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#### Abstract

The problem of finding a solution and the right-hand side of the kinetic equation in the case where the values of the solution are known on the boundary of a domain is considered. A new symbolic algorithm is constructed to obtain the approximated analytical solution of the problem. A computer program is presented using computer algebra system Maple.


## 1 Introduction

Inverse problems are problems of determining coefficients, the right-hand side, initial conditions or boundary conditions of a differential equation from some additional information about a solution of the equation. Such problems appear in many important applications of physics, geophysics, technology and medicine.

One of the characteristic features of inverse problems for differential equations is their being ill-posed in the sense of Hadamard. The general theory of ill-posed problems and their applications is developed by A. N. Tikhonov, V. K. Ivanov, M. M. Lavrent'ev and their students [7-8,14-17].

[^0]Inverse problems for kinetic equations appear to be important both from theoretical and practical points of view. Interesting results in this field are presented in Amirov [1-4], Hamaker, Smith, Solmon and Wagner [9], Pestov and Sharafutdinov [13], Anikonov and Amirov [19], Anikonov [20]. A symbolic algorithm for computing an approximated analytic solution of three-dimensional inverse problem for the transport equation is examined by Güyer and Mirasyedioğlu [10]. Some important results devoted to numerical solving of integral geometry problems and inverse problems for kinetic equations are presented in $[5-6,12]$.

In this work, a symbolic algorithm based on Galerkin method is constructed to calculate approximate analytical solution $u$ and right-hand side $\lambda$ of the kinetic equaton. A Maple program is given according to this algorithm in the last section of the paper. The physical interpretation of such problems consists in finding forces of particle interaction, scattering indicatrices, radiation sources and other physical parameters.

## 2 Statement of the Problem and Some Theoretical Results

The notations to be used in the paper are introduced below:
For a bounded domain $G, C^{m}(G)$ is the Banach space of functions that are $m$ times continuously differentiable in $G ; C^{\infty}(G)$ is the set of functions that belong to $C^{m}(G)$ for all $m \geq 0 ; C_{0}^{\infty}(G)$ is the set of finite functions in $G$ that belong to $C^{\infty}(G) ; L_{2}(G)$ is the space of measurable functions that are square integrable in $G, H^{k}(G)$ is the Sobolev space and $\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{k}(G)$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(G)$ with respect to the norm of $H^{k}(G)$.

These standard spaces are described in detail, for example, in Lions and Magenes [11] and Mikhailov [18].

Let $\Omega$ be a domain in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}, n \geq 1$. For the variables $(x, v) \in \Omega$, it is assumed that $x \in D, v \in G$, where $D$ and $G$ are domains in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with boundaries of class $C^{2}$. The boundary of $\Omega$ is $\partial \Omega=\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \cup \bar{\Gamma}_{2}$, where $\Gamma_{1}=\partial D \times G, \Gamma_{2}=D \times \partial G$. We denote $H_{1, C}(\Omega)$ by the set of real-valued functions $u(x, v) \in L_{2}(\Omega)$, having generalized derivatives $u_{x_{i}}, u_{v_{i}}, u_{x_{i} v_{i}}$, which belong to $L_{2}(\Omega)$, where $i=1,2, \ldots, n ; \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1, C}=\stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1} \cap H_{1, C}$.

In this study, the following linear kinetic equation is considered in $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv\{u, H\} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v_{i}}\right)=\lambda(x, v), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the right-hand side $\lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\lambda, \widehat{L} \eta\rangle=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\eta \in H_{1,2}(\Omega)$ whose trace on $\partial \Omega$ is zero. Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{L}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial v_{i}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\{u, H\}$ is the Poisson bracket of $u$ and $H$.
It is easy to check that the condition (2.2) holds, for example, for any function $\lambda$ of the form $\lambda=g(x)+\psi(v)$, where $g$ and $\psi$ are continuously differentiable functions.

Equation (2.1) is extensively used in plasma physics and astrophysics. In applications, $u$ represents the number (or the mass) of particles in the unit volume element of the phase space in the neighbourhood of the point $(x, v)$, $\nabla_{x} H$ is the force acting on a particle, $\lambda$ is the collisional term characterizing the variation of $u$ caused by particle collisions.

We define $\widetilde{C}_{0}^{3}=\left\{\varphi: \varphi \in C^{3}(\Omega), \varphi=0\right.$ on $\left.\partial \Omega\right\}$ and select a subset of $\widetilde{C}_{0}^{3}$, $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots\right\}$, which is orthonormal and everywhere dense in $L_{2}(\Omega)$. Let $P_{n}$ be the orthogonal projector of $L_{2}(\Omega)$ onto $M_{n}$, where $M_{n}$ is the linear span of $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$. Ву $\Gamma(A)$ the set of functions $u$ is denoted with the following properties:
i. $u \in \Gamma(A), A u \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ in the generalized sense, where $A u=\widehat{L} L u$;
ii. There exists a sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset \widetilde{C}_{0}^{3}$ such that $u_{k} \xrightarrow{w} u$ in $L_{2}(\Omega)$ and $\left\langle A u_{k}, u_{k}\right\rangle \rightarrow\langle A u, u\rangle$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

The condition that $A u \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ in the generalized sense means that there exists a function $f \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\langle u, A^{*} \varphi\right\rangle=-\langle f, \varphi\rangle$ and $A u=f$ for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, where $A^{*}$ is the differential operator conjugate to $A$ in the sense of Lagrange. It is easy to prove that

$$
H_{3} \cap H_{1}^{0} \subset \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \cap \stackrel{\circ}{H}_{1, C} \subset \Gamma(A) \subset L_{2}(\Omega)
$$

where $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}(A)$ is the set of functions $u \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ such that $A u \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ in the generalized sense.

Problem 1. Determine a pair of functions $(u, \lambda)$ defined in $\Omega$ from equation (2.1), the given Hamiltonian $H(x, v) \in C^{2}(\Omega)$, and the trace of the solution $u$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$, i.e.

$$
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=u_{0}
$$

We know the following uniqueness theorem for the inverse Problem 1, see [4] page 60.
Theorem 1. Assume that $H \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ and the following inequalities hold for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n},(x, v) \in \bar{\Omega}$

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial v_{i} \partial v_{j}} \xi^{i} \xi^{j} \geq \alpha|\xi|^{2}, \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \xi^{i} \xi^{j} \leq 0
$$

where $\alpha$ is a positive number. Then Problem 1 has at most one solution ( $u, \lambda$ ) such that $u \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\lambda \in L_{2}(\Omega)$.

It is noteworthy that Problem 1 will have infinitely many solutions if condition (2.2) is not imposed on $\lambda$, which is evident from the following example. Suppose that some function $w \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ coincides with the given function $u_{0}$ on $\partial D$, where $u_{0}=0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. Such functions exist, then the pair $(w, L w)$ is a solution to Problem 1.

Problem 2. Given the equation

$$
L u=\lambda+F,
$$

where $\lambda$ satisfies (2.2) and $F$ is a known function in $H_{2}(\Omega)$, find the pair of functions $(u, \lambda)$ under the condition that

$$
\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0 .
$$

Problem 1 can be reduced to Problem 2, see [4] page 65. For example, if $\partial D \in C^{\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]+3}, u_{0} \in H^{\frac{n+1}{2}+2}(\partial \Omega)$ and $u_{0}=0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$ then there exists a function $w \in H^{[n / 2]+3}(\Omega)$ such that $w=0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. For any fixed "section" $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1}=\partial D \times v_{0}$ of the surface $\Gamma_{1}$ a function $w\left(x, v_{0}\right)$ can be constructed such that $w\left(x, v_{0}\right) \in H^{[n / 2]+3}(D), w\left(x, v_{0}\right)=u_{0}\left(x, v_{0}\right)$ on $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{1}$ and $\|w\|_{H^{[n / 2]+3}} \leq$ $C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{(n+5) / 2}}[11,18]$. Since $u_{0} \in H^{(n+5) / 2}$, it is obvious that $w \in H^{n / 2+3}(\Omega)$ and $w=0$ on $\Gamma_{2}$. Let $u_{1}=u-w$, the function $u_{1}$, taken for $u$, satisfies the equation $L u=\lambda-F$ with $F=L w$ and the condition $\left.u\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$.

The next result is true for Problem 2, see [4], page 63.
Theorem 2. Assume $H \in C^{2}(\bar{\Omega})$ and the following inequalities hold for all $(x, v) \in \bar{\Omega}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial v_{i} \partial v_{j}} \xi^{i} \xi^{j} \geq \alpha_{1}|\xi|^{2}, \quad \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \xi^{i} \xi^{j} \leq-\alpha_{2}|\xi|^{2}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are positive numbers and $F \in H_{2}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a solution ( $u, \lambda$ ) of Problem 2 such that $u \in \Gamma(A), u \in H_{1}(\Omega), \lambda \in L_{2}(\Omega)$.

## 3 Algorithm of Solving the Inverse Problem

An approximate solution to the problem will be sought in the following form

$$
u_{N}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{N_{i}} w_{i}
$$

For computing, $n=3$ is taken, and two specific domains $D$ and $G$ are chosen. Let's take the domains

$$
D=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|x|<1\right\}
$$

and

$$
G=\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^{3}:|v|<1\right\}
$$

and consider corresponding complete systems

$$
\left\{x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} x_{3}^{i_{3}}\right\}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}=0}^{\infty},\left\{v_{1}^{i_{4}} v_{2}^{i_{5}} v_{3}^{i_{6}}\right\}_{i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=0}^{\infty}
$$

in $L_{2}(D)$ and $L_{2}(G)$ respectively, where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right), v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)$.
The approximated solution can be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{N}=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=1}^{N} \alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}} w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}} \eta(x) \mu(v), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}=\left\{x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} x_{3}^{i_{3}} v_{1}^{i_{4}} v_{2}^{i_{5}} v_{3}^{i_{6}}\right\}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=0}^{\infty} \\
\eta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}, & |x|<1 \\
0, & |x| \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mu(v)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1-v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}-v_{3}^{2}, & |v|<1 \\
0, & |v| \geq 1
\end{array} .\right.
$$

In expression (3.1), unknown coefficients $\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}}, i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=$ $\overline{1, N}$ are determined from the following system of linear algebraic equations (SLAE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{j}, j=\overline{1,6}}^{N}\left(A\left(\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}} w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}\right) \eta(x) \mu(v), w_{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
=\left(\mathcal{F}, w_{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

where $i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}=\overline{1, N}$.

Algorithm 1. (LeftSLAE)
Left side of each equation in (3.2) is constructed.
INPUT: The order of approximation $N, i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}, \mu(x)$
OUTPUT: Left hand side of each equation in (3.2) LeftSum
Initialization
Set LeftSum=0;
$w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}=x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} x_{3}^{i_{3}} v_{1}^{i_{4}} v_{2}^{i_{5}} v_{3}^{i_{6}}$
Implementation
For $i_{1}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{2}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{3}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{4}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{5}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{6}=1, \ldots, N$ do LeftSum $=$ LeftSum +

$$
\left(A\left(\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}} w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}\right) \eta(x) \mu(v), w_{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

end $i_{6}$ end $i_{5}$ end $i_{4}$ end $i_{3}$ end $i_{2}$ end $i_{1}$ STOP (The procedure is complete.)

Algorithm 2. $\left(u_{N}, \lambda\right)$
This algorithm computes the approximate analytical solution using Algorithm 1.

INPUT: The order of approximation: N, given function on the right hand side of Problem 2: $f(x, v)$ and Hamiltonian: $H(x, v)$

OUTPUT: Approximate solution $u_{N}$ and lambda
Initialization
$S L A E=\{ \}$
$u_{N}=0$
$w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}=x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} x_{3}^{i_{3}} v_{1}^{i_{4}} v_{2}^{i_{5}} v_{3}^{i_{6}}$
$F:=\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1} \partial v_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{2} \partial v_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{3} \partial v_{3}}$
$\eta(x)=1-x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}$
$\mu(v)=1-v_{1}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}-v_{3}^{2}$
Implementation
For $i_{1}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{2}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{3}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, N$ do
For $i_{4}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{5}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{6}^{\prime}=1, \ldots, N$ do
$S L A E=S L A E \cup$

$$
\left\{\operatorname{LeftSLAE}\left(i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}, N, \eta(x), \mu(v), w_{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}}\right)\right\}
$$

$=\left(F, w_{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$
end $i_{6}^{\prime}$ end $i_{5}^{\prime}$ end $i_{4}^{\prime}$ end $i_{3}^{\prime}$ end $i_{2}^{\prime}$ end $i_{1}^{\prime}$
Solve (SLAE, $\left\{\alpha_{\left.\left.N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}\right\}\right)}\right\}$
Principle Part
For $i_{1}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{2}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{3}=1, \ldots, N$ do
For $i_{4}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{5}=1, \ldots, N$ do For $i_{6}=1, \ldots, N$ do
$u_{N}=u_{N}+\left(\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}} w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}\right) \cdot \eta(x) \mu(v)$
end $i_{6}$ end $i_{5}$ end $i_{4}$ end $i_{3}$ end $i_{2}$ end $i_{1}$
$\lambda(x, v)=\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{1}}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{1}}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{2}}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{2}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{2}}\right)$
$+\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{3}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{3}}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{3}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{3}}\right)-f(x, v)$
End of the Algorithm 2.

Theorem 3. Algorithm 2 computes the coefficients

$$
\left\{\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}}\right\}_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=1}^{N}
$$

in the system of linear algebraic equations (3.2) as unique under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.

Proof. It has now been proven that under the hypotheses of the Theorem 2 the system (3.2) has a unique solution $\left(\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}}\right), i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=$ $1(1) N$ for any function $F \in H_{2}(\Omega)$. For this purpose, consider homogeneous version of the system:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\sum_{i_{j}, j=\overline{1,6}}^{N} A\left[\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}} w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}\right] \eta(x) \mu(v), w_{i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, i_{3}^{\prime}, i_{4}^{\prime}, i_{5}^{\prime}, i_{6}^{\prime}}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \\
=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}\right)$ th equation of the system is multiplied by $-2 \alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}}$ and sum from 1 to N with respect to $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}$ we obtain

$$
-2\left\langle A_{N}, u_{N}\right\rangle=0
$$

If the following identity is considered

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j}}\left(L u_{N}\right)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial v_{i} \partial v_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{j}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{j}}\left[\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{i}}-\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}\left[\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{j}}\left(\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{i}}-\frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{i}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{j}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i}}\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the geometry of the domain $\Omega$ and the condition that $u_{N}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ are taken into account, then

$$
-2\left\langle A u_{N}, u_{N}\right\rangle=2 J\left(u_{N}\right)=0
$$

is obtained, where

$$
J\left(u_{N}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial v_{i} \partial v_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial x_{j}}-\frac{\partial^{2} H}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{N}}{\partial v_{j}}\right) d \Omega
$$

The assumptions of Theorem 2 imply $\nabla u_{N}=0$. Hence $u_{N}=0$ in $\Omega$ as a result of the conditions $u_{N}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $u \in \widetilde{C}_{0}^{3}(\Omega)$. Since the system

$$
\left\{w_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}\right\}, i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=1, \ldots, N
$$

is linearly independent, we get $\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}}=0, i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=1, \ldots, N$. Thus the homogeneous version of the system of linear algebraic equations (3.2) has only trivial solution and therefore the original inhomogeneous system (3.2) has a unique solution set $\left\{\alpha_{N_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}}}\right\}, i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}, i_{5}, i_{6}=1, \ldots, N$ for any function $F \in H_{2}(\Omega)$.

### 3.1 The Program Codes of Algorithm via MAPLE 10

Here, algorithm of solving the inverse problem for three dimensional Linear Kinetic Euation is implemented using MAPLE 10. The program computes the
approximate analytic solution of the problem according to given parametres $N, f, H$, where $N$ is the aproximation level, $f$ is the right hand side of Problem $1, H$ is the Hamiltonian.
$\mathrm{U}:=\operatorname{proc}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{H})$
Local UN, lambda, s;
Global L2InnerProduct, operatorL, operatorLTilda, operatorA, W, a, i1, i2,
$\mathrm{i} 3, \mathrm{i} 4, \mathrm{i} 5, \mathrm{i} 6, \mathrm{j} 1, \mathrm{j} 2, \mathrm{j} 3, \mathrm{j} 4, \mathrm{j} 5, \mathrm{j} 6$, SLAE, LeftHandSide, F, eta, mu;
Option Remember;
$a:=\operatorname{array}(1 . . \mathrm{N}, 1 . . \mathrm{N}, 1 . . \mathrm{N}, 1 . . \mathrm{N}, 1 . . \mathrm{N}, 1 . . \mathrm{N})$;
eta $:=1-\mathrm{x} 1^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x} 2^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x} 3^{\wedge} 2$;
$\mathrm{mu}:=1-\mathrm{x} 1^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x} 2^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x} 3^{\wedge} 2$;
L2InnerProduct $:=\operatorname{proc}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v})$
Local k1, k2, k3;
$\mathrm{k} 1:=\operatorname{simplify}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(u^{*} \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{x} 1=-\operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{x} 2^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x} 3^{\wedge} 2\right) . . \operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{x} 2^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{x} 3^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)\right.$ );
$\mathrm{k} 2:=\operatorname{simplify}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{x} 2=-\operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{x} 1^{\wedge} 2\right) . . \operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{x} 1^{\wedge} 2\right)\right), \mathrm{x} 3=-1 . .1\right)\right.$ );
$\mathrm{k} 3:=\operatorname{simplify}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(\mathrm{k} 2, \mathrm{v} 1=-\operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{v} 2^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{v} 3^{\wedge} 2\right) . . \mathrm{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{v} 2^{\wedge} 2-\mathrm{v} 3^{\wedge} 2\right)\right)\right.$ );
RETURN(simplify(int(int(k3, v2 $\left.=-\operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{v} 1^{\wedge} 2\right) . . \operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\mathrm{v} 1^{\wedge} 2\right)\right), \mathrm{v} 3=$ -1..1)));
end;
operatorL := proc( U )
$\operatorname{RETURN}(\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{v} 1) * \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{x} 1)-\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x} 1) * \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{v} 1)+\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{v} 2) *$ diff( $\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{x} 2$ )
$-\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x} 2) * \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{v} 2)+\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{v} 3) * \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{x} 3)-\operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{x} 3) * \operatorname{diff}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{v} 3)) ;$
end;
operatorLTilda := proc(U)
RETURN(diff(diff(U,v1),x1) $+\operatorname{diff(diff(U,v2),x2)+\operatorname {diff}(\operatorname {diff}(U,v3),x3))~}$
end;
operatorA $:=\operatorname{proc}(\mathrm{U})$
RETURN(operatorLTilda(operatorL(U)));
end;
F := operatorLTilda(f);
$\mathrm{W}:=\operatorname{proc}(\mathrm{i} 1, \mathrm{i} 2, \mathrm{i} 3, \mathrm{i} 4, \mathrm{i} 5, \mathrm{i} 6::$ nonnegint $)$
$\operatorname{RETURN}(\mathrm{x} 1 \wedge \mathrm{i} 1$ * x2^i2 * x3^i3 * v1^i4 * v2^i5 * v3^i6);
end;
LeftHandSide $:=\operatorname{proc}(\mathrm{j} 1, \mathrm{j} 2, \mathrm{j} 3, \mathrm{j} 4, \mathrm{j} 5, \mathrm{j} 6)$
Local LeftSum;
LeftSum:=0;
for i1 from 1 to N do for i 2 from 1 to N do for i 3 from 1 to N do
for i 4 from 1 to N do for i 5 from 1 to N do for i 6 from 1 to N do
LeftSum := LeftSum + L2InnerProduct(operatorA(a[i1,i2,i3,i4,i5,i6] *
$\left.\left.\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{i} 1, \mathrm{i} 2, \mathrm{i} 3, \mathrm{i} 4, \mathrm{i} 5, \mathrm{i} 6)^{*} \mathrm{eta}^{*} \mathrm{mu}\right), \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{j} 1, \mathrm{j} 2, \mathrm{j} 3, \mathrm{j} 4, \mathrm{j} 5, \mathrm{j} 6)\right)$;
od; od; od; od; od; od;
RETURN(LeftSum);
end;
SLAE := proc()
Local SysEqu;
SysEqu := \{\};
for j 1 from 1 to N do for j 2 from 1 to N do for j 3 from 1 to N do
for j 4 from 1 to N do for j 5 from 1 to N do for j 6 from 1 to N do
SysEqu $:=\{o p(S y s E q u)$, LeftHandSide(j1,j2,j3,j4,j5,j6)
$=\mathrm{L} 2$ InnerProduct $(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{j} 1, \mathrm{j} 2, \mathrm{j} 3, \mathrm{j} 4, \mathrm{j} 5, \mathrm{j} 6)$ * eta*mu $)\}$
od; od; od; od; od; od;
RETURN(SysEqu);
end;
$\mathrm{s}:=0$;
for i1 from 1 to N do for i 2 from 1 to N do for i 3 from 1 to N do for i4 from 1 to N do for i 5 from 1 to N do for i 6 from 1 to N do $\mathrm{s}:=\mathrm{s}+\left(\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{i} 1, \mathrm{i} 2, \mathrm{i} 3, \mathrm{i} 4, \mathrm{i} 5, \mathrm{i} 6]^{*} \mathrm{~W}(\mathrm{i} 1, \mathrm{i} 2, \mathrm{i} 3, \mathrm{i} 4, \mathrm{i} 5, \mathrm{i} 6)\right)^{*} \mathrm{eta}^{*} \mathrm{mu} ;$
od; od; od; od; od; od;
UN $:=\operatorname{subs}($ solve(SLAE() ), s); print('UN', UN);
lambda :=operatorL(UN)-f; print('lambda', lambda);
end;

## Acknowledgement 1.

I would like to thank Prof. Dr. A.Kh. Amirov, who familiarized me with the theory of inverse and ill-posed problems, for his constant support and useful discussions.

## References

[1] A. Kh. Amirov, A class of multidimensional inverse problems. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 272, 3: 265-267 (1983).
[2] A. Kh. Amirov, A class of inverse problems for kinetic equations. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR. 283, 4: 780-783 (1985).
[3] A. Kh. Amirov, A class of inverse problems for a special kinetic equation. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 295, 2: 265-267 (1987).
[4] A. Kh. Amirov, Integral Geometry and Inverse Problems for Kinetic Equations. VSP, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.
[5] A. Amirov, F. Gölgeleyen, A. Rahmanova, An Inverse Problem for the General Kinetic Equation and a Numerical Method. CMES: Computer Modeling in Engineering \& Sciences, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 131-148 (2009).
[6] A. Amirov, M. Yildiz and Z. Ustaoglu, Solvability of a problem of integral geometry via an inverse problem for a transport-like equation and a numerical method. Inverse Problems 25095002 (2009).
[7] A. N. Tikhonov, V. Ya. Arsenin, Methods of Solution of Ill-Posed Problems. Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
[8] A. N. Tikhonov, V. Ya. Arsenin, and A. A. Timonov, Mathematical Problems of Computerized Tomography. Nauka, Moscow, 1987.
[9] C. Hamaker, K. Smith, D. Solmon, and S. Wagner, The divergent beam X-ray transform. Rocky Mountain J. Math., 10, 1: 253-283 (1980).
[10] T. Güyer, Ş. Mirasyedioğlu, A symbolic computation approach to a threedimensional inverse problem for the transport equation. Appl. Math. Comput. 150, 181-193 (2004).
[11] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems and applications. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-London, 1972.
[12] M. Yildiz, On the Solution of a Coefficient Inverse Problem for the Nonstationary Kinetic Equation. CMES: Computer Modeling in Engineering \& Sciences, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 141-154 (2009).
[13] L. N. Pestov, V. A. Sharafutdinov, Integral geometry of tensor fields on a manifold of negative curvature. Soviet Math. Dokl. 36, 1: 203-204 (1988).
[14] M. M. Lavrent'ev, Some Improperly Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967.
[15] M. M. Lavrent'ev, V. G. Romanov, S. P. Shishatskii, Ill-Posed Problems of Mathematical Physics and Analysis. Nauka, Moscow, 1980.
[16] V. G. Romanov, Inverse Problems of Mathematical Physics. Nauka, Moscow, 1984.
[17] V. K. Ivanov, V. V. Vasin, and V. P. Tanana, Theory of Linear Ill Posed Problems and its Applications. Nauka, Moscow, 1978.
[18] V. P. Mikhailov, Partial Differential Equations. Mir Publishers, 1978.
[19] Yu. E. Anikonov, A. Kh. Amirov, A uniqueness theorem for the solution of an inverse problem for the kinetic equation. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 272, 6:1292-1293 (1983).
[20] Yu. E. Anikonov, Inverse Problems for Kinetic and other Evolution Equations. VSP, Utrecht, The Netherlands, 2001.

Zonguldak Karaelmas University
Faculty of Arts and Sciences
Department of Mathematics
67100, Zonguldak, Turkey
Email: mustafayildiz2002@hotmail.com


[^0]:    Key Words: Linear Kinetic Equation, Inverse Problem, Galerkin Method, Computer Algebra, Symbolic Computation

    Mathematics Subject Classification: 35R30, 49N45, 65N21
    Received: January, 2009
    Accepted: September, 2009

