and } \quad \cos \alpha=-\frac{163}{\sqrt{109^{3}}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $\sigma<\tau$. Since $R(x)$ is decreasing and $T(x)$ is increasing in $[0, \sigma]$, $M(x)(=R(x))$ is decreasing there. Since both $R(x)$ and $T(x)$ are increasing in $\left[\sigma, \frac{1}{2}\right], M(x)(=T(x))$ is also increasing there. Therefore, $M(x)$ has a unique minimum at $\sigma$.
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Next, we consider the case of moving the plane $\pi$ in a neighborhood of $x=\sigma$, in various directions. We prepare now the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\pi^{\prime}$ be the plane obtained from $\pi$ after a rotation of angle $\theta$ around ts. We denote by $p^{\prime}, r^{\prime}$ the intersections of $\pi^{\prime}$ with the edges ac, de respectively. The intersection $\pi^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{B}$ becomes the trapezoid $p^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ st, and denote by $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ the radii of the circles circumscribed to the rectangle prst and the trapezoid $p^{\prime} r^{\prime}$ st. Then $\rho \leqslant \rho^{\prime}$ if $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{3}{10}$.

Proof. Assume $\theta>0$, i.e. a counterclockwise rotation. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime 2}=\frac{x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}}{4 x^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+1}\left(\frac{3\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)^{2}}{4 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)}+4\left(x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\right)-\frac{2 \sqrt{3} x\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right) \sin \theta}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{d \rho \prime^{2}}{d \theta}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}-5 x\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{d \rho \prime^{2}}{d \theta}\right) \geqslant 0$ if $0 \leqslant x \leqslant \frac{3}{10}$. This happens indeed around $\sigma$, because $0<\sigma<\frac{3}{10}$.
@ By symmetry, $\rho^{\prime}(\theta)=\rho^{\prime}(-\theta)$, hence $\rho^{\prime}$ attains a minimum at $\theta=0$.

Lemma 2.3. Consider the points $p^{\prime \prime}$ on the line $p t$ and $r^{\prime \prime}$ on the line $r$. If $|p t|>\left|p^{\prime \prime} t\right|$ and $|r s|<\left|r^{\prime \prime} s\right|$ then the radius $\rho$ of the circle circumscribed to the rectangle prst is smaller than the radius $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ of the circle circumscribed to the trapezoid $p^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime}$ st. If $|p t|<\left|p^{\prime \prime} t\right|$ and $|r s|>\left|r^{\prime \prime} s\right|$ then we get the same conclusion.

Proof. Clearly, $\rho=\frac{|r t|}{2}$. Now, in case $|p t|>\left|p^{\prime \prime} t\right|$ and $|r s|<\left|r^{\prime \prime} s\right|$, the radius of the circle circumscribed to $p^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime} s t$ is $\frac{\left|r^{\prime \prime} t\right|}{2}$ and so $\rho^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left|r^{\prime \prime} t\right|}{2} \geqslant \frac{|r t|}{2}=\rho$. The case $|p t|<\left|p^{\prime \prime} t\right|$ and $|r s|>\left|r^{\prime \prime} s\right|$ is analogous.

Lemma 2.4. Let $h_{0}>0$. Among all triangles having fixed side and the corresponding height $h \geqslant h_{0}$, the isosceles one of height $h_{0}$ has smallest circumscribed circle.

Proof. The easy proof is left to the reader.

Lemma 2.5. Any plane in some neighborhood of the plane $\pi$ can be obtained from $\pi$ by a rotation around uq, a rotation around st, and a translation along $l c D$

Proof. This is common knowledge.

Lemma 2.6. Let abcd be a trapezoid with ad $\| b c,|a b|=|d c|=w,|a d|=$ $u,|b c|=v(u>v)$, and $\tilde{a} \tilde{b} \tilde{c} \tilde{d}$ be a rectangle with $|\tilde{a} \tilde{d}|=|\tilde{b} \tilde{c}|=\frac{u+v}{2},|\tilde{a} \tilde{b}|=$ $|\tilde{c} \tilde{d}|=w$. We denote the radii of the circles circumscribed to abcd, $\tilde{a} \tilde{b} \tilde{c} \tilde{d}$ by $\rho, \tilde{\rho}$. If $w>\frac{u-v}{2}$, then $\rho>\tilde{\rho}$.

Proof. From direct calculation,

$$
\rho^{2}=\frac{w^{2}\left(u v+w^{2}\right)}{\{2 w+(u-v)\}\{2 w-(u-v)\}}, \quad \tilde{\rho}^{2}=\frac{1}{16}\left\{(u+v)^{2}+4 w^{2}\right\},
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}-\tilde{\rho}^{2}=\frac{(u+v)^{2}(u-v)^{2}}{16\{2 w+(u-v)\}\{2 w-(u-v)\}}>0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem

We now proceed to the proof of our main result.

## Proof of Theorem 1.1.

By Lemma 2.5, we have to consider the following three transformations of the plane cutting B. First, a rotation around the axis $q u$, next, a rotation about the axis st, next, a translation along $b c$. Suppose $\pi_{0}$ is the position of the plane for $x=\sigma, \pi$ is the position of the plane after the first rotation, $\pi^{\prime}$ the position after the second rotation, and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ the position after the translation. The intersection $\pi^{\prime} \cap \mathrm{B}$ is a pentagon $p^{\prime} q^{\prime} r^{\prime} s t$, the triangle $t q^{\prime} s$ becomes nonisosceles and the quadrilateral $p^{\prime} r^{\prime} s t$ becomes a trapezoid.
In case $\sigma<x<\frac{1}{2}$, we will prove that $T(\sigma)$ is less than the radius of the circle circumscribed to all intersections with B of planes in a neighborhood of $\pi$. Indeed, this is clear by Lemma 2.4.
In case $0<x<\sigma$, we will prove that $R(\sigma)$ is the smallest radius of the circle circumscribed to the quadrilateral $p^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}$ determined by $\pi^{\prime \prime}$. We denote the radii of the circles circumscribed to the trapezoids $p^{\prime} r^{\prime} s t, p^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}$ by $R^{\prime}(x, \theta)$, $R^{\prime \prime}(x, \theta, \Delta x)$ respectively. For $0<x<\frac{3}{10}$, by Lemma 2.2 , the radius $R^{\prime}(x, \theta)$ increases with $\theta$. But, by the translation parallel to $\pi^{\prime}, R^{\prime \prime}(x, \theta, \Delta x)$ decreases in the following two cases. We must consider these two cases carefully.
(i) The case of a counterclockwise rotation $(\theta>0)$ and of a translation $\Delta x>0$ (in direction $b c$ ).
(ii) The case of a clockwise rotation $(\theta<0)$ and of a translation $\Delta x<0$ (in direction $c b$ ).
The two cases are symmetric, so we shall discuss only case (i).

In case (i), the diagonal $p^{\prime} s$ of the trapezoid $p^{\prime} r^{\prime} s t$ is longer than the diagonal $r^{\prime} t$. But the following translation $\Delta x$ yields

$$
\left|p^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime \prime}\right|<\left|p^{\prime} s\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|r^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}\right|>\left|r^{\prime} t\right| .
$$

Thus, when $\Delta x=\mu$, the trapezoid $p_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} r_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} s_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} t_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies $\left|p_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} s_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|r_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} t_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|$. Then $\left|p_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} r_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|s_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} t_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|$, the trapezoid is isosceles. We show now that $R^{\prime \prime}(x, \theta, \mu)$ is the smallest radius of the circle circumscribed to all the quadrilaterals $p^{\prime \prime} r^{\prime \prime} s^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime \prime}$, for $\Delta x$ in a neighborhood of $\mu$.
From direct calculation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\frac{-\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{4} \frac{\sin \theta}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\theta\right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)}-2 \sqrt{3} \sin \theta}{\frac{\cos \theta}{4} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\theta\right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)}} x+\frac{}{2} \tan \theta \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have
$\left|p_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} t_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}-(x+\mu)\right) \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)}, \quad\left|r_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} s_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}-(x-\mu)\right) \frac{1}{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\theta\right)}$
and

$$
\begin{gather*}
m=\frac{\left|p_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} t_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|+\left|r_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime} s_{(\mu)}^{\prime \prime}\right|}{2} \\
=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4 \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\theta\right)}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right)\left\{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\theta\right)+\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)\right\}\right. \\
\left.-\mu\left\{\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}+\theta\right)-\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{6}-\theta\right)\right\}\right\} \\
=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \cos 2 \theta-1}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right) \cos \theta-\sqrt{3} \mu \sin \theta\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will prove that the radius $\tilde{\rho}(\mu)$ of the circle circumscribed to the rectangle $\tilde{p}_{(\mu)} \tilde{r}_{(\mu)} \tilde{s}_{(\mu)} \tilde{t}_{(\mu)}$ is larger than the radius $R(x)$ (see the notation of Lemma 2.2). As $|p r|=|s t|=\left|\tilde{p}_{(\mu)} \tilde{r}_{(\mu)}\right|=\left|\tilde{s}_{(\mu)} \tilde{t}_{(\mu)}\right|$, we will compare $\left|\tilde{p}_{(\mu)} \tilde{t}_{(\mu)}\right|$ with $|p t|$. Let $f$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, \theta)=m-|p t|=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2 \cos 2 \theta-1}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right) \cos \theta-\sqrt{3} \mu \sin \theta\right\}-\sqrt{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}-x\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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From direct calculation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, 0)=0, \quad \lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{d f(x, \theta)}{d \theta}=0, \quad \lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^{2} f(x, \theta)}{d \theta^{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(6 x+1)>0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for fixed $x, f(x, \theta)$ has a local minimum at $\theta=0$, and $f(x, \theta)>0$ in a whole neighborhood of 0 except $\{0\}$.

From Lemma 2.6, we have $R^{\prime \prime}(x, \theta, \mu)>\tilde{\rho}(\mu)$. Clearly, $\tilde{\rho}(\mu)>R(x)$, and $R(x)>R(\sigma)$, so $R(\sigma)<R^{\prime \prime}(x, \theta, \mu)$. The theorem is proven.
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