SIGNED (b, k)-MATCHINGS IN GRAPHS

A.N. Ghameshlou, R. Saei, S.M. Sheikholeslami and L. Volkmann

Abstract

Let G be a simple graph without isolated vertices with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G), b be a positive integer and k an integer with $1 \leq k \leq |V(G)|$. A function $f: E(G) \to \{-1, 1\}$ is said to be a signed (b, k)-matching of G if $\sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \leq b$ for at least k vertices v of G, where E(v) is the set of all edges at v. The value max $\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$, taking over all signed (b, k)-matching f of G, is called the signed (b, k)-matching number of G and is denoted by $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)$. In this paper we initiate the study of the signed (b, k)-matching number in graphs and present some bounds for this parameter.

1 Introduction

Structural and algorithmic aspects of covering vertices by edges have been extensively studied in graph theory. A matching (edge cover) of a graph G is a set C of edges of G such that each vertex of G is incident to at most (at least) one edge of C: Let b be a fixed positive integer. A simple b-matching (simple b-edge cover) of a graph G is a set C of edges of G such that each vertex of Gis incident to at most (at least) b edges of C: The maximum (minimum) size of a simple b-matching (simple b-edge cover) of G is called b-matching number (b-edge cover number), denoted by $\beta_b(G)$ ($\rho_b(G)$). The (simple) b-matching problems have been widely studied in, for instance, [1, 3, 4, 6, 7]. For an



Key Words: Signed matching, Signed matching number, Signed k-submatching, Signed k-submatching number, Signed b-matching, Signed b-matching number, Signed (b, k)-matching, Signed (b, k)-matching number

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69, 05C05

Research supported by the Research Office of Azerbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem Received: May, 2009

Accepted: January, 2010

excellent survey of results on matchings, edge covers, *b*-matchings and *b*-edge covers, see Schrijver [8].

Let G be a simple graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). We use [12] for terminology and notation which are not defined here and consider simple graphs without isolated vertices only. For every nonempty subset E'of E(G), the subgraph of G whose vertex set is the set of vertices of the edges in E' and whose edge set is E', is called the subgraph of G induced by E' and denoted by G[E'].

For a function $f : E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ and a subset S of E(G) we define $f(S) = \sum_{e \in S} f(e)$. The edge-neighborhood $E_G(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is the set of all edges incident to v. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, we also define $f(v) = \sum_{e \in E_G(v)} f(e)$. Let b be a positive integer and k an integer with $1 \le k \le n$. A function $f : E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is called a signed (b, k)-matching (SbkM) of G, if $f(v) \le b$ for at least k vertices v of G. The signed (b, k)-matching number of a graph G is $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) = \max\{\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) \mid f$ is a SbkM on $G\}$. The signed (b, k)-matching f of G with $f(E(G)) = \beta'_{(b,k)}(G)$ is called $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)$ -matching. For any signed (b, k)-matching f of G we define $P = \{e \in E \mid f(e) = 1\}$, $M = \{e \in E \mid f(e) = -1\}, B_f = \{v \in V \mid f(v) \le b\}$.

If b = 1 and k = n, then the signed (b, k)-matching number is called the signed matching number. The signed matching number was introduced by Wang in [9] and denoted by $\beta'_1(G)$.

If b = 1 and $1 \le k \le n$, then the signed (b, k)-matching number is called the signed k-submatching number. The signed k-submatching number was introduced by Ghameshlou et al. in [2] and Wang in [11] and denoted by $\beta'_{(1,k)}(G)$.

When b is an arbitrary positive integer and k = n, then the signed (b, k)matching number is called the *signed b-matching number*. The signed bmatching number was introduced by Wang in [10] and denoted by $\beta'_b(G)$.

The purpose of this paper is to initialize the study of the signed (b, k)matching number $\beta'_{b,k}(G)$ and established some bounds for this parameter. Here are some results on $\beta'_1(G)$, $\beta'_b(G)$ and $\beta'_{(1,k)}(G)$.

The proof of the following Theorems can be found in [2], [9], [10] and [11].

Theorem A. For any graph G of order $n \ge 2$ without isolated vertices, $\beta'_1(G) \ge -1$.

Theorem B. For any graph G of order $n \ge 2$ with no isolated vertex and each positive integer $b < \Delta(G), \beta'_b(G) \le \lfloor \frac{bn}{2} \rfloor$.

Theorem C. For any graph G of order $n \ge 2$ and without isolated vertices,

$$\beta'_{(1,k)}(G) \le \frac{k(1 - \Delta(G)) + n\Delta(G)}{2}.$$

Furthermore, this bound is sharp for C_n if k is even and P_n when n is odd.

Theorem D. Let G be a graph of order $n \ge 2$, size m, minimum degree δ , maximum degree Δ and without isolated vertices. Then

$$\beta'_{(1,k)}(G) \le \frac{(2m+n)\Delta + (n-k)\Delta^2}{2\delta} - m.$$

Theorem E. For $n \ge 2$ and positive integer $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(1,k)}(P_n) = n + 1 - 2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil.$$

Theorem F. For $n \ge 3$ and any positive integer $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(1,k)}(C_n) = n - 2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil.$$

Theorem G. For $n \geq 2$,

$$\beta'_{(1,k)}(K_{1,n-1}) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } k \le n-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k = n \text{ and } n \text{ is odd} \\ 1 & \text{if } k = n \text{ and } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

2 Lower bounds on SbkMN of trees and cactus graphs

In this section we give a lower bound for signed (b, k)-matching number of trees and connected cactus graphs. The proof of the first proposition is clear and therefore omitted.

Proposition 1. For any $n \ge 2$, $b \le n-1$ and $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(K_{1,n-1}) = \begin{cases} n-1 & \text{if } k \le n-1 \\ b-1 & \text{if } k = n \text{ and } n-b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ b & \text{if } k = n \text{ and } n-b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

If G is a graph, then let L(G) denote the set of leaves.

Proposition 2. Let G be a graph of order n such that $k \leq |L(G)|$ for an integer $1 \leq k \leq n$. If b is a positive integer, then $\beta'_{b,k}(G) = |E(G)|$.

Proof. If we define $f : E(G) \to \{-1, 1\}$ by f(e) = 1 for each $e \in E(G)$, then we observe that f is a SbkM.

Theorem 3. For any tree T of order $n \ge 2$, positive integer $b < \Delta(T)$ and each positive integer $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(T) \ge \min\{n - k + b - 1, n - 1\}.$$

Proof. First assume that b > k. Then it is well-known that $|L(T)| \ge \Delta(T)$. Thus it follows that

$$|L(T)| \ge \Delta(T) > b > k.$$

Applying Proposition 2, we obtain the desired result

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(T) = |E(T)| = n - 1 \ge \min\{n - k + b - 1, n - 1\}.$$

Next assume that $b \leq k$. Then $\min\{n-k+b-1, n-1\} = n-k+b-1$. The proof is by induction on n. If n = 2, 3, 4, then the result follows by Theorem E and Proposition 1. Suppose $n \geq 5$ and that the statement is true for any nontrivial T' of order n' < n and any integer k' with $1 \leq k' \leq n'$. Let T be a tree of order n and $1 \leq k \leq n$.

If T is a star, then the result is true by Proposition 1. Thus we may assume diam $(T) \ge 3$. Let T be rooted at a leaf v_0 of a longest path. Let v be a vertex at distance diam(T) - 1 from v_0 on a longest path starting from v_0 and w the parent of v. Suppose c is the number of v's children. Then $c \ge 1$. If $k \le c + 1$, then assign +1 to all edges of T to obtain a SbkM of T with weight n-1 which follows the result. Hence, we may assume k > c+1.

Case 1. c = 1.

Let u be the leaf adjacent to v and $T' = T - T_v$. Then T' has order n' = n - 2. Assume k' = k - 2. Since $c + 2 \le k \le n$, we have $c \le k' \le n'$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\beta'_{(b,k')}(T') \ge n' - k' + b - 1$. Let f' be a $\beta'_{(b,k')}(T')$ -matching. Define $f : E(T) \to \{-1, 1\}$ by f(wv) = -1, f(vu) = 1 and f(e) = f'(e) for $e \in E(T')$. Obviously, f is a SbkM of T and we have with $\beta'_{(b,k)}(T) \ge f(E(T)) = f'(E(T')) \ge n' - k' + b - 1 = n - k + b - 1$.

Case 2. $c \ge 2$.

Let u_1 and u_2 be two leaves adjacent to v and let $T' = T - \{u_1, u_2\}$. Then T' has order n' = n - 2. Assume k' = k - 2. Since $c + 2 \le k \le n$, we have $c \le k' \le n'$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\beta'_{(b,k')}(T') \ge n' - k' + b - 1$. Let f' be a $\beta'_{(b,k')}(T')$ -matching. Define $f : E(T) \to \{-1,1\}$ by $f(vu_1) = -1, f(vu_2) = 1$ and f(e) = f'(e) otherwise. Obviously f is a SbkM of G with $\beta'_{(b,k)}(T) \ge f(E(T)) = f'(E(T')) \ge n' - k' + b - 1 = n - k + b - 1$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 4. Let G be a connected cactus graph of order $n \ge 3$ with exactly $p \ge 0$ cycles. If $b < \Delta(G)$ and $k \le n$ are positive integers, then

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \ge \min\{n - k + b - (p+1), n+p-1\}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number p of cycles. If p = 0, then Theorem 3 shows that the desired bound is valid. Let now $p \ge 1$. Note that |E(G)| = n + p - 1 and $|L(G)| \ge \Delta(G) - 2p$.

First assume that $b \ge k + 2p$. Then $|L(G)| \ge \Delta(G) - 2p > b - 2p \ge k$, and hence Proposition 2 implies that

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) = |E(G)| = n + p - 1 = \min\{n - k + b - (p+1), n + p - 1\}.$$

If b = 2p + k - 1, then min $\{n - k + b - (p + 1), n + p - 1\} = n + p - 2$ and $|L(G)| \ge \Delta(G) - 2p \ge b + 1 - 2p = k$. Thus again Proposition 2 leads to the desired bound

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) = |E(G)| = n + p - 1 \ge n + p - 2.$$

Next assume that $b \leq 2p + k - 2$. Let C be a cycle of G and $e_1 \in E(C)$. Since all cycles of G are edge disjoint, $H = G - e_1$ is also a connected cactus graph with exactly p - 1 cycles. Hence the induction hypothesis leads to

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(H) \ge \min\{n - k + b - p, n + p - 2\} = n - k + b - p.$$

If f is a $\beta'_{(b,k)}(H)$ -matching, then define $h: E(G) \to \{-1,1\}$ by h(e) = f(e) for $e \in E(H)$ and $h(e_1) = -1$. Obviously, h is a signed (b,k)-matching of G such that

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \ge \beta'_{(b,k)}(H) - 1 \ge n - k + b - (p+1) \ge \min\{n - k + b - (p+1), n + p - 1\},$$

and the proof is complete.

For the special family of Eulerian cactus graphs, the next result presents a much better lower bound when $b \ge 2$.

Theorem 5. Let G be an Eulerian cactus graph of order n and girth g with exactly $p \ge 1$ cycles. If $b \ge 2$ is an integer, then

$$\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) \ge \begin{cases} p(g-2) + \min\{b, 2p\} & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ \\ p(g-2) + \min\{b-1, 2p\} & \text{if } b \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number p of cycles. If $b \ge 2p$, then $b \ge 2p \ge \Delta(G)$ and thus

$$\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) = |E(G)| \ge pg = p(g-2) + 2p \ge p(g-2) + \min\{b, 2p\}.$$

Assume now that b < 2p. Let $B = u_1 u_2 \dots u_k u_1$ be an end-block of G with the cut-vertex u_1 of G. Then $H = G - (V(B) - u_1)$ is also an Eulerian cactus graph with exactly p - 1 cycles and girth at least g. Therefore the induction hypothesis leads to

$$\beta'_{(b,n(H))}(H) \ge \begin{cases} (p-1)(g-2) + \min\{b, 2p-2\} & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ \\ (p-1)(g-2) + \min\{b-1, 2p-2\} & \text{if } b \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(3)

If f is a $\beta'_{(b,n(H))}(H)$ -matching, then define $h: E(G) \to \{-1,1\}$ by h(e) = f(e) for $e \in E(H)$, $h(u_i u_{i+1}) = 1$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k-1 and $h(u_k u_1) = -1$. Obviously, h is a signed (b, n)-matching of G such that

$$\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) \ge \beta'_{(b,n(H))}(H) + k - 2 \ge \beta'_{(b,n(H))}(H) + g - 2.$$

Combining this with (3), we obtain the desired result.

The next example will demonstrate that Theorem 5 is best possible.

Example Let the cactus graph G of order n consists of a vertex u and exactly $p \ge 2$ edge-disjoint cycles C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_p of length g containing u. If $2 \le b < 2p$, then it is straightforward to verify that

$$\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) = \begin{cases} p(g-2) + b & \text{if } b \text{ is even} \\ \\ p(g-2) + b - 1 & \text{if } b \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3 Upper bounds for SbkMN of graphs

First note that for any graph G, when b is at least $\Delta(G)$, $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) = |E(G)|$ for any $1 \leq k \leq |V(G)|$. Then hereafter we may assume for any graph G, $b < \Delta(G)$. In this section we present some upper bounds on $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)$ in terms of order of G, maximum and minimum degree and degree sequence of G. The first theorem generalizes Theorems B and C.

Theorem 6. For any graph G of order $n \ge 2$ and without isolated vertices, positive integer $b < \Delta(G)$ and $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \le \frac{k(b - \Delta(G)) + n\Delta(G)}{2}$$

 $\mathit{Proof.}\,$ Let f be a $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)\text{-matching.}$ We have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'_{(b,k)}(G) &= \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in B_f} \sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus B_f} \sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \\ &\leq \frac{b|B_f|}{2} + \frac{|V(G) \setminus B_f|\Delta(G)}{2} \\ &= \frac{|B_f|(b - \Delta(G)) + n\Delta(G)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from $k \leq |B_f|$.

Theorem 7. Let G be a graph of order $n \ge 2$, size m, without isolated vertices, and with degree sequence (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n) where $d_1 \le d_2 \le \ldots \le d_n$. For each positive integer $b < \Delta(G)$ and $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \le \frac{2bm - \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^2}{2d_1} + m.$$

Proof. Let g be a $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)$ -matching and let $B_g = \{v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}, \ldots, v_{j_{|B_g|}}\}$. Define $f: E(G) \longrightarrow \{-1, 0\}$ by f(e) = (g(e) - 1)/2 for each $e \in E(G)$. We have

$$\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(N_G[e]) = \sum_{e=uv \in E(G)} \frac{g(N_G[e]) - \deg(u) - \deg(v) + 1}{2} \,.$$

Since

$$\sum_{e \in E(G)} (g(N_G[e]) + g(e)) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} g(E(v)) \deg(v)$$

and

$$\sum_{e=uv\in E(G)} (\deg(u) + \deg(v)) = \sum_{v\in V(G)} \deg(v)^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(N_G[e]) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (g(E(v)) \deg(v) - \deg(v)^2) - \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in E(G)} g(e) + \frac{m}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus B_g} (g(E(v)) \deg(v) - \deg(v)^2) + \\ &\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{|B_g|} (bd_{j_i} - d_{j_i}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \beta'_{(b,k)} (G) + \frac{m}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{|B_g|} bd_{j_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{|B_g|} d_{j_i}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta'_{(b,k)} (G) + \frac{m}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} bd_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta'_{(b,k)} (G) + \frac{m}{2} \\ &= bm - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta'_{(b,k)} (G) + \frac{m}{2} \\ &= \frac{(2b+1)m}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta'_{(b,k)} (G) \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(N_G[e]) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(E(v)) \deg(v) - \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$$

$$\geq \sum_{v \in V(G)} f(E(v)) d_1 - \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$$

$$= d_1 (2 \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)) - \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$$

$$= (2d_1 - 1) \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e). \quad (2)$$

By (1) and (2)

$$\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) \le \frac{\frac{(2b+1)m}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)}{2d_1 - 1}.$$

Since g(E(G)) = 2f(E(G)) + m, we have

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} g(e) \le \frac{1}{2d_1 - 1}((2b + 1)m - \sum_{i=1}^k d_i^2 - \beta'_{(b,k)}(G)) + m.$$

Thus,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \le \frac{2bm - \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i^2}{2d_1} + m,$$

as desired.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 7 now follows.

Corollary 8. For every r-regular graph G of order n,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \le \frac{(n-k)r + nb}{2}.$$

Theorem 9. Let G be a r-regular and 1-factorable graph of order $n \ge 4$, and let b < r be a positive integer. Then

$$\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{bn}{2} & \text{if } r - b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \\ \frac{(b-1)n}{2} & \text{if } r - b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\{M_1, M_2, \ldots, M_r\}$ be a decomposition of the edge set E(G) into perfect matchings.

If $r-b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then define f(e) = 1 when $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{r+b}{2}} M_i$ and f(e) = -1 when $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{r} M_i$. This implies f(v) = b for each vertex $v \in V(G)$ and thus $\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) = \frac{bn}{2}$.

If $r-b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, then define f(e) = 1 when $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{r+b-1}{2}} M_i$ and f(e) = -1 when $e \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{r+b-1}{2}} M_i$. This implies f(v) = b-1 for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Since f(v) = b is not possible in this case, we obtain $\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) = \frac{(b-1)n}{2}$. \Box

Theorem 9 shows that Corollary 8 is best possible when b and n are both even and k = n.

Applying Theorem 9 and the well-known classical Theorem of König that a *r*-regular bipartite graph is 1-factorable, we obtain the next result immediately.

Theorem 10. Let G be a r-regular bipartite graph of order $n \ge 4$, and let b < r be a positive integer. Then

$$\beta'_{(b,n)}(G) = \begin{cases} \frac{bn}{2} & \text{if } r - b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \frac{(b-1)n}{2} & \text{if } r - b \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem D.

Theorem 11. Let G be a graph of order $n \ge 2$, size m, minimum degree δ , maximum degree Δ and without isolated vertices. For each positive integer $b < \Delta(G)$ and $1 \le k \le n$,

$$\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) \le \frac{(2m+bn)\Delta + (\Delta^2 - (b-1)\Delta)(n-k)}{2\delta} - m.$$

Proof. Let f be a $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G)$ -matching. First note that for each vertex $v \in B_f$, $|E(v) \cap P| \leq \lceil \frac{\deg(v) + b}{2} \rceil$. We have

$$\begin{split} (2\delta)|P| &\leq \sum_{e=uv \in P} (\deg(u) + \deg(v)) \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} |P \cap E(v)| \deg(v) \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in B_f} |P \cap E(v)| \deg(v) + \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus B_f} |P \cap E(v)| \deg(v) \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in B_f} \lfloor \frac{\deg(v) + b}{2} \rfloor \deg(v) + \sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus B_f} \deg(v)^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in B_f} \lfloor \frac{\deg(v) + b}{2} \rfloor \deg(v) + \\ &\sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus B_f} \lfloor \frac{\deg(v) + b}{2} \rfloor \deg(v) + \\ &\sum_{v \in V(G) \setminus B_f} (\frac{\deg(v)^2}{2} - \frac{(b-1)\deg(v)}{2}) \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \lfloor \frac{\deg(v) + b}{2} \rfloor \Delta + \frac{\Delta^2 - (b-1)\Delta}{2} |V(G) \setminus B_f| \\ &\leq \Delta \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{\deg(v) + b}{2} + \frac{\Delta^2 - (b-1)\Delta}{2} (n-k) \\ &= \Delta(m + \frac{bn}{2}) + \frac{\Delta^2 - (b-1)\Delta}{2} (n-k). \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$|P| \le \frac{\Delta(m + \frac{bn}{2}) + \frac{\Delta^2 - (b-1)\Delta}{2}(n-k)}{2\delta}$$

Now the result follows from $\beta'_{(b,k)}(G) = 2|P| - m$.

References

- R.P. Anstee, A polynomial algorithm for b-matchings: an alternative approach, Inform. Process. Lett. 24 (1987), 153–157.
- [2] A.N. Ghameshlou, R. Saei, S.M. Sheikholeslami, Signed k-submatchings in graphs, Submitted.
- [3] S. Goodman, S. Hedetniemi, R.E. Tarjan, b-matchings in trees, SIAM J. Com- put. 5 (1976), 104–108.
- [4] D. Hausmann, Adjacent vertices on the b-matching polyhedron, Discrete Math. 33 (1981), 37–51.
- [5] D. König, Über Graphen und ihre Anwendungen auf Determinantentheorie und Mengenlehre, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 453-465.
- [6] W.R. Pulleyblank, Total dual integrality and b-matchings, Oper. Res. Lett. 1 (1981/82), 28–33.
- [7] R. Saei, S.M. Sheikholeslami, Signed star k-subdomination numbers in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 156 (2008), 3066-3070.
- [8] A. Schrijver, Combinatorial Optimization: polyhedra and eciency, Berlin: Springer, c2004.
- [9] C. Wang, The signed matchings in graphs, Discussiones Math. Graph Theory 28 (2008), 477-486.
- [10] C. Wang, The signed b-matchings and b-egde covers of strong product graphs, Contributions to Discrete Math. (to appear).
- [11] C. Wang, The signed k-submatchings in graphs, Submitted.
- [12] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph Theory, Prentice-Hall, Inc, 2000.

University of Mazandaran Department of Mathematics Babolsar, I.R. Iran Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem Department of Mathematics Tabriz, I.R. Iran Azarbaijan University of Tarbiat Moallem Department of Mathematics Tabriz, I.R. Iran

 $Email: \ s.m.sheikholeslami@azaruniv.edu$

RWTH Aachen, University Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik 52056 Aachen, Germany Email: volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de