



Viscosity approximation method for m-accretive mapping and variational inequality in Banach space

Zhenhua He¹, Deifei Zhang¹, Feng Gu² *

Abstract

This paper introduces a composite viscosity iterative scheme to approximate a zero of m -accretive operator A defined on Banach spaces E with uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm. It is also shown that the zero is a solution of some variation inequalities. The results in this paper improve and extend the corresponding that of [3] and some others.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Let E be a real Banach space and E^* its dual space. Let J denote the normalized duality mapping from E into 2^{E^*} defined by $J(x) = \{f \in E^* : \langle x, f \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|f\|^2\}$, where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the generalized duality pairing between E and E^* . It is well-known that if E^* is strictly convex then J is sing-valued. In the sequel, we shall denote the single-valued normalized duality mapping by j .

Let K be a nonempty subset of E . We first recall some definitions and conclusions:

Definition 1.1 $T : K \rightarrow K$ is said to be a L -Lipschitz mapping, if $\forall x, y \in K, \|Tx - Ty\| \leq L\|x - y\|$. Especially, if $L = 1$, i.e. $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|$, then T is said to non-expansive; if $0 < L < 1$, then T is said to contraction

Key Words: Strong convergence; Accretive mapping; Pseudocontractive mapping; Viscosity approximation method; Uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm; Variational inequality.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H06; 47H10; 47J05; 54H25

Received: December, 2008

Accepted: April, 2009

*The present studies were supported by the Honghe University foundation(XSS07006),the Scientific Research Foundation from Yunnan Province Education Committee (08Y0338).

mapping.

Definition 1.2 An operator A (possibly multivalued) with domain $D(A)$ and range $R(A)$ in E is called *accretive mapping*, if $\forall x_i \in D(A)$ and $y_i \in Ax_i (i=1,2)$, there exists $j(x_2-x_1) \in J(x_2-x_1)$ such that $\langle y_2-y_1, j(x_2-x_1) \rangle \geq 0$. Especially, an accretive operator A is called *m-accretive* if $R(I+rA) = E$ for all $r > 0$.

Note that if A is accretive, then $J_A := (I+A)^{-1}$ is a nonexpansive single-valued mapping from $R(I+A)$ to $D(A)$ and $F(J_A) = N(A)$, where $N(A) = \{x \in D(A) : Ax = 0\}$.

Definition 1.3. $T : K \rightarrow K$ is called *pseudocontractive mapping*, if there exists $j(x-y) \in J(x-y)$ such that $\langle Tx-Ty, j(x-y) \rangle \leq \|x-y\|^2, \forall x, y \in K$.

Remark. If T is pseudocontractive, then $I-T$ is accretive, where I is an identity operator.

Let $S = \{x \in E : \|x\| = 1\}$ denote the unit sphere of the real Banach space E . E is said to have a *Gâteaux differentiable norm* if the limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|x\|}{t}$$

exists for each $x, y \in S$; and E is said to have a *uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm* if for each $y \in S$, the limit is attained uniformly for $x \in S$. Furthermore, it is well known that if E has a *uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm*, then the dual space E^* is *uniformly convex* and so the duality map j is *single valued* and *uniformly continuous* on bounded subsets of E . Let E be a normed space with $\dim E \geq 2$, the modulus of smoothness of E is the function $\rho_E : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\rho_E(\tau) := \sup \left\{ \frac{\|x+y\| + \|x-y\|}{2} - 1 : \|x\| = 1; \|y\| = \tau \right\}.$$

The space E is called *uniformly smooth* if and only if $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \rho_E \tau / \tau = 0$.

In 2006, H.K. Xu considered the following algorithm,

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) J_{r_n} x_n, n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

where $u \in K$ is arbitrary (but fixed), $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0,1)$, and $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers. Xu proved that if E is a uniformly smooth Banach space, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ given by (1.1) converges strongly to a point in $N(A)$ provided the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ satisfy certain conditions.

Inspired by (1.1), R. Chen and Z. Zhu [3] studied the following two iterative schemes:

$$x_{t,n_t} = tf(x_{t,n_t}) + (1 - t)J_{r_{n_t}}x_{t,n_t}, \quad t \in (0, 1) \quad (2)$$

and

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)J_{r_n}x_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

where $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary (but fixed). I denotes identity operator.

Under appropriate conditions, R. Chen and Z. Zhu [3] proved that if E is a uniformly smooth Banach space, then the sequence $\{x_{t,n_t}\}$ and $\{x_n\}$ given by (1.2) and (1.3) converge strongly to a zero point of m -accretive operator A , respectively.

Motivated by Chen and Zhu's work, in this paper, we study two new iterative schemes in reflexive Banach spaces E with uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm as follows:

$$x_t = tf(x_t) + (1 - t)S_r x_t, \quad t \in (0, 1) \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)y_n, \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n)S_{r_n}x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $S_r = (1 - \sigma)I + \sigma J_r$, $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$, $S_{r_n} = (1 - \sigma)I + \sigma J_{r_n}$, $J_{r_n} = (I + r_n A)^{-1}$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary (but fixed), I denotes identity operator. Especially, if $\beta_n = 0$, then (1.5) reduces to following iterative scheme:

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)S_{r_n}x_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

Obviously, the iterative scheme (1.4) and (1.6) are still different from that of (1.2) and (1.3), respectively.

Under appropriate conditions, this paper proves that $\{x_t\}$ defined by (1.4) converge strongly to a $p \in N(A)$ which is a solution of some variational inequalities in the framework of reflexive Banach spaces E with uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm. At the same time, we also prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a $p \in N(A)$. The results obtained in this paper improve and extend that of Chen and Zhu [3] and some others.

In what follows, we shall make use of the following Lemmas.

Lemma 1.1([2]). *Let E be a real normed linear space and J the normalized duality mapping on E , then for each $x, y \in E$ and $j(x + y) \in J(x + y)$, we have*

$$\|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, j(x + y) \rangle.$$

Lemma 1.2(Suzuki, [6]). *Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space E and let $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in $[0, 1]$ with $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose $x_{n+1} = \beta_n y_n + (1 - \beta_n)x_n$ for all integers $n \geq 0$*

and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0$, then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 1.3([9]). *Let $\{a_n\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following relation:*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \alpha_n\sigma_n + \gamma_n, n \geq 0,$$

if (i) $\alpha_n \in [0, 1]$, $\sum \alpha_n = \infty$; (ii) $\limsup \sigma_n \leq 0$; (iii) $\gamma_n \geq 0$, $\sum \gamma_n < \infty$, then $a_n \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem I(see, e.g., [4, 10]). *Let A be a continuous and accretive operator on the real Banach space E with $D(A) = E$. Then A is m -accretive.*

Let μ be a continuous linear functional on l^∞ satisfying $\|\mu\| = 1 = \mu(1)$. Then we know that μ is a mean on N if and only if

$$\inf\{a_n; n \in N\} \leq \mu(a) \leq \sup\{a_n; n \in N\}$$

for every $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^\infty$. According to time and circumstances, we use $\mu_n(a_n)$ instead of $\mu(a)$. A mean μ on N is called a Banach limit if $\mu_n(a_n) = \mu_n(a_{n+1})$ for every $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots) \in l^\infty$. Furthermore, we know the following result [8, Lemma 1] and [7, Lemma 4.5.4].

Lemma 1.4([8, Lemma 1]). *Let K be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space E with a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence of E and let μ be a mean on N . Let $z \in K$. Then*

$$\mu_n \|x_n - z\| = \min_{y \in K} \mu_n \|x_n - y\|$$

if and only if

$$\mu_n \langle y - z, j(x_n - z) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in K,$$

where j is the duality mapping of E .

Lemma 1.5([1, 5]). *For $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ and $x \in E$,*

$$J_\lambda x = J_\mu \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} x + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right) J_\lambda x \right).$$

2 Main results

Throughout this paper, suppose that

- (a) E is a real reflexive Banach space E which has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norms;
- (b) K is a nonempty closed convex subset of E ;
- (c) every nonempty closed bounded convex subset of E has the fixed point

property for nonexpansive mappings.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A : K \rightarrow E$ be a m -accretive mapping with $N(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : K \rightarrow K$ be a contraction with contraction constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, then there exists $x_t \in K$ such that*

$$x_t = tf(x_t) + (1 - t)S_r x_t, \quad (1)$$

where $S_r = (1 - \sigma)I + \sigma J_r$ with $J_r = (I + rA)^{-1}$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, I denotes identity operator. Further, as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, x_t converges strongly a zero $p \in N(A)$ which solutes the following variational inequality:

$$\langle p - f(p), j(p - q) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall q \in N(A). \quad (2)$$

Proof. Firstly, S_r is nonexpansive mapping and $F(S_r) = N(A) \neq \emptyset$. Secondly, let H_t^f denote a mapping defined by

$$H_t^f x = tf(x) + (1 - t)S_r x, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), \forall x \in K.$$

Obviously, H_t^f is contraction, then by Banach contraction mapping principle there exists $x_t \in K$ such that

$$x_t = tf(x_t) + (1 - t)S_r x_t.$$

Now, let $p \in N(A)$, then

$$\|x_t - p\| = \|t(f(x_t) - p) + (1 - t)(S_r x_t - p)\| \leq t\alpha\|x_t - p\| + t\|f(p) - p\| + (1 - t)\|x_t - p\|,$$

i.e.,

$$\|x_t - p\| \leq \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Hence $\{x_t\}$ is bounded. Assume that $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Set $x_n := x_{t_n}$, define a function g on K by

$$g(x) = \mu_n \|x_n - x\|^2.$$

Let

$$C = \{x \in K; g(x) = \min_{y \in K} \mu_n \|x_n - y\|^2\}.$$

It is easy to see that C is a closed convex bounded subset of E . Since $\|x_n - S_r x_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$, hence

$$g(S_r x) = \mu_n \|x_n - S_r x\|^2 = \mu_n \|S_r x_n - S_r x\|^2 \leq \mu_n \|x_n - x\|^2 = g(x),$$

it follows that $S_r(C) \subset C$, that is C is invariant under S_r . By assumption (c), non-expansive mapping S_r has fixed point $p \in C$. Using Lemma 1.4 we obtain

$$\mu_n \langle x - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Taking $x = f(p)$, then

$$\mu_n \langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

Since

$$x_t - p = t(f(x_t) - p) + (1 - t)(S_r x_t - p),$$

then

$$\|x_t - p\|^2 = t \langle f(x_t) - p, j(x_t - p) \rangle + (1 - t) \langle S_r x_t - p, j(x_t - p) \rangle \leq t \langle f(x_t) - p, j(x_t - p) \rangle + (1 - t) \|x_t - p\|^2$$

Further,

$$\|x_t - p\|^2 \leq \langle f(x_t) - p, j(x_t - p) \rangle = \langle f(x_t) - f(p), j(x_t - p) \rangle + \langle f(p) - p, j(x_t - p) \rangle.$$

Thus,

$$\mu_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \leq \mu_n \alpha \|x_n - p\|^2 + \mu_n \langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle.$$

it follows from (2.3) that

$$\mu_n \|x_n - p\|^2 = 0.$$

Hence there exists a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$ which is still denoted by $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = 0$. Now assume that another subsequence $\{x_m\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converge strongly to $\bar{p} \in N(A)$. Since j is *uniformly continuous* on bounded subsets of E , then for any $q \in N(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle x_m - f(x_m), j(x_m - q) \rangle - \langle \bar{p} - f(\bar{p}), j(\bar{p} - q) \rangle| \\ &= |\langle x_m - f(x_m) - (\bar{p} - f(\bar{p})), j(x_m - q) \rangle + \langle (\bar{p} - f(\bar{p})), j(x_m - q) \rangle - \langle \bar{p} - f(\bar{p}), j(\bar{p} - q) \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(I - f)x_m - (I - f)\bar{p}\| \|x_m - q\| + |\langle \bar{p} - f(\bar{p}), j(x_m - q) - j(\bar{p} - q) \rangle| \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

i.e.,

$$\langle \bar{p} - f(\bar{p}), j(\bar{p} - q) \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m - f(x_m), j(x_m - q) \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Since $x_m = t f(x_m) + (1 - t) S_r x_m$, we have

$$(I - f)x_m = -\frac{1 - t}{t} (I - S_r)x_m,$$

hence for any $q \in N(A)$,

$$\langle (I - f)x_m, j(x_m - q) \rangle = -\frac{1 - t}{t} \langle (I - S_r)x_m - (I - S_r)q, j(x_m - q) \rangle \leq 0, \quad (6)$$

it follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\langle \bar{p} - f(\bar{p}), j(\bar{p} - q) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

Interchange p and q to obtain

$$\langle \bar{p} - f(\bar{p}), j(\bar{p} - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad (8)$$

i.e.,

$$\langle \bar{p} - p + p - f(\bar{p}), j(\bar{p} - p) \rangle \leq 0, \quad (9)$$

hence

$$\|\bar{p} - p\|^2 \leq \langle f(\bar{p}) - p, j(\bar{p} - p) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Interchange p and \bar{p} to obtain

$$\|\bar{p} - p\|^2 \leq \langle f(p) - \bar{p}, j(p - \bar{p}) \rangle. \quad (11)$$

Adding up (2.10) and (2.11) yields that

$$2\|\bar{p} - p\|^2 \leq (1 + \alpha)\|\bar{p} - p\|, \quad (12)$$

this implies that $p = \bar{p}$. Hence $x_t \rightarrow p$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ and p is a solution of the following variational inequality

$$\langle p - f(p), j(p - q) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall q \in N(A).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

It is well known that the duality mapping j is identity mapping on Hilbert space. Next we give an example for the variational inequality (2.2).

Example 1. Let $Tx = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{4(|a|+|b|)}x^3, \forall x \in [a, b], a, b \in R^1, a < b$. By Weierstrass Theorem we know that there exists $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that

$$Tx_0 = \min_{a \leq x \leq b} Tx.$$

Moreover, there has following results:

- (i) If $x_0 \in (a, b)$, then $T'x_0 = 0$;
- (ii) If $x_0 = a$, then $T'x_0 \geq 0$;
- (iii) If $x_0 = b$, then $T'x_0 \leq 0$.

By (i)-(iii), we have $T'x_0(x - x_0) \geq 0, \forall x \in [a, b]$. Thus the following variational inequality is obtained by inner product of R^1 :

$$\langle T'x_0, x - x_0 \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in [a, b]. \quad (*)$$

Notice that

$$T'x = x - \frac{3}{4(|a| + |b|)}x^2.$$

Let $f(x) = \frac{3}{4(|a|+|b|)}x^2, \forall x \in [a, b]$, then it is obvious that f is a contraction. This shows that the variate inequality (*) is a special case of the variational

inequality (2.2).

Theorem 2.2. *Let $A : K \rightarrow E$ be m -accretive with $N(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $f : K \rightarrow K$ be contractive with constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. For given $x_0 \in K$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the algorithm*

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) S_{r_n} x_n, \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $S_{r_n} := (1 - \sigma)I + \sigma J_{r_n}$ with $J_{r_n} := (I + r_n A)^{-1}$, $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$. $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary (but fixed). Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{r_n\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

(i) $0 \leq \alpha_n \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,

(ii) $r_n \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = 0$,

then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a zero $p \in N(A)$, where $p = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} x_t$ is a solution of variational inequality (2.2).

Proof. We is easy to know that $F(S_{r_n}) = F(J_{r_n}) = N(A) \neq \emptyset$ and S_{r_n} is nonexpansive. Since $p \in N(A)$, then $p \in F(S_{r_n})$. It follows from (2.13)

$$\|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\|, \quad \|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq (1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|f(p) - p\|,$$

which yields that

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \max\{\|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|f(p) - p\|}{1 - \alpha}\}.$$

Hence, $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and so are $\{y_n\}$ and $\{S_{r_n} x_n\}$.

Let M be a constant such that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$\max\{\|f(x_n)\|, \|f(x_{n+1})\|, \|J_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\|, \|J_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1}\|\} \leq M.$$

Then from (2.13) and Lemma 1.5 we have

$$\|J_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1} - J_{r_n} x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \left|1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\right| M. \quad (14)$$

and

$$\|S_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1} - S_{r_n} x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \left|1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}}\right| M, \quad (15)$$

Now, we shall show $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We shall split two cases to study it.

Case 1. If $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 1$, then it follows from (2.13) that

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)(S_{r_n} x_n - x_n),$$

which implies that $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 2. Let $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq a < 1$. Let $\gamma_n = \alpha_n + (1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_n)\sigma$, $\bar{y}_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n + \gamma_n x_n}{\gamma_n}$, i.e. $\bar{y}_n = \frac{\alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\sigma J_{r_n} x_n}{\gamma_n}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y}_{n+1} - \bar{y}_n &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\gamma_{n+1}} f(x_{n+1}) - \frac{\alpha_n}{\gamma_n} f(x_n) + \frac{(1 - \alpha_{n+1})(1 - \beta_{n+1})\sigma J_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1}}{\gamma_{n+1}} - \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\sigma J_{r_n} x_n}{\gamma_n} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{\gamma_{n+1}} f(x_{n+1}) - \frac{\alpha_n}{\gamma_n} f(x_n) + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\sigma}{\gamma_n} (J_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1} - J_{r_n} x_n) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{(1 - \alpha_{n+1})(1 - \beta_{n+1})}{\gamma_{n+1}} - \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)}{\gamma_n} \right) \sigma J_{r_{n+1}} x_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{y}_{n+1} - \bar{y}_n\| &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1} + \alpha_n}{\gamma_{n+1}\gamma_n} M + \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)\sigma}{\gamma_n} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left| 1 - \frac{r_n}{r_{n+1}} \right| M \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{(1 - \alpha_{n+1})(1 - \beta_{n+1})}{\gamma_{n+1}} - \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \beta_n)}{\gamma_n} \right| M. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Using the conditions (i-ii), from (2.16) we get that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{\|\bar{y}_{n+1} - \bar{y}_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|\} \leq 0. \quad (17)$$

Based on Lemma 1.2 and (2.17), we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\bar{y}_n - x_n\| = 0$, which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$

By case 1 and case 2 we know $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0$.

Since $\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| = \alpha_n \|f(x_n) - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and

$$\|x_n - S_{r_n} x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - a} \|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (18)$$

Since

$$\|x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| = \frac{1}{\sigma} \|x_n - S_{r_n} x_n\|,$$

it follows from (2.18) that $\|x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $r > 0$ is a constant such that $\varepsilon > r > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - J_r x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| + \|J_r x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| \\ &= \|x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| + \|J_r x_n - J_r \left(\frac{r}{r_n} x_n + \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_n} \right) J_{r_n} x_n \right)\| \\ &\leq 2\|x_n - J_{r_n} x_n\| \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

It follows from (2.19) that $\|x_n - S_r x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $S_r x_n = (1 - \sigma)x_n + \sigma J_r x_n$. Let x_t be defined by (2.1), i.e.,

$$x_t = t f(x_t) + (1 - t) S_r x_t, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1).$$

Then, using Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - x_n\|^2 &= \|t(f(x_t) - x_n) + (1 - t)(S_r x_t - x_n)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - t)^2 \|S_r x_t - x_n\|^2 + 2t \langle f(x_t) - x_n, j(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - t)^2 (\|S_r x_t - S_r x_n\| + \|S_r x_n - x_n\|)^2 + 2t \langle f(x_t) - x_t + x_t - x_n, j(x_t - x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 + t^2) \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + \|S_r x_n - x_n\| (2\|x_t - x_n\| + \|S_r x_n - x_n\|) + 2t \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_t - x_n) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$\langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} \|x_t - x_n\|^2 + \frac{\|S_r x_n - x_n\|}{2t} (2\|x_t - x_n\| + \|S_r x_n - x_n\|),$$

let $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the last inequality, then we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle \leq \frac{t}{2} M',$$

where $M' \geq 0$ is a constant such that $\|x_t - x_n\|^2 \leq M$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ and $n \geq 0$. Now letting $t \rightarrow 0^+$, then we have that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle \leq 0.$$

Thus, for $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive number δ' such that for any $t \in (0, \delta')$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

On the other hand, By Theorem 2.1 we have $x_t \rightarrow p \in F(S_r) = N(A)$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. In addition, j is norm-to-weak* uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E , so there exists $\delta'' > 0$ such that, for any $t \in (0, \delta'')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle - \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle - \langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle| + |\langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle - \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f(p) - p\| \|j(x_n - p) - j(x_n - x_t)\| + (1 + \alpha) \|x_t - p\| \|x_n - x_t\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\delta = \min\{\delta', \delta''\}$, for $t \in (0, \delta)$, we have that

$$\langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle \leq \langle f(x_t) - x_t, j(x_n - x_t) \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ is arbitrary,}$$

which yields that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(p) - p, j(x_n - p) \rangle \leq 0. \tag{20}$$

Now we prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to p . It follows from Lemma 1.1 and (2.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|\alpha_n(f(x_n) - p) + (1 - \alpha_n)(y_n - p)\|^2 \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|y_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - f(p) + f(p) - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + 2\alpha_n \alpha \|x_n - p\| \|x_{n+1} - p\| + 2\alpha_n \langle f(p) - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n \alpha (\|x_n - p\|^2 + \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2) + 2\alpha_n \langle f(p) - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &\leq \frac{1 - (2 - \alpha)\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha\alpha_n} \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_n^2}{1 - \alpha\alpha_n} \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha\alpha_n} \langle f(p) - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle \\ &= (1 - \bar{\alpha}_n) \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_n^2}{1 - \alpha\alpha_n} \|x_n - p\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha\alpha_n} \langle f(p) - p, j(x_{n+1} - p) \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $\bar{\alpha}_n = \frac{2(1-\alpha)\alpha_n}{1-\alpha\alpha_n}$. By boundness of $\{x_n\}$ the condition (i) and Lemma 1.3, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to p . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. *Let E and α_n, β_n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Let $A : E \rightarrow E$, be a continuous accretive mapping with $N(A) \neq \emptyset$. For given $x_0 \in E$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the algorithm (2.13), then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a zero $p \in N(A)$ which solutes the variational inequality (2.2).*

Proof. It follows from Theorem I that A is m -accretive mapping. Then by Theorem 2.2 we know that Theorem 2.3 is true. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. *Let E and α_n, β_n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Let $T : K \rightarrow E$, be a pseudocontractive mapping such that $(I - T)$ is m -accretive on K with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For given $x_0 \in E$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the algorithm*

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n \\ y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) S_{r_n} x_n, \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

where $S_{r_n} := (1 - \sigma)I + \sigma J_{r_n}$ with $J_{r_n} := (I + r_n(I - T))^{-1}$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point $p \in F(T)$ which solutes the variational inequality (2.2).

Proof. Let $A = (I - T)$, then A is m -accretive. Note that $N(A) = F(T)$, which yields that $N(A) = F(T) \neq \emptyset$. We complete the proof of Theorem 2.4 by Theorem 2.2.

If $\beta_n \equiv 0$, from Theorem 2.2-2.4 we have the following Corollary 2.5-2.7, respectively.

Corollary 2.5. We choose $K, E, A, S_{r_n}, r_n, \alpha_n$ such that they satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2. For given $x_0 \in K$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the algorithm (1.6), then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $p \in N(A)$ which solves the variational inequality (2.2).

Corollary 2.6. Let E and α_n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Let $A : E \rightarrow E$, be a continuous accretive mapping with $N(A) \neq \emptyset$. For given $x_0 \in E$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the algorithm (1.6). Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a zero $p \in N(A)$ which solves the variational inequality (2.2).

Corollary 2.7. Let E and K and α_n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2. Let $T : K \rightarrow E$, be a continuous pseudocontractive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For given $x_0 \in E$, let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the algorithm

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) S_{r_n} x_n, \quad (24)$$

where $S_{r_n} := (1 - \sigma)I + \sigma J_{r_n}$ with $J_{r_n} := (I + r_n(I - T))^{-1}$ and $0 < \sigma < 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point $p \in F(T)$ which solves the variational inequality (2.2).

Remark 2.8. Since Corollary 2.5 is obtained under the coefficient α_n satisfying $\lim \alpha_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, then it is an improvement of Theorem 3.2 of [3].

Example 2. Let

$$\alpha_n = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n = 2k; \\ \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n = 2k - 1. \end{cases} \quad \text{and } r_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } n = 2k; \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{n}, & \text{if } n = 2k - 1. \end{cases}$$

where k is some positive integer. Obviously, the coefficient α_n and r_n satisfy the condition of this paper. But because of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n| = \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = \infty$, hence the coefficient α_n and r_n do not satisfy the condition of Theorem 3.2 of [3].

Remark 2.9. If E is uniformly smooth then E is reflexive and has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm with the property that every nonempty closed and bounded subset of E has the fixed point property for nonexpansive mappings(see, remark 3.5 of [10]). Thus, if E is a real uniformly smooth Banach space, then the results in this paper are true, too.

References

- [1] [1] V.Barbu, *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Space*, No-

- ordhoff,1976.
- [2] [2]S.S.Chang, *Some problems and results in the study of nonlinear analysis*, Nonlinear Anal., **30**(1997), 4197-4208.
 - [3] [3]R. Chen,Z. Zhu, *Viscosity approximation method for accretive operator in Banach space*, Nonlinear Analysis, **69**(2008),1356-1363.
 - [4] [4]I. Cioranescu, *Geometry of Banach Spaces, Duality Mappings and Nonlinear Problems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1990.
 - [5] [5] X. Qin, Y. Su, *Approximation of a zero point of accretive operator in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **329**(2007), 415-424.
 - [6] [6]Tomonari Suzuki, *Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 2005:1(2005), 103-123.
 - [7] [7] W.Takahashi, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2000.
 - [8] [8]W. Takahashi, Y. Ueda, *On Reich' s strong convergence for resolvents of accretive operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **104**(1984), 546-553.
 - [9] [9] Hong-Kun Xu , *Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators*, J. London. Math. Soc., **2**(2002), 240-256.
 - [10] [10] Habtu Zegeye, Naseer Shahzad, *Strong convergence theorems for a common zero of a finite family of m-accretive mappings*, Nonlinear Anal., **66**(2007), 1161-1169.

¹ Depart. of Math.,
Honghe university, Mengzi, Yunnan,
661100, China

² Depart. of Math., Hangzhou normal university,
Zhejiang, 310036, China
e-mail: zhenhuahe@126.com

