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#### Abstract

In this work, we introduce new spherical images by translating Bishop frame vectors of a regular curve to the center of the unit sphere of the three dimensional Euclidean space. Such curves are called as Bishop Spherical Indicatrices. Then, the Frenet-Serret apparatus of these new curves is obtained in terms of base curve's Bishop invariants. Additionally, illustrations of two examples are presented.


## 1 Introduction

In the existing literature, it can be seen that, most of classical differential geometry topics have been extended to Lorentzian manifolds. In this process, generally, researchers used standard moving Frenet-Serret frame. Using transformation matrix among derivative vectors and frame vectors, some of kinematical models were adapted to this special moving frame. Researchers aimed to have an alternative frame for curves and other applications. Bishop frame, which is also called alternative or parallel frame of the curves, was introduced by L.R. Bishop in 1975 by means of parallel vector fields. Recently, many research papers have been treated in the Euclidean space, see [3], [4]; in the Minkowski space, see [1], [2], [8], [13]; and in the dual space, see [9].

[^0]Spherical images of a regular curve in the Euclidean space are obtained by means of Frenet-Serret frame vector fields, so this classical topic is a wellknown concept in differential geometry of the curves, see [6]. In the light of the existing literature, this paper aims to determine new spherical images of regular curves using Bishop frame vector fields. We shall call such curves, respecvitely, Tangent, $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ Bishop spherical images of regular curves. Considering classical methods, we investigated relations among Frenet-Serret invariants of spherical images in terms of Bishop invariants. Additionally, two examples of Bishop spherical indicatrices are presented.

## 2 Preliminaries

To meet the requirements in the next sections, here, the basic elements of the theory of curves in the space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ are briefly presented; a more complete elementary treatment can be found in [6].

The Euclidean 3-space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ provided with the standard flat metric given by

$$
\langle,\rangle=d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}+d x_{3}^{2}
$$

where $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ is a rectangular coordinate system of $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. Recall that, the norm of an arbitrary vector $a \in \mathrm{E}^{3}$ is given by $\|a\|=\sqrt{\langle a, a\rangle} . \varphi$ is called a unit speed curve if velocity vector $v$ of $\varphi$ satisfies $\|v\|=1$. For vectors $v, w \in$ $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ it is said to be orthogonal if and only if $\langle v, w\rangle=0$. Let $\vartheta=\vartheta(s)$ be a regular curve in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. If the tangent vector of this curve forms a constant angle with a fixed constant vector $U$, then this curve is called a general helix or an inclined curve. The sphere of radius $r>0$ and with center in the origin in the space $E^{3}$ is defined by

$$
S^{2}=\left\{p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right) \in E^{3}:\langle p, p\rangle=r^{2}\right\} .
$$

Denote by $\{T, N, B\}$ the moving Frenet-Serret frame along the curve $\varphi$ in the space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. For an arbitrary curve $\varphi$ with first and second curvature, $\kappa$ and $\tau$ in the space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$, the following Frenet-Serret formulae are given in [6] written under matrix form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T^{\prime} \\
N^{\prime} \\
B^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa & 0 \\
-\kappa & 0 & \tau \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
T \\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right],
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\langle T, T\rangle=\langle N, N\rangle=\langle B, B\rangle=1, \\
\langle T, N\rangle=\langle T, B\rangle=\langle T, N\rangle=\langle N, B\rangle=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here, curvature functions are defined by $\kappa=\kappa(s)=\left\|T^{\prime}(s)\right\|$ and $\tau(s)=$ $-\left\langle N, B^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

Let $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$ and $w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$ be vectors in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ and $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ be positive oriented natural basis of $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. Cross product of $u$ and $v$ is defined by

$$
u \times v=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
e_{1} & e_{2} & e_{3} \\
u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \\
v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Mixed product of $u, v$ and $w$ is defined by the determinant

$$
[u, v, w]=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
u_{1} & u_{2} & u_{3} \\
v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} \\
w_{1} & w_{2} & w_{3}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Torsion of the curve $\varphi$ is given by the aid of the mixed product

$$
\tau=\frac{\left[\varphi^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime}, \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]}{\kappa^{2}}
$$

The Bishop frame or parallel transport frame is an alternative approach to defining a moving frame that is well defined even when the curve has vanishing second derivative. One can express parallel transport of an orthonormal frame along a curve simply by parallel transporting each component of the frame [4]. The tangent vector and any convenient arbitrary basis for the remainder of the frame are used (for details, see [5]). The Bishop frame is expressed as $[4,5]$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
T^{\prime}  \tag{1}\\
M_{1}^{\prime} \\
M_{2}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & k_{1} & k_{2} \\
-k_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-k_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
M_{1} \\
M_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Here, we shall call the set $\left\{T, M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}$ as Bishop trihedra and $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ as Bishop curvatures. The relation matrix may be expressed as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T \\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \theta(s) & \sin \theta(s) \\
0 & -\sin \theta(s) & \cos \theta(s)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
M_{1} \\
M_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\theta(s)=\arctan \frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}, \tau(s)=\theta^{\prime}(s)$ and $\kappa(s)=\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}$. Here, Bishop curvatures are defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
k_{1}=\kappa \cos \theta(s) \\
k_{2}=\kappa \sin \theta(s)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Izumiya and Takeuchi [7] have introduced the concept of slant helix in the Euclidean 3-space $\mathrm{E}^{3}$ saying that the normal lines makes a constant angle with a fixed direction [7]. They characterized a slant helix by the condition that the function

$$
\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime}
$$

is constant. In further researches, spherical images, the tangent and the binormal indicatrix and some characterizations of such curves are presented (see [10] and [11]). In the same space, in [4], the authors defined and gave some characterizations of slant helices according to Bishop frame with the following definition and theorem:

Definition 2.1. A regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow E^{3}$ is called a slant helix according to Bishop frame provided the unit vector $M_{1}(s)$ of $\gamma$ has constant angle $\theta$ with some fixed unit vector $u$; that is,

$$
\left\langle M_{1}, u\right\rangle=\cos \theta
$$

for all $s \in I$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow E^{3}$ be a unit speed curve with nonzero natural curvatures. Then $\gamma$ is a slant helix if and only if

$$
\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}=\text { constant } .
$$

(See [4]).
To separate a slant helix according to Bishop frame from that of FrenetSerret frame, in the rest of the paper, we shall use notation for the curve defined above as "B-slant helix".

It is well-known that for a unit speed curve with non vanishing curvatures the following propositions hold [6], [7]:

Proposition 2.3. Let $\varphi=\varphi(s)$ be a regular curve with curvatures $\kappa$ and $\tau$. The curve $\varphi$ lies on the surface of a sphere if and only if

$$
\frac{\tau}{\kappa}+\left[\frac{1}{\tau}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}=0
$$

Proposition 2.4. Let $\varphi=\varphi(s)$ be a regular curve with curvatures $\kappa$ and $\tau$. $\varphi$ is a general helix if and only if

$$
\frac{\kappa}{\tau}=\text { constant }
$$

Proposition 2.5. Let $\varphi=\varphi(s)$ be a regular curve with curvatures $\kappa$ and $\tau$. $\varphi$ is a slant helix if and only if

$$
\sigma(s)=\left[\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime}\right]=\text { constant } \text {. }
$$

## 3 Main Results

### 3.1 Tangent Bishop Spherical Images of a Regular Curve

Definition 3.1. Let $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ be a regular curve in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. If we translate of the first (tangent) vector field of Bishop frame to the center $O$ of the unit sphere $S^{2}$, we obtain a spherical image $\xi=\xi\left(s_{\xi}\right)$. This curve is called tangent Bishop spherical image or indicatrix of the curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$.

Let $\xi=\xi\left(s_{\xi}\right)$ be tangent Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=$ $\gamma(s)$. One can differentiate of $\xi$ with respect to $s$ :

$$
\xi^{\prime}=\frac{d \xi}{d s_{\xi}} \frac{d s_{\xi}}{d s}=k_{1} M_{1}+k_{2} M_{2}
$$

Here, we shall denote differentiation according to $s$ by a dash, and differentiation according to $s_{\xi}$ by a dot. In terms of Bishop frame vector fields (1), we have the tangent vector of the spherical image as follows:

$$
T_{\xi}=\frac{k_{1} M_{1}+k_{2} M_{2}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}
$$

where

$$
\frac{d s \xi}{d s}=\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}=\kappa(s)
$$

In order to determine the first curvature of $\xi$, we write

$$
\dot{T}_{\xi}=-T+\frac{k_{2}^{3}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime} M_{1}+\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime} M_{2} .
$$

Since, we immediately arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\xi}=\left\|\dot{T}_{\xi}\right\|=\sqrt{1+\left[\frac{k_{2}^{3}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2}+\left[\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime}\right]^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have the principal normal

$$
N_{\xi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\xi}}\left\{-T+\frac{k_{2}^{3}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime} M_{1}+\frac{k_{1}^{3}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime} M_{2}\right\} .
$$

By the cross product of $T_{\xi} \times N_{\xi}$, we obtain the binormal vector field

$$
B_{\xi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\xi}}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
{\left[\frac{k_{1}^{4}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{k_{2}^{4}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}}}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime}\right] T} \\
-\left[\frac{k_{2}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\right] M_{1}+\left[\frac{k_{1}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\right] M_{2}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

By means of obtained equations, we express the torsion of the tangent Bishop spherical image

Consequently, we determined Frenet-Serret invariants of the tangent Bishop spherical indicatrix according to Bishop invariants.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\xi=\xi\left(s_{\xi}\right)$ be the tangent Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ is a B-slant helix, then the tangent spherical indicatrix $\xi$ is a circle in the osculating plane.

Proof. Let $\xi=\xi\left(s_{\xi}\right)$ be the tangent Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ is a B-slant helix, then Theorem 2.2 holds. So, $\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}=$ constant. Substituting this to equations (2) and (3), we have $\kappa_{\xi}=$ constant and $\tau_{\xi}=0$, respectively. Therefore, $\xi$ is a circle in the osculating plane.

Remark 3.3. Considering $\theta_{\xi}=\int_{0}^{s_{\xi}} \tau_{\xi} d s_{\xi}$ and using the transformation matrix, one can obtain the Bishop trihedra $\left\{T_{\xi}, M_{1 \xi}, M_{2 \xi}\right\}$ of the curve $\xi=\xi\left(s_{\xi}\right)$.

Here, one question may come to mind about the obtained tangent spherical image, since, Frenet-Serret and Bishop frames have a common tangent vector field. Images of such tangent images are the same as we shall demonstrate in section 4. But, here we are concerned with the tangent Bishop spherical image's Frenet-Serret apparatus according to Bishop invariants.

## 3.2 $\quad \mathrm{M}_{1}$ Bishop Spherical Images of a Regular Curve

Definition 3.4. Let $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ be a regular curve in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. If we translate of the second vector field of Bishop frame to the center $O$ of the unit sphere $S^{2}$, we obtain a spherical image $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$. This curve is called $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical image or indicatrix of the curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$.

Let $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ be $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. We follow the same procedure to investigate the relations among Bishop and Frenet-Serret invariants. Thus, we differentiate

$$
\delta^{\prime}=\frac{d \delta}{d s_{\delta}} \frac{d s_{\delta}}{d s}=-k_{1} T
$$

First, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\delta}=T \quad \text { and } \frac{d s_{\delta}}{d s}=-k_{1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, one can calculate

$$
T_{\delta}^{\prime}=\dot{T}_{\delta} \frac{d s_{\delta}}{d s}=k_{1} M_{1}+k_{2} M_{2}
$$

or

$$
\dot{T}_{\delta}=-M_{1}-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}} M_{2}
$$

Since, we express

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\delta}=\left\|\dot{T}_{\delta}\right\|=\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
N_{\delta}=-\frac{M_{1}}{\kappa_{\delta}}-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1} \kappa_{\delta}} M_{2}
$$

Cross product of $T_{\delta} \times N_{\delta}$ gives us the binormal vector field of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ spherical image of $\gamma=\gamma(s)$

$$
B_{\delta}=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1} \kappa_{\delta}} M_{1}-\frac{1}{\kappa_{\delta}} M_{2}
$$

Using the formula of the torsion, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\delta}=-\frac{k_{1}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering equations (5) and (6) by the Theorem 2.2, we get:

Corollary 3.5. Let $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ be the $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of the curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ is a B-slant helix, then, the $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical indicatrix $\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ is a circle in the osculating plane.
Theorem 3.6. Let $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ be the $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. There exists a relation among Frenet-Serret invariants $\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ and Bishop curvatures of $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}=\int_{0}^{s_{\delta}} \kappa_{\delta}^{2} \tau_{\delta} d s_{\delta} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ be $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. Then, the equations (4) and (6) hold. Using (4) in (6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\delta}=-\frac{k_{1} \frac{d}{d s_{\delta}}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right) \frac{d s_{\delta}}{d s}}{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (5) to (8) and integrating both sides, we have (7) as desired.
In the light of the Propositions 2.4 and 2.5, we state the following theorems without proofs:
Theorem 3.7. Let $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ be $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\delta$ is a general helix, then, Bishop curvatures of $\gamma$ satisfy

$$
\frac{k_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\text { constant } \text {. }
$$

Theorem 3.8. Let $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$ be the $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\delta$ is a slant helix, then, the Bishop curvatures of $\gamma$ satisfy

$$
\left[\frac{k_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]^{\prime} \frac{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{4}}{k_{1}^{3}\left[\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime 2}+\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{3}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\text { constant }
$$

We know that $\delta$ is a spherical curve, so, by the Proposition 2.3 one can prove:

Theorem 3.9. Let $\delta$ be the $M_{1}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. The Bishop curvatures of the regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ satisfy the following differential equation

$$
\frac{k_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}-\left[\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\right]^{\prime}=\text { constant } .
$$

Remark 3.10. Considering $\theta_{\delta}=\int_{0}^{s_{\delta}} \tau_{\delta} d s_{\delta}$ and using the transformation matrix, one can obtain the Bishop trihedra $\left\{T_{\delta}, M_{1 \delta}, M_{2 \delta}\right\}$ of the curve $\delta=\delta\left(s_{\delta}\right)$.

## $3.3 \quad \mathbf{M}_{2}$ Bishop Spherical Images of a Regular Curve

Definition 3.11. Let $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ be a regular curve in $\mathrm{E}^{3}$. If we translate of the third vector field of Bishop frame to the center $O$ of the unit sphere $S^{2}$, we obtain a spherical image of $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$. This curve is called the $M_{2}$ Bishop spherical image or the indicatrix of the curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$.

Let $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ be $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ spherical image of the regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. We can write

$$
\psi^{\prime}=\frac{d \psi}{d s_{\psi}} \frac{d s_{\psi}}{d s}=-k_{2} T
$$

Similar to the $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ Bishop spherical image, one can have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\psi}=T \quad \text { and } \frac{d s_{\psi}}{d s}=-k_{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by differentiating of the formula (9), we get

$$
T_{\psi}^{\prime}=\dot{T}_{\psi} \frac{d s_{\psi}}{d s}=k_{1} M_{1}+k_{2} M_{2}
$$

or, in another words,

$$
\dot{T}_{\psi}=-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}} M_{1}-M_{2}
$$

since, we express

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\psi}=\left\|\dot{T}_{\psi}\right\|=\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
N_{\psi}=-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2} \kappa_{\psi}} M_{1}-\frac{M_{2}}{\kappa_{\psi}} .
$$

The cross product $T_{\psi} \times N_{\psi}$ gives us

$$
B_{\psi}=\frac{1}{\kappa_{\psi}} M_{1}-\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2} \kappa_{\psi}} M_{2} .
$$

By the formula of the torsion, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\psi}=\frac{k_{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of equations (10) and (11) and by the Theorem 2.2, we may obtain:

Corollary 3.12. Let $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ be the $M_{2}$ spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ is a B-slant helix, then the $M_{2}$ Bishop spherical image $\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ is a circle in the osculating plane.
Theorem 3.13. Let $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ be the $M_{2}$ spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. Then, there exists a relation among Frenet-Serret invariants of $\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ and the Bishop curvatures of $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ as follows:

$$
\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}+\int_{0}^{s_{\psi}} \kappa_{\psi}^{2} \tau_{\psi} d s_{\psi}=0
$$

Proof. Similar to proof of the theorem 3.6, above equation can be obtained by the equations (9), (10) and (11).

In the light of the propositions 2.4 and 2.5 , we also give the following theorems for the curve $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ :
Theorem 3.14. Let $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ be the $M_{2}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\psi$ is a general helix, then, Bishop curvatures of $\gamma$ satisfy

$$
\frac{k_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\text { constant } \text {. }
$$

Theorem 3.15. Let $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ be the $M_{2}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. If $\psi$ is a slant helix, then, the Bishop curvatures of $\gamma$ satisfy

$$
\left[\frac{k_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right]^{\prime} \frac{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{4}}{k_{2}^{3}\left[\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime 2}+\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{3}\right]^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\text { constant }
$$

We also know that $\psi$ is a spherical curve. By the Proposition 2.3, it is safe to report the following theorem:
Theorem 3.16. Let $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$ be the $M_{2}$ Bishop spherical image of a regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. The Bishop curvatures of the regular curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ satisfy the following differential equation

$$
\frac{k_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{k_{2}}\right)^{\prime}}{\left(k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}+\left[\frac{k_{1} k_{2}}{\sqrt{k_{1}^{2}+k_{2}^{2}}}\right]^{\prime}=\text { constant }
$$

Remark 3.17. Considering $\theta_{\psi}=\int_{0}^{s_{\psi}} \tau_{\psi} d s_{\psi}$ and using the transformation matrix, one can obtain the Bishop trihedra $\left\{T_{\psi}, M_{1 \psi}, M_{2 \psi}\right\}$ of the curve $\psi=\psi\left(s_{\psi}\right)$.

## 4 Examples

In this section, we give two examples of Bishop spherical images.

## Example 4.1

First, let us consider a unit speed circular helix by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\beta(s)=\left(a \cos \frac{s}{c}, a \sin \frac{s}{c}, \frac{b s}{c}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}} \in R$. One can calculate its Frenet-Serret apparatus as the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\kappa=\frac{a}{c^{2}} \\
\tau=\frac{b}{c^{2}} \\
T=\frac{1}{c}\left(-a \sin \frac{s}{c}, a \cos \frac{s}{c}, b\right) \\
N=\left(-\cos \frac{s}{c},-\sin \frac{s}{c}, 0\right) \\
B=\frac{1}{c}\left(b \sin \frac{s}{c},-b \cos \frac{s}{c}, a\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In order to determine the Bishop frame of the curve $\beta=\beta(s)$, let us form

$$
\theta(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \frac{b}{c^{2}} d s=\frac{b s}{c^{2}}
$$

Since, we can write the transformation matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T \\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}} & \sin \frac{b s}{c^{2}} \\
0 & -\sin \frac{b s s}{c^{2}} & \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
M_{1} \\
M_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

by the method of Cramer, one can obtain the Bishop trihedra as follows:
The tangent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{1}{c}\left(-a \sin \frac{s}{c}, a \cos \frac{s}{c}, b\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}=\left(-\cos \frac{s}{c} \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}}-\frac{b}{c} \sin \frac{s}{c} \sin \frac{b s}{c^{2}}, \frac{b}{c} \cos \frac{s}{c} \sin \frac{b s}{c^{2}}-\sin \frac{s}{c} \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}},-\frac{a}{c} \sin \frac{b s}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}=\left(\frac{b}{c} \sin \frac{s}{c} \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}}-\cos \frac{s}{c} \sin \frac{b s}{c^{2}},-\frac{b}{c} \cos \frac{s}{c} \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}}-\sin \frac{s}{c} \sin \frac{b s}{c^{2}}, \frac{a}{c} \cos \frac{b s}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may choose $a=12, b=5$ and $c=13$ in the equations (12-15). Then, one can see the curve at the Figure 1. So, we can illustrate spherical images see Figure 2.


Figure 1: Circular Helix $\beta=\beta(s)$ for $a=12, b=5$ and $c=13$.

## Example 4.2

Next, let us consider the following unit speed curve $\gamma(s)=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma_{1}=\frac{9}{208} \sin 16 s-\frac{1}{117} \sin 36 s \\
\gamma_{2}=\frac{9}{208} \cos 16 s+\frac{1}{117} \cos 36 s \\
\gamma_{3}=\frac{6}{65} \sin 10 s
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is rendered in Figure 3. And, this curve's curvature functions are expressed as in [12]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\kappa(s)=-24 \sin 10 s \\
\tau(s)=24 \cos 10 s
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is an easy problem to calculate Frenet-Serret apparatus of the unit speed curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$. We also need

$$
\theta(s)=\int_{0}^{s} 24 \cos (10 s) d s=\frac{24}{10} \sin (10 s)
$$

The transformation matrix for the curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$ has the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
T \\
N \\
B
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos \left(\frac{24}{10} \sin 10 s\right) & \sin \left(\frac{24}{10} \sin 10 s\right) \\
0 & -\sin \left(\frac{24}{10} \sin 10 s\right) & \cos \left(\frac{24}{10} \sin 10 s\right)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
T \\
M_{1} \\
M_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 2: Tangent, $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ Bishop Spherical Images of $\beta=\beta(s)$ for $a=12, b=5$ and $c=13$.


Figure 3: The curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$

By the solution of the system above, we have Bishop spherical images of the unit speed curve $\gamma=\gamma(s)$, see figures 4,5 and 6 .
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