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Abstract

In this paper, we establish some basic results for quaternion combined impulsive matrix dynamic equation on time scales for the first time. Quaternion matrix combined-exponential function is introduced and some basic properties are obtained. Based on this, the fundamental solution matrix and corresponding Cauchy matrix for a class of quaternion matrix dynamic equation with combined derivatives and bi-directional impulses are derived.

1 Introduction

In 1843, Hamilton initiated the notion of quaternions which extends the complex numbers to the four-dimensional space (see [8]). The multiplication of quaternions are determined by a noncommutative division algebra. Let $q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2j + q_3k$, be a quaternion, where $q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $i, j, k$ satisfy the multiplication: $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1, jk = -kj = i, ki = -ik = j, ij = -ji = k$. In the real world, there exists the quaternionic differential equation structure in many research fields such as differential geometry, fluid mechanics, attitude dynamics, quantum mechanics (see [1]), etc., and many researchers focus on the subject with quaternionic background (see [5–7,10,51–54]).
On the other hand, in 1988, Stefan Hilger introduced the theory of time scales, which is a powerful tool to study dynamic equations on hybrid domains (see [9]), by choosing the time scale to be the set of real numbers, the general results yield the results concerning different types of dynamic equations (see [20, 23, 27]). In 2016, some equivalent concepts of periodic time scales were addressed by Wang and Agarwal et al. (see [2, 21, 22]). In [24, 26, 28], the concept of piecewise almost periodic and almost automorphic functions on time scales with periodicity was first introduced and applied to analyze the almost periodic solutions to neural networks and biological dynamic models. In [29, 31], the authors proposed the $\Pi$-semigroup and the semigroups induced by complete-closed time scales to study the almost periodic mild solutions to evolution equations. Due to the irregularity of time scales, the delay classification was addressed to solve the delay dynamic equations on hybrid time scales (see [30]).

The notion of changing-periodic time scales is a recent new concept which can deal with the translation solution problems of the dynamic equations on time scales with the bounded graininess function $\mu$ (see [32]). By using the idea of decomposition of time scales, the existence and stability of local solutions of dynamic equations on piecewise periodic time scales were considered (see [33, 34]). Moreover, the concept of matched spaces of time scales was put forward to assist in solving the problems on non-translational shift time scales (see [25, 35–37]). By choosing $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z}$, the same result yields a result for difference equations with $h$-step; let the quantum time scale $\mathbb{T} = q\mathbb{Z}$, the hybrid domains $\mathbb{T} = \{h\mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{q^n\}$, etc., one can obtain a much more general result by using the theory of time scales (see [3, 4, 38–41]). In 2020, Wang, Li, Agarwal and O’Regan investigated the commutativity of quaternion-matrix-valued functions and quaternion matrix dynamic equations on time scales and nine interesting problems were proposed and solved (see [13–15]) in which several real applications were demonstrated in applied dynamic equations. In 2020, the coupled-jumping timescale theory and applications were proposed (see [50]).

In 2006, Sheng, Fadag, et al. introduced a combined derivative on time scales called diamond derivatives which is defined as a linear combination of Delta and Nabla dynamic derivatives and is a more accurate approximation to the conventional derivative. The calculation $\diamondalpha$ diamond derivatives of a function needs the function is Delta and Nabla derivable both (see [17, 18]). In particular, for $\alpha = 1$ the $\diamondalpha$ diamond derivatives is $\Delta$-derivatives, and for $\alpha = 0$ the $\diamondalpha$ diamond derivatives is $\nabla$-derivatives. In 2020, Wang and Agarwal et.al established the combined measure theory on time scales and it was applied to study Lebesgue-Stieltjes combined $\diamondalpha$-measure and integral (see [16, 42]). In [12], the non-eigenvalue form of Liouville’s formula and $\alpha$-matrix exponential solutions for combined matrix dynamic equations on time
scales were obtained.

However, there is no work on the combined matrix dynamic equations on time scales under quaternionic background. Moreover, the dynamic equations with impulses demonstrate their advantages in describing the dynamical behavior with a sudden change or an impact, it is significant to investigate the impulsive dynamic equations on hybrid domains (see [43–49]). Motivated by the above, since impulsive dynamic equations play a vital role in depicting the natural phenomena with sudden changes in the practical applications (see [11, 19]), we will introduce a quaternion matrix combined-exponential function and study its properties. Based on it, a class of quaternion matrix dynamic equation with combined derivatives and bi-directional impulses is introduced and investigated, some basic results including the fundamental solution matrix and corresponding Cauchy matrix are obtained for the first time.

2 Preliminaries

In what follows, we will present some fundamental knowledge of combined calculus on time scales and generalize these results under the quaternion background. For convenience, we denote quaternion space by $Q$ throughout the paper.

A time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$. It follows that the jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ and $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$ (supplemented by $\inf \phi := \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sup \phi := \inf \mathbb{T}$) are well defined. The point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if $\rho(t) = t, \rho(t) < t, \sigma(t) = t, \sigma(t) > t$, respectively. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a right scattered minimum $m$, define $\mathbb{T}_\kappa := \mathbb{T} \setminus m$; otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}_\kappa = \mathbb{T}$. The notations $[a, b]_T, [a, b)_T$ and so on, we will denote time scale intervals $[a, b]_T = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : a \leq t \leq b\}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ with $a < \rho(b)$.

**Definition 2.1** ([4]). For $y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^\kappa$, we define the $\Delta$-derivative of $y(t)$, $y^\Delta(t)$, to be the number (if it exists) with the property that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $t$ (i.e., $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta)_T$ for some $\delta > 0$) such that

$$[|y(\sigma(t)) - y(s)| - y^\Delta(t)|\sigma(t) - s|] < \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|$$

for all $s \in U$. That is, the limit

$$y^\Delta(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{f(\sigma(t)) - f(s)}{\sigma(t) - s}$$

exists.
Theorem 2.1 ([4]). If \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{T}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( f, g \in C_{rd} \), then

(i) \( \int_a^b [\alpha f(t) + \beta g(t)] \Delta t = \alpha \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t + \beta \int_a^b g(t) \Delta t; \)

(ii) \( \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t = - \int_b^a f(t) \Delta t; \)

(iii) \( \int_a^t f(t) \Delta t = \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t + \int_b^t f(t) \Delta t; \)

(iv) \( | \int_a^b f(t) \Delta t | \leq \int_a^b |f(t)| \Delta t. \)

Definition 2.2 ([4]). If \( r \) is a \( \mu \)-regressive function, then the generalized exponential function \( e_r \) is defined by

\[
e_r(t, s) = \exp \left\{ \int_s^t \xi_{\mu(\tau)}(r(\tau)) \Delta \tau \right\}
\]

for all \( s, t \in \mathbb{T} \), where the \( \mu \)-cylinder transformation is as in

\[
\xi_h(z) := \frac{1}{h} \log(1 + zh).
\]

Theorem 2.2 ([4]). Assume that \( p, q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R} \) are two \( \mu \)-regressive functions. Then

(i) \( e_0(t, s) \equiv 1 \) and \( e_p(t, t) \equiv 1; \)

(ii) \( e_p(\sigma(t), s) = (1 + \mu(t)p(t))e_p(t, s); \)

(iii) \( e_p(t, s) = \frac{1}{e_{p(\sigma(t))}} = e_{p(t)}(s, t); \)

(iv) \( e_p(t, s)e_p(s, r) = e_p(t, r); \)

(v) \( (e_{p(t)}(s, r))^{\Delta} = (\otimes p(t))e_{p(t)}(t, s); \)

Definition 2.3 ([1]). Let \( A : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n} \) and \( A(t) = [a_{r+h}(t) + ia_{r+h1}(t) + ja_{r+h2}(t) + ka_{r+h3}(t)]_{n \times n} \), where \( 1 \leq r, h \leq n, n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), the integral of the matrix function \( A(t) \) is defined by

\[
\int_{t_0}^t A(t) \Delta t = \left[ \int_{t_0}^t a_{r+h0}(t) \Delta t + i \int_{t_0}^t a_{r+h1}(t) \Delta t + j \int_{t_0}^t a_{r+h2}(t) \Delta t + k \int_{t_0}^t a_{r+h3}(t) \Delta t \right]_{n \times n}.
\]

Definition 2.4 ([18]). Let \( A : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n} \) be differentiable on \( \mathbb{T} \) in \( \Delta \) and \( \nabla \) sense. For \( t \in \mathbb{T} \), define a diamond-\( \alpha \) dynamic derivative \( A^{\Diamond \alpha}(t) \) by

\[
A^{\Diamond \alpha}(t) = \alpha A^{\Delta}(t) + (1 - \alpha)A^{\nabla}(t), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.
\]

Thus \( A(t) \) is diamond-\( \alpha \) differentiable if and only if \( A(t) \) is \( \Delta \) and \( \nabla \) differentiable.
Consider the following two initial value problems of homogeneous quaternion matrix dynamic equations on time scales.

\[ X^\nabla(t) = A(t)X(t), \; X(t_0) = I, \tag{1} \]
\[ X^\Delta(t) = A(t)X(t), \; X(t_0) = I, \tag{2} \]
where \( I \) is an identity matrix.

Now, we will derive a quaternion matrix \( \nabla \)-exponential function \( \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \) by calculating the solutions of the initial value problems of the quaternion matrix \( \nabla \)-dynamic equation (1). Similarly, a quaternion matrix \( \Delta \)-exponential function \( e_A(t, t_0) \) can also be obtained.

**Theorem 2.3.** The quaternion matrix exponential function \( \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \) is given by:

\[ \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A^n(t_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^n(t_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_2)} A^n(t_1)\Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_{n-1}\Delta t_n \]

and the quaternion matrix \( \Delta \)-exponential function \( e_A(t, t_0) \) is given by:

\[ e_A(t, t_0) = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(n) \int_{t_0}^{t_n} A(t_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{t_1} A(t_1)\Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_{n-1}\Delta t_n, \tag{3} \]
where \( I \) is \( n \times n \)-identity matrix.

**Proof.** For \( \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \), by calculating the solution of the initial value problem of (1), we can obtain

\[ \nu(t)\hat{e}_A^\nabla(t, t_0) = \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) - \hat{e}_A(\rho(t), t_0) \]
\[ = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A^n(t_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^n(t_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_2)} A^n(t_1)\Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_{n-1}\Delta t_n \]
\[ - \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} A^n(t_n) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_2)} A^n(t_1)\Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_n \right) \]
\[ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\rho(t)}^{t} A^n(t_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^n(t_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_2)} A^n(t_1)\Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_{n-1}\Delta t_n \]
\[ = \nu(t)A^n(\rho(t)) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(\rho(t))} A^n(t_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^n(t_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta t_n \right) \]
\[ = \nu(t)A(t)\hat{e}_A(t, t_0). \]

Hence \( \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \) is the solution of initial value problem of (1). Similarly, through the same proof process, we can obtain (3). The proof is completed. \( \square \)
3 Quaternion matrix diamond-exponential function and quaternion combined matrix dynamic equation

In the sequel, we will introduce a definition of quaternion matrix diamond-
exponential function through matrix exponential functions introduced in The-
orem 2.3. For convenience, we introduce some notations, \( e_A(\rho(t),t_0) := e_A(t,t_0), A(\rho(t)) = A\rho(t), e_A(\sigma(t),t_0) := e_A(t,t_0), A(\sigma(t)) = A\sigma(t) \), so does \( \dot{e} \).

**Definition 3.1.** Let \( A : T \to \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n} \), where \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), we define a quaternion matrix diamond-exponential function by \( \dot{e}_A = \alpha e_A(t,t_0) + (1 - \alpha)\dot{e}_A(t,t_0) \).

**Lemma 3.1.** \( e_A(t,t_0) = A\rho(t)(I + \nu(t)A\rho(t))^{-1}e_A(t,t_0) \) if \( I + \nu(t)A\rho(t) \) is invertible.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.3, we have

\[
e_A(t,t_0) = \frac{e_A(t,t_0) - e_A^0(t,t_0)}{\nu(t)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sum_{\nu(t)}\int_{t_0}^{\nu(t)} A(t) \Delta t_n \ldots \Delta t_1 \Delta t_0}{\nu(t)}
\]

\[
= A(\rho(t)) \left( I + \sum_{\nu(t)}\int_{t_0}^{\nu(t)} A(t) \Delta t_n \ldots \Delta t_1 \Delta t_0 \right)
\]

On the other hand, since

\[
e_A(t,t_0) = e_A(t,t_0) + \nu(t)e_A(t,t_0) = (I + \nu(t) A(\rho(t)))e_A(t,t_0),
\]

then \( e_A(\rho(t),t_0) = (I + \nu(t) A(\rho(t)))^{-1}e_A(t,t_0) \). Therefore \( e_A(t,t_0) = A\rho(t)(I + \nu(t) A\rho(t))^{-1}e_A(t,t_0) \). The proof is completed.

**Lemma 3.2.** \( \dot{e}_A(t,t_0) = A\sigma(t)(I - \mu(t) A\sigma(t))^{-1}\dot{e}_A(t,t_0) \) if \( I - \mu(t) A\sigma(t) \) is invertible.

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.3, we have

\[
\dot{e}_A(t,t_0) = \frac{\dot{e}_A(\sigma(t),t_0) - \dot{e}_A(t,t_0)}{\mu(t)}
\]

\[
= \frac{\sum_{\mu(t)}\int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t)} A(\sigma(t)) \Delta t_n \ldots \Delta t_1 \Delta t_0}{\mu(t)}
\]
By Theorem 2.3, Lemma 3.1 and Definition 2.4, we obtain

\[ A(\sigma(t)) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t)} A(\sigma(t_n)) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_2)} A(\sigma(t_1)) \Delta t_1 \ldots \Delta t_n \right) \]

\[ = A(\sigma(t)) \hat{e}_A^\sigma(t, t_0). \]

On the other hand, since

\[ \hat{e}_A^\sigma(t, t_0) = \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) + \mu(t) \hat{e}_A^\Delta(t, t_0), \]

then \( \hat{e}_A^\sigma(t, t_0) = (I - \mu(t)A^\sigma(t))^{-1} \hat{e}_A(t, t_0). \) Therefore, \( \hat{e}_A^\sigma(t, t_0) = A^\sigma(t)(I - \mu(t)A^\sigma(t))^{-1} \hat{e}_A(t, t_0). \) The proof is completed.

\[ \Box \]

Now, consider the following quaternion combined matrix dynamic equation:

\[ \begin{cases}
\dot{X}^\alpha(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 1 - \alpha \\ A(t) & A(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \nu(t)A^\rho(t) \\ A^\rho(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ A^\rho(t)(I - \mu(t)A^\sigma(t))^{-1} \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \end{bmatrix} \hat{e}_A(t, t_0), \\
X(t_0) = I, \text{ where } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.
\end{cases} \]

(1)

**Remark 3.1.** Note that the quaternion combined matrix dynamic equation (1) will turn into (1) for \( \alpha = 0; \) moreover, it will turn into (2) for \( \alpha = 1. \)

**Theorem 3.1.** \( \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \) is the matrix exponential solution of the initial value problem of (1).

**Proof.** By Theorem 2.3, Lemma 3.1 and Definition 2.4, we obtain

\[ e_A^\alpha(t, t_0) = \left( \alpha A(t) + (1 - \alpha)A^\rho(t)(I + \nu(t)A^\rho(t))^{-1} \right) \hat{e}_A(t, t_0). \]

Similarly, by Theorem 2.3, Lemma 3.2 and Definition 2.4, we have

\[ \hat{e}_A^\alpha(t, t_0) = \left( \alpha A^\sigma(t)(I - \mu(t)A^\sigma(t))^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)A(t) \right) \hat{e}_A(t, t_0). \]

By Definitions 2.4 and 3.1, we have

\[ \begin{align*}
\hat{e}_A^\alpha(t, t_0) &= \left( \alpha A(t) + (1 - \alpha)A^\rho(t)(I + \nu(t)A^\rho(t))^{-1} \right) \alpha \hat{e}_A(t, t_0) \\
&\quad + \left( \alpha A^\sigma(t)(I - \mu(t)A^\sigma(t))^{-1} + (1 - \alpha)A(t) \right) (1 - \alpha) \hat{e}_A(t, t_0). 
\end{align*} \]

Therefore, we can obtain the desired result.  \[ \Box \]
Now, we consider the following non-homogeneous quaternion matrix $\Delta$-dynamic equation:

$$\begin{align*}
X^\Delta(t) &= A(t)X(t) + H(t) \\
X(t_0) &= X_0,
\end{align*}$$

(2)

where $A, H : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow Q^{n \times n}$, $X_0 \in Q^{n \times n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$.

**Theorem 3.2.** The fundamental solution matrix of (2) is given by

$$X(t) =$$

$$\left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_n) \ldots \int_{t}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) D\tau,$$

(3)

i.e., $X(t) = e_A(t, t_0)X_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} e_A(t, \sigma(\tau))H(\tau) D\tau$.

**Proof.** For (3), we have

$$\mu(t)X^\Delta(t)$$

$$= \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t)} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} A(\tau_n) \ldots \int_{t}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) D\tau$$

$$- \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0$$

$$- \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{t} A(\tau_n) \ldots \int_{\sigma(\tau)}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) D\tau$$

$$= \mu(t)A(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right)$$

$$\times X_0 + \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) D\tau$$

$$+ \int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\sigma(\tau)} A(\tau_n) \ldots \int_{t}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) D\tau$$

$$= \mu(t)A(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right)$$
\[ \times X_0 + \mu(t)A(t) \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(t_n)} A(\tau_n) \cdots \int_{\sigma(t_{n-1})}^{\sigma(t_1)} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) \]
\[ \times H(\tau) \Delta \tau + \mu(t)H(t) = \mu(t)A(t)X(t) + \mu(t)H(t). \]

Thus we can obtain the desired result. \( \square \)

Consider the following non-homogeneous quaternion matrix \( \nabla \)-dynamic equation:
\[ \begin{cases}
\dot{X}(t) = A(t)X(t) + H(t) \\
X(t_0) = X_0,
\end{cases} \tag{4} \]
where \( A, H : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}, X_0 \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+. \)

**Theorem 3.3.** The fundamental solution matrix of (4) is given as
\[ \dot{X}(t) = \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_1)} A^\sigma(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{\tau}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) H^\sigma(\tau) \Delta \tau, \tag{5} \]
i.e., \( \dot{X}(t) = \hat{e}_A(t, t_0)X_0 + \int_{t_0}^{t} \hat{e}_A(t, \tau)H^\sigma(\tau) \Delta \tau. \)

**Proof.** For (5), we have
\[ \nu(t)\dot{X}(t) = \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]
\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \cdots \int_{\tau}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) H^\sigma(\tau) \Delta \tau \]
\[ - \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\nu(t)} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]
\[ - \int_{t_0}^{\nu(t)} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\nu(t)} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \cdots \int_{\tau}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) H^\sigma(\tau) \Delta \tau \]
\[ \nu(t)A(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \cdots \int_{\sigma(t_{n-1})}^{\sigma(t_1)} A^\sigma(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]
\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\nu(t)}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \cdots \int_{\tau}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) H^\sigma(\tau) \Delta \tau \]
\[ + \int_{\nu(t)}^{t} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\tau}^{\nu(t)} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \cdots \int_{\tau}^{\sigma(t_n)} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) H^\sigma(\tau) \Delta \tau \]
Then we can obtain the desired result. The proof is completed. 

**Lemma 3.3.** For (3), the following equality holds:

\[ X^\nabla(t) = A^\rho(t) \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 + \mu(t) A(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\sigma(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]

\[ \times H^\rho(\tau) \Delta \tau + \nu(t) H^\rho(\mu(t)) = \nu(t) A(t) \dot{X}(t) + \nu(t) H(t). \]

Then we can obtain the desired result. The proof is completed. 

**Proof.** For (3), we have

\[ \nu(t) X^\nabla(t) = \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) \Delta \tau \]

\[ - \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]

\[ - \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\rho(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) \Delta \tau \]

\[ = \nu(t) A^\rho(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\rho(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) \Delta \tau \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\rho(t)}^{\sigma(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\tau) \Delta \tau \]

\[ = \nu(t) A^\rho(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\rho(t)} A(\tau_n) \int_{t_0}^{\tau_n} A(\tau_{n-1}) \ldots \int_{t_0}^{\tau_2} A(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \ldots \Delta \tau_{n-1} \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0 \]
Lemma 3.4. For (5), the following equality holds:

$$\dot{X}(t) = A^\sigma(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) X_0$$

$$+ \nu(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) H(\rho(t))$$

$$+ \int_{t}^{\rho(t)} A^\sigma(t) \nu(t) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t}^{\sigma(t)} \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_n) \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_{n-1}) \cdots \int_{t}^{t} A^\sigma(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \cdots \Delta \tau_n \right) \times H(\tau) \Delta \tau = \nu(t) A^\sigma(t) X^\sigma(t) + \nu(t) H^\sigma(t).$$

The proof is completed. \(\square\)
Theorem 3.4. The fundamental solution matrix of (6) is given by
\[ \dot{X}(t) = \alpha X(t) + (1 - \alpha) \tilde{X}(t), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \]

Proof. From Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have
\[ X^{\hat{\hat{\sigma}}}(t) = \alpha(X(t)X(t) + H(t)) + (1 - \alpha)(A^o(t)X^o(t) + H^o(t)). \]

According to Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we can obtain
\[ \dot{X}^{\hat{\hat{\sigma}}}(t) = \alpha((A^o(t))^{\hat{\hat{\sigma}}}(t) + H^o(t)) + (1 - \alpha)(A(t)\tilde{X}(t) + H(t)). \]

Hence
\[ \dot{X}^{\hat{\hat{\sigma}}}(t) = \alpha X^{\hat{\hat{\sigma}}}(t) + (1 - \alpha) \dot{X}^{\hat{\hat{\sigma}}}(t) = \\
[\alpha \quad 1 - \alpha] \begin{bmatrix} A(t)X(t) + H(t) & A^o(t)X^o(t) + H^o(t) \\ A^o(t)X^o(t) + H^o(t) & A(t)\tilde{X}(t) + H(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ 1 - \alpha \end{bmatrix}. \]

The proof is completed. \( \square \)

Remark 3.2. In Theorem 3.4, \( \dot{X}(t) \) can also be written as
\[ \dot{X}(t) = \dot{e}_A(t, t_0)X_0 + \alpha \int_{t_0}^{t} e_A(t, \sigma(\tau))H(\tau)D\tau + (1 - \alpha) \int_{t_0}^{t} \dot{e}_A(t, \tau)H^o(\tau)D\tau. \]
4 Quaternion impulsive non-homogeneous matrix combined dynamic equation

In this section, we will derive the fundamental solution matrix and Cauchy matrix of quaternion impulsive non-homogeneous matrix combined dynamic equations.

Consider a quaternion impulsive non-homogeneous matrix combined dynamic equation as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{X}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} A(t) & H(t) \\ A^p(t) & H^p(t) \end{bmatrix} X(t) + \begin{bmatrix} A(t)X(t) + H(t) \\ A^p(t)X^p(t) + H^p(t) \end{bmatrix}, \\
\dot{X}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} A(t) & H(t) \\ A^p(t) & H^p(t) \end{bmatrix} X(t) + \begin{bmatrix} A(t)X(t) + H(t) \\ A^p(t)X^p(t) + H^p(t) \end{bmatrix}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \( A, H \) are the matrices of the quaternion impulsive non-homogeneous matrix combined dynamic equation.

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{X}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} A(t) & H(t) \\ A^p(t) & H^p(t) \end{bmatrix} X(t) + \begin{bmatrix} A(t)X(t) + H(t) \\ A^p(t)X^p(t) + H^p(t) \end{bmatrix}, \\
\dot{H}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} A(t)X(t) + H(t) \\ A^p(t)X^p(t) + H^p(t) \end{bmatrix}, \\
\end{align*}
\]

Remark 4.1. For the impulsive term (2), \( \dot{X}(t) = \dot{X}(t^+) - \dot{X}(t) \) for the right dense point \( t_s \) (\( s > 0 \)); \( \dot{X}(t) = \dot{X}(\sigma(t)) - \dot{X}(t) \) for the right scattered point \( t_s \) (\( s > 0 \)). Similarly, \( \dot{X}(t) = \dot{X}(t^-) - \dot{X}(t) \) for the left dense point \( t_s \) (\( s < 0 \)); \( \dot{X}(t) = \dot{X}(\rho(t)) - \dot{X}(t) \) for the left scattered point \( t_s \) (\( s < 0 \)).

Theorem 4.1. For the quaternion impulsive matrix dynamic equation (1), if there exist finite number of points \( t_s \) in any compact interval \([a, b]\) with \( a < b \), and the matrices \( I + B_s \) are nonsingular for all \( s \). Then a fundamental solution matrix of (1) is given by:

\[
\Phi_{A,H} = \begin{cases}
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\dot{e}_A(t, \rho(t^-)) \sum_{r=-\infty}^{s-1} (I + B_{r-1})\dot{e}_A(t_{r-1}, \rho(t^-)) (I + B_{t-1})\dot{e}_A(t_{t-1}, t_0)X_0 \\
\dot{e}_H(t, \rho(t^-)) \sum_{r=-\infty}^{s-1} (I + B_{r-1})\dot{e}_H(t_{r-1}, \rho(t^-)) (I + B_{t-1})\dot{e}_H(t_{t-1}, t_0)X_0 \\
\end{array} \right) \\
\left( I + B_s \right)\Phi_{A,H}(t-s, \rho(t^-)) + \Phi_{A,H}(t-s, \rho(t^-)), \quad \rho(t_{\alpha-1}) < t < \rho(t_{\alpha})
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\Phi_{A,H} = \begin{cases}
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\sum_{r=-\infty}^{s-1} (I + B_{r-1})\dot{e}_A(t_{r-1}, \rho(t^-)) (I + B_{t-1})\dot{e}_A(t_{t-1}, t_0)X_0 \\
\sum_{r=-\infty}^{s-1} (I + B_{r-1})\dot{e}_H(t_{r-1}, \rho(t^-)) (I + B_{t-1})\dot{e}_H(t_{t-1}, t_0)X_0 \\
\end{array} \right) \\
\left( I + B_s \right)\Phi_{A,H}(t-s, \rho(t^-)) + \Phi_{A,H}(t-s, \rho(t^-)), \quad t = \rho(t^-)
\end{cases}
\]
In Example 4.1, different types of time scales are used to calculate the fundamental solution matrix of (1) given by (3) on quaternion combined impulsive matrix dynamic equation on time scales.

**Proof.** For $s > 0$, by Theorem 3.4, one can obtain

$$
\Phi_{A,H} = \begin{cases}
\dot{e}_A(t,t_0)X_0 + E_{A,H}(t,t_0), & \rho(t^{-}_{-}) < t < \sigma(t^{+}_{1}), \\
\prod_{r=s-1}^{1}(I + B_{r+1})\dot{e}_A(t,r_1,\sigma(t^{+}_{1}))(I + B_{1})\dot{e}_A(t_1,t_0)X_0 + \sum_{v=1}^{s-2} \prod_{l=s-1}^{v}(I + B_{l+1}) \\
\times \dot{e}_A(t_{v+1},\sigma(t^{+}_{v+1}))(I + B_{v+1})E_{A,H}(t_{v+1},\sigma(t^{+}_{v+1})) + (I + B_{s})E_{A,H}(t,s,\sigma(t^{+}_{s-1})), \\
t = \sigma(t^{+}_{s}),
\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\Phi_{A,H} = \begin{cases}
\dot{e}_A(t,\sigma(t^{+}_{s})) \left[ \prod_{r=s-1}^{1}(I + B_{r+1})\dot{e}_A(t,r_1,\sigma(t^{+}_{1}))(I + B_{1})\dot{e}_A(t_1,t_0)X_0 \\
+ \sum_{v=1}^{s-2} \prod_{l=s-1}^{v}(I + B_{l+1})\dot{e}_A(t_{v+1},\sigma(t^{+}_{v+1}))(I + B_{v+1})E_{A,H}(t_{v+1},\sigma(t^{+}_{v+1})) \\
+ (I + B_{s})E_{A,H}(t,s,\sigma(t^{+}_{s-1})) \right] + E_{A,H}(t,s,\sigma(t^{+}_{s-1})), & \sigma(t^{+}_{s}) < t < \sigma(t^{+}_{s+1}),
\end{cases}
$$

where $E_{A,H}(t,y) = \alpha \int_{y}^{t} e_A(t,\sigma(\tau))H(\tau)\Delta \tau + (1 - \alpha) \int_{y}^{t} \dot{e}_A(t,\tau)H(\sigma(\tau))\Delta \tau$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

**Proof.** For $s > 0$, by Theorem 3.4, one can obtain

$$
\dot{X}(t) = \begin{cases}
\dot{e}_A(t,t_0)X_0 + E_{A,H}(t,t_0), & t_0 < t < \sigma(t^{+}_{1}), \\
(I + B_{s})X(t_s), & t = \sigma(t^{+}_{s}), \\
\dot{e}_A(t,\sigma(t^{+}_{s}))(\sigma(t^{+}_{s}))) + E_{A,H}(t,\sigma(t^{+}_{s})), & \sigma(t^{+}_{s}) < t < \sigma(t^{+}_{s+1}).
\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, for $s < 0$, we have

$$
\dot{X}(t) = \begin{cases}
\dot{e}_A(t,t_0)X_0 + E_{A,H}(t,t_0), & \rho(t^{-}_{-}) < t < t_0, \\
(I + B_{s})X(t_s), & t = \rho(t^{-}_{s}), \\
\dot{e}_A(t,\rho(t^{-}_{s}))X(\rho(t^{-}_{s}))) + E_{A,H}(t,\rho(t^{-}_{s})), & \rho(t^{-}_{s-1}) < t < \rho(t^{-}_{s}).
\end{cases}
$$

Hence (3) is a fundamental solution matrix of (1). The proof is completed. \qed

In the following example, we will demonstrate all elements which are required to calculate the fundamental solution matrix of (1) given by (3) on different types of time scales.

**Example 4.1.** In (3), for $h > 0$, $q > 1$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $t_s > t_{s_1}$, we have

$$
\dot{e}_A(t_s,t_{s_1}) = \begin{cases}
I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{s_1}}^{t_s} A(t_n) f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_n} A(t_{n-1}) \ldots f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_{n+2}} A(t_{n+1})dt_1 \ldots dt_{n-1}dt_n, & T = \mathbb{R}, \\
\alpha \left[ I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{n-1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A(t_{s_1} - nh) \sum_{n=0}^{(n-2)} A(t_{s_1} + nh) \right] + (1 - \alpha) \left[ I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{s_1}}^{t_s} A^{\sigma}(t_n) \ldots f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_n} A^{\sigma}(t_1)\Delta t_1 \ldots \Delta t_n \right], & T = h\mathbb{Z},
\end{cases}
$$
\[
\dot{e}_A(t_s, t_{s_1}) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - \ln t_{s}} \frac{(q-1)^{n-1}}{q^{n-1}} \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} A(t_k q^{-\tilde{n}}) \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - (n-2)} A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n \\
+ (1 - \alpha) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - \ln t_{s}} A^\sigma(t_n) \frac{\Delta t_1 \ldots \Delta t_n}{t_{s_1} q^n} \right), \quad T = q^n \end{cases}
\]

and

\[
\dot{e}_A(t_s, t_{s_1}) = \begin{cases} 
\alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A(t_k q^{-\tilde{n}}) \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n \\
+ h h \right) A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A(t_k q^{-\tilde{n}}) \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n \\
\right) H(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A(t_k q^{-\tilde{n}}) \right) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n, \quad T = q^n \\
\right)
\end{cases}
\]

For \( t_s < t_{s_1} \), we have

\[
\dot{e}_A(t_s, t_{s_1}) = \begin{cases} 
I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} A(t_n) f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_n} A(t_{n-1}) \ldots f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_2} A(t_1) dt_1 \ldots dt_{n-1} dt_n, \quad T = \mathbb{R}, \\
\alpha \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} A(t_n) f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_n} A(t_{n-1}) \ldots f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_2} A(t_1) \Delta t_1 \ldots \Delta t_{n-1} \Delta t_n \right) \\
+ (1 - \alpha) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A(t_k q^{-\tilde{n}}) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n \\
\right) H(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n, \quad T = h \mathbb{Z}, \\
\alpha \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} A(t_n) f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_n} A(t_{n-1}) \ldots f_{t_{s_1}}^{t_2} A(t_1) \Delta t_1 \ldots \Delta t_{n-1} \Delta t_n \right) \\
+ (1 - \alpha) \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - 1} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} A(t_k q^{-\tilde{n}}) \sum_{n=0}^{\ln t_{s_1} - t_{s} - (n+1)h} A(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n \\
\right) H(t_{s_1} q^n) t_{s_1} q^n, \quad T = q^n \\
\end{cases}
\]
and

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{c} f_{t_{x_1}}^t \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{t_{x_2}}^t A(t_n) \cdots f_{t_{x_2}}^t A(t_1)dt_1 \cdots dt_n \right) H(\tau) d\tau \end{array} \right\}_T = \mathbb{R},
\]

\[
\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{t_{x_1} - n} A(t_n) \cdots f_{t_{x_1} - n} A(t_1) \Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_n \right) F = \mathbb{R},
\]

\[
\times H(t_{x_1} - n\mu)(-h) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-h)^{n+1} \right) A(t_{x_1} + n\mu) F H(t_{x_1} - n\mu + h),
\]

\[
E_{A,H}(t_{x_1}, t_{x_1}) = \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{t_{x_1} - q^\mu + 1}^{t_{x_1} + q^\mu} A(t) \cdots A(t_1) \Delta t_1 \cdots \Delta t_n \right) F = \mathbb{R},
\]

\[
\times \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A(t_{x_1} + n\mu) F H(t_{x_1} + n\mu + h) H(t_{x_1} - n\mu + h),
\]

\[
T = k\mathbb{Z}.
\]

Example 4.2. In (3), let \( T = \mathbb{N}, t_s = 3m - 1, m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \),

\[
A(t) = H(t-2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1^t + i \left( -1 \right)^t \frac{\sin(t\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})}{4} + j \frac{\sin(t\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})}{4} & 1^t + i \left( -1 \right)^t \frac{\sin(t\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})}{4} + j \frac{\sin(t\pi + \frac{\pi}{2})}{4} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Then

\[
ea_{A}(3m - 1, 3m - 3) = I + A(3m - 3) + A(3m - 2) + A(3m - 2)A(3m - 3) = L_1,
\]

\[
\hat{e}_{A}(3m - 1, 3m - 3) = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n(3m - 1)A^{n-\mu}(3m - 2) = L_2,
\]

\[
L_3 = \int_{3m-3}^{3m-1} e_{A}(3m - 1, \sigma(\tau)) H(\tau) \Delta \tau
\]

\[
= H(3m - 3) + A(3m - 2)H(3m - 3) + H(3m - 2),
\]

\[
L_4 = \int_{3m-3}^{3m-1} \hat{e}_{A}(3m - 1, \tau) H^{\sigma}(\tau) \Delta \tau
\]

\[
= \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n(3m - 1) \right) H(3m - 1)\]
\[ + \left( I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A^n (3m - 1) A^{n-\hat{n}} (3m - 2) \right) \times H(3m - 2), \]

where

\[
L_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \frac{3}{4m - 8} + \frac{5 - 3(-1)^{3m-2}(i+j)}{4m - 4} & 0 \\
\frac{5 - 3(-1)^{3m-2}(i+j)}{4m - 4} & 1 + \frac{6}{4m - 8} + \frac{5 - 3(-1)^{3m-2}(i+j)}{4m - 4}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
L_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n} \frac{1}{4m n - 2n + 1} \left[ (1 + (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^h \sum_{l=0}^{\hat{h}} 3^l (1 + (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{h-l} \\
0 \right] \times \left[ (1 - (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{n-h} \sum_{l=0}^{n-h} 3^l (1 - (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{n-l} + I, \right]
\]

\[
L_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 + \frac{3}{4m - 8} + \frac{5 - 3(-1)^{3m}(i+j)}{4m - 4} & 0 \\
\frac{5 - 3(-1)^{3m}(i+j)}{4m - 4} & 1 + \frac{6}{4m - 8} + \frac{5 - 3(-1)^{3m}(i+j)}{4m - 4}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
L_4 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{n} \frac{1}{4m (n+1) - n + 1} \left[ (1 + (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} 3^l (1 + (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{n+1-l} \\
0 \right] \times \left[ (1 - (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{n-h+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-h+1} 3^l (1 - (i+j)(-1)^{3m-1})^{n-h+l} + \right]
\]

By Theorem 4.1, the following theorem is immediate.

**Theorem 4.2.** The cauchy matrix of (1) is given by:

\[
W(t, y) = \begin{cases}
\hat{e}_A(t, y) + \hat{E}_{A,H}(t, y), & t_{s-1} < t, y < t_s, t_{s-1} < t, y < t_s, \\
\hat{e}_A(t, \sigma(t^+_s))(I + B_s) \left[ \hat{e}_A(t_s, y) + \hat{E}_{A,H}(t_s, y) \right] + \hat{E}_{A,H}(t, \sigma(t^+_s)), & t_{s-1} < y \leq t_s < t \\
\hat{e}_A(t, t_s)(I + B_s)^{-1} \left[ \hat{e}_A(\sigma(t^+_s), y) + \hat{E}_{A,H}(\sigma(t^+_s), y) \right] + \hat{E}_{A,H}(t, t_s), & t_{s-1} < t \leq t_s < y \leq t_{s+1},
\end{cases}
\]
\[
W(t, y) = \begin{cases}
\hat{e}_A(t, \rho(t^-_s))(I + B_s) \left[ \hat{e}_A(t_{s-1}, y) + E_{A,H}(t_{s-1}, y) \right] + E_{A,H}(t, \rho(t^-_s)), \\
t_{s-1} < t \leq t_s < y \leq t_{s+1}, \\
\hat{e}_A(t, \rho(t^-_s))(I + B_s) \left[ \hat{e}_A(t_{s+1}, y) + E_{A,H}(t_{s+1}, y) \right] + E_{A,H}(t, \rho(t^-_s)), \\
t_{s+1} < y < t_s < t < t_{s+1}.
\end{cases}
\]
and

\[
W(t, y) = \begin{cases}
\sum_{v=2}^{\bar{s}+1} \bar{v}-1 \prod_{l=1}^{\bar{l}} (I + B_l) \hat{e}_A(t_l, \rho(t_{l+1}^-)) (I + B_{-1}) (\hat{e}_A(t_{-1}, t_l) \left( \begin{array}{c}
\prod_{l=1}^{s-1} (I + B_l) \\
\end{array} \right) \\
\prod_{l=1}^{\bar{s}+1} (I + B_l) \hat{e}_A(t_l, \rho(t_{l+1}^-)) (I + B_{-1}) \hat{e}_A(t_{-1}, t_l) \end{cases}
\]

where \( \hat{e}_A, H(t, y), 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, s \in \mathbb{N}^+ \).
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