Characterizations of Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifolds

Sibel SULAR and Cihan ÖZGÜR

Abstract

We give characterizations of generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds for both even and odd dimensions.

1 Introduction

A Riemannian manifold (M, g), $(n \ge 2)$, is said to be an *Einstein manifold* if its Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition $S = \frac{r}{n}g$, where r denotes the scalar curvature of M. The notion of a quasi-Einstein manifold was introduced by M. C. Chaki and R. K. Maity in [2]. A non-flat Riemannian manifold (M, g), $(n \ge 2)$, is defined to be a quasi-Einstein manifold if the condition

$$S(X,Y) = \alpha g(X,Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y)$$
(1)

is fulfilled on M, where α and β are scalars of which $\beta \neq 0$ and A is a non-zero 1-form such that

$$g(X,\xi) = A(X), \tag{2}$$

for every vector field X ; $\xi~$ being a unit vector field. If $~\beta=0,$ then the manifold reduces to an Einstein manifold.

The relation (1) can be written as follows

$$Q = \alpha I + \beta A \otimes \xi,$$

Revised: January, 2011.



Key Words: Einstein manifold, quasi-Einstein manifold, generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C25. Received: December, 2010.

Accepted: February, 2012.

where Q is the Ricci operator and I is the identity function.

Quasi-Einstein manifolds arose during the study of exact solutions of the Einstein field equations as well as during considerations of quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces. For instance, the Robertson-Walker space-times are quasi-Einstein manifolds. For more information about quasi-Einstein manifolds see [7], [8] and [9].

A non-flat Riemannian manifold is called a *generalized quasi-Einstein man*ifold (see [6]), if its Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$S(X,Y) = \alpha g(X,Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) + \gamma B(X)B(Y), \qquad (3)$$

where α, β and γ are certain non-zero scalars and A, B are two non-zero 1forms. The unit vector fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 corresponding to the 1-forms A and Bare defined by

$$g(X,\xi_1) = A(X) , g(X,\xi_2) = B(X),$$
 (4)

respectively, and the vector fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 are orthogonal, i.e., $g(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$. If $\gamma = 0$, then the manifold reduces to a quasi-Einstein manifold.

The generalized quasi-Einstein condition (3) can be also written as

$$Q = \alpha I + \beta A \otimes \xi_1 + \gamma B \otimes \xi_2.$$

In [6], U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh showed that a 2-quasi umbilical hypersurface of an Euclidean space is a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold. In [11], the present authors generalized the result of De and Ghosh and they proved that a 2-quasi umbilical hypersurface of a Riemannian space of constant curvature $\widetilde{M}^{n+1}(c)$ is a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold.

Let M be an m-dimensional, $m \geq 3$, Riemannian manifold and $p \in M$. Denote by $K(\pi)$ or $K(u \wedge v)$ the sectional curvature of M associated with a plane section $\pi \subset T_p M$, where $\{u, v\}$ is an orthonormal basis of π . For any n-dimensional subspace $L \subseteq T_p M$, $2 \leq n \leq m$, its scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ is denoted by

$$\tau(L) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} K(e_i \land e_j),$$

where $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ is any orthonormal basis of L [4]. When $L = T_p M$, the scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ is just the scalar curvature $\tau(p)$ of M at p.

The well-known characterization of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces was given by I. M. Singer and J. A. Thorpe in [12] as follows:

Theorem 1.1. A Riemannian 4-manifold M is an Einstein space if and only if $K(\pi) = K(\pi^{\perp})$ for any plane section $\pi \subseteq T_pM$, where π^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal complement of π in T_pM . As a generalization of the Theorem 1.1, in [4], B.Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L.Verstraelen and L.Vrancken gave the following result:

Theorem 1.2. A Riemannian 2n-manifold M is an Einstein space if and only if $\tau(L) = \tau(L^{\perp})$ for any n-plane section $L \subseteq T_pM$, where L^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal complement of L in T_pM , at $p \in M$.

On the other hand, in [10] D. Dumitru obtained the following result for odd dimensional Einstein spaces:

Theorem 1.3. A Riemannian (2n + 1)-manifold M is an Einstein space if and only if $\tau(L) + \frac{\lambda}{2} = \tau(L^{\perp})$ for any n-plane section $L \subseteq T_pM$, where L^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal complement of L in T_pM , at $p \in M$.

Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 were generalized by C.L. Bejan in [1] as follows:

Theorem 1.4. Let (M,g) be a Riemannian (2n + 1)-manifold, with $n \geq 2$. Then M is quasi-Einstein if and only if the Ricci operator Q has an eigenvector field ξ such that at any $p \in M$, there exist two real numbers a, b satisfying $\tau(P) + a = \tau(P^{\perp})$ and $\tau(N) + b = \tau(N^{\perp})$, for any n-plane section P and (n + 1)-plane section N, both orthogonal to ξ in T_pM , where P^{\perp} and N^{\perp} denote respectively the orthogonal complements of P and N in T_pM .

Theorem 1.5. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 2n-manifold, with $n \geq 2$. Then M is quasi-Einstein if and only if the Ricci operator Q has an eigenvector field ξ such that at any $p \in M$, there exist two real numbers a, b satisfying $\tau(P) + c = \tau(P^{\perp})$, for any n-plane section P orthogonal to ξ in T_pM , where P^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal complement of P in T_pM .

Motivated by the above studies, as generalizations of quasi-Einstein manifolds, we give characterizations of generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds for both even and odd dimensions.

2 Characterizations of Generalized Quasi-Einstein Manifolds

Now, we consider two results which characterize generalized quasi-Einstein spaces in even and odd dimensions, by generalizing the characterizations of quasi-Einstein spaces given in [1] :

Theorem 2.1. Let (M,g) be a Riemannian (2n + 1)-manifold, with $n \ge 2$. Then M is generalized quasi-Einstein if and only if the Ricci operator Q has eigenvector fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that at any $p \in M$, there exist three real numbers a, b and c satisfying

$$\tau(P) + a = \tau(P^{\perp}); \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in T_p P^{\perp}$$

 $\tau(N) + b = \tau(N^{\perp}); \quad \xi_1 \in T_p N, \xi_2 \in T_p N^{\perp}$

and

$$\tau(R) + c = \tau(R^{\perp}); \quad \xi_1 \in T_p R, \xi_2 \in T_p R^{\perp}$$

for any n-plane sections P, N and (n+1)-plane section R, where P^{\perp} , N^{\perp} and R^{\perp} denote the orthogonal complements of P, N and R in T_pM , respectively, and $a = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}{2}$, $b = \frac{(\alpha - \beta + \gamma)}{2}$, $c = \frac{(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{2}$.

Proof. Assume that M is a (2n + 1)-dimensional generalized quasi-Einstein manifold, such that

$$S(X,Y) = \alpha g(X,Y) + \beta A(X)A(Y) + \gamma B(X)B(Y), \tag{5}$$

for any vector fields X, Y holds on M, where A and B are defined by

$$g(X,\xi_1) = A(X) , g(X,\xi_2) = B(X)$$

The equation (5) shows that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are eigenvector fields of Q.

Let $P \subseteq T_p M$ be an *n*-plane orthogonal to ξ_1 and ξ_2 and let $\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of it. Since ξ_1 and ξ_2 are orthogonal to P, we can take an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ of P^{\perp} such that $e_{2n} = \xi_1$ and $e_{2n+1} =$ ξ_2 , respectively. Thus, $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. Then taking $X = Y = e_i$ in (5), we can write

$$S(e_i, e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} R(e_j, e_i, e_i, e_j) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha, \quad 1 \le i \le 2n-1 \\ \alpha + \beta, \quad i = 2n \\ \alpha + \gamma, \quad i = 2n+1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

By the use of (5) for any $1 \le i \le 2n+1$, we can write

$$S(e_1, e_1) = K(e_1 \wedge e_2) + K(e_1 \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(e_1 \wedge \xi_1) + K(e_1 \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha,$$

$$S(e_2, e_2) = K(e_2 \wedge e_1) + K(e_2 \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_2 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(e_2 \wedge \xi_1) + K(e_2 \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha,$$

$$\begin{split} S(e_{2n-1}, e_{2n-1}) &= K(e_{2n-1} \wedge e_1) + K(e_{2n-1} \wedge e_2) + \ldots + K(e_{2n-1} \wedge \xi_1) + K(e_{2n-1} \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha \\ S(\xi_1, \xi_1) &= K(\xi_1 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_2) + \ldots + K(\xi_1 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(\xi_1 \wedge \xi_2) = \alpha + \beta, \\ S(\xi_2, \xi_2) &= K(\xi_2 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_2) + \ldots + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_{2n-1}) + K(\xi_2 \wedge \xi_1) = \alpha + \gamma. \end{split}$$

Now, by summing up the first n-equations, we get

$$2\tau(P) + \sum_{1 \le i \le n < j \le 2n+1} K(e_i \land e_j) = n\alpha.$$
(6)

By summing up the last (n + 1)-equations, we also get

$$2\tau(P^{\perp}) + \sum_{1 \le j \le n+1 < i \le 2n+1} K(e_i \land e_j) = (n+1)\alpha + \beta + \gamma.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

Then, by substracting the equation (6) from (7), we obtain

$$\tau(P^{\perp}) - \tau(P) = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}{2}.$$
(8)

Similarly, let $N \subseteq T_p M$ be an *n*-plane orthogonal to ξ_2 and let $\{e_1, ..., e_{n-1}, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of it. Since ξ_2 is orthogonal to N, we can take an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ of N^{\perp} orthogonal to ξ_1 , such that $e_n = \xi_1$ and $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$, respectively. Thus, $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. By making use of the above (2n+1) equations for $S(e_i, e_i), 1 \leq i \leq 2n+1$, from the sum of the first *n*-equations we obtain

$$2\tau(N) + \sum_{1 \le i \le n < j \le 2n+1} K(e_i \land e_j) = n\alpha + \beta, \tag{9}$$

and from the sum of the last (n + 1)-equations, we have

$$2\tau(N^{\perp}) + \sum_{1 \le j \le n+1 < i \le 2n+1} K(e_i \land e_j) = (n+1)\alpha + \gamma.$$
(10)

By substracting the equation (9) from (10), we find

$$\tau(N^{\perp}) - \tau(N) = \frac{(\alpha - \beta + \gamma)}{2}$$

Analogously, let $R \subseteq T_pM$ be an (n + 1)-plane orthogonal to ξ_2 and let $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of it. Since ξ_2 is orthogonal to R, we can take an orthonormal basis $\{e_{n+2}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ of R^{\perp} orthogonal to ξ_1 , such that $e_{n+1} = \xi_1$ and $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$, respectively. Thus, $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_pM . Similarly writing again the above (2n + 1)-equations for $S(e_i, e_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq 2n + 1$, from the sum of the first (n + 1)-equations we get

$$2\tau(R) + \sum_{1 \le i \le n+1 < j \le 2n+1} K(e_i \land e_j) = (n+1)\alpha + \beta,$$
(11)

and from the sum of the last n-equations, we have

$$2\tau(R^{\perp}) + \sum_{1 \le j \le n < i \le 2n+1} K(e_i \land e_j) = n\alpha + \gamma.$$
(12)

Again by substracting (11) from (12), it follows that

$$\tau(R^{\perp}) - \tau(R) = \frac{(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{2}.$$

Therefore the direct statement is satisfied for

$$a = \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)}{2}, \quad b = \frac{(\alpha - \beta + \gamma)}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad c = \frac{(\gamma - \alpha - \beta)}{2}.$$

Conversely, let v be an arbitrary unit vector of T_pM , at $p \in M$, orthogonal to ξ_1 and ξ_2 . We take an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, ..., e_n, e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$ of T_pM such that $v = e_1, e_{n+1} = \xi_1$ and $e_{2n+1} = \xi_2$. We consider *n*-plane section N and (n + 1)-plane section R in T_pM as follows

$$N = span\{e_2, ..., e_{n+1}\}$$

and

$$R = span\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\}$$

respectively. Then we have

$$N^{\perp} = span\{e_1, e_{n+2}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}$$

and

$$R^{\perp} = span\{e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\},\$$

After some calculations we get

$$S(v,v) = [K(e_1 \wedge e_2) + K(e_1 \wedge e_3) + \dots + K(e_1 \wedge e_{n+1})] + [K(e_1 \wedge e_{n+2}) + \dots + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n}) + K(e_1 \wedge e_{2n+1})] = [\tau(R) - \sum_{2 \le i < j \le n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] + [\tau(N^{\perp}) - \sum_{n+2 \le i < j \le 2n+1} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] = [\tau(R^{\perp}) - c - \tau(N)] + [\tau(N) + b - \tau(R^{\perp})] = b - c.$$

Therefore S(v, v) = b - c, for any unit vector $v \in T_pM$, ortohogonal to ξ_1 and ξ_2 . Then we can write for any $1 \le i \le 2n + 1$,

$$S(e_i, e_i) = b - c.$$

Since S(v,v) = (b-c)g(v,v) for any unit vector $v \in T_pM$ orthogonal to ξ_1 and ξ_2 , it follows that

$$S(X,X) = (b-c)g(X,X) + (a-b)A(X)A(X)$$
(13)

and

$$S(Y,Y) = (b-c)g(Y,Y) + (a+c)B(Y)B(Y),$$
(14)

for any $X \in [span\{\xi_1\}]^{\perp}$ and $Y \in [span\{\xi_2\}]^{\perp}$, where A and B denote dual forms of ξ_1 and ξ_2 with respect to g, respectively.

In view of the equations (13) and (14), we get from their symmetry that S with tensors $(b-c)g + (a-b)A \otimes A$ and $(b-c)g + (a+c)B \otimes B$ must coincide on the complement of ξ_1 and ξ_2 , respectively, that is,

$$S(X,Y) = (b-c)g(X,Y) + (a-b)A(X)A(Y) + (a+c)B(X)B(Y), \quad (15)$$

for any $X, Y \in [span\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}]^{\perp}$.

Since ξ_1 and ξ_2 are eigenvector fields of Q, we also have

$$S(X,\xi_1) = 0$$

and

$$S(Y,\xi_2) = 0,$$

for any $X, Y \in T_pM$ orthogonal to ξ_1 and ξ_2 . Thus, we can extend the equation (15) to

$$S(X,Z) = (b-c)g(X,Z) + (a-b)A(X)A(Z) + (a+c)B(X)B(Z), \quad (16)$$

for any $X \in [span\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}]^{\perp}$ and $Z \in T_p M$.

Now, let consider the *n*-plane section P and (n+1)-plane section R in T_pM as follows

 $P = span\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$

and

$$R = span\{e_1, ..., e_n, \xi_1\},\$$

respectively. Then we have

$$P^{\perp} = span\{\xi_1, e_{n+2}, ..., e_{2n+1}\}$$

and

$$R^{\perp} = span\{e_{n+2}, ..., e_{2n}, e_{2n+1}\}.$$

Similarly after some calculations we obtain

$$S(\xi_{1},\xi_{1}) = [K(\xi_{1} \wedge e_{1}) + K(\xi_{1} \wedge e_{2}) + \dots + K(\xi_{1} \wedge e_{n})] \\ + [K(\xi_{1} \wedge e_{n+2}) + \dots + K(\xi_{1} \wedge e_{2n}) + K(\xi_{1} \wedge e_{2n+1})] \\ = [\tau(R) - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} K(e_{i} \wedge e_{j})] + [\tau(P^{\perp}) - \sum_{n+2 \le i < j \le 2n+1} K(e_{i} \wedge e_{j})] \\ = [\tau(R^{\perp}) - c - \tau(P)] + [\tau(P) + a - \tau(R^{\perp})] = a - c.$$

Then, we can write

$$S(\xi_1,\xi_1) = (b-c)g(\xi_1,\xi_1) + (a-b)A(\xi_1)A(\xi_1).$$
(17)

Analogously, let consider *n*-plane sections P and N in T_pM as follows

$$P = span\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$$

and

$$N = span\{e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}\},\$$

respectively. Therefore we have

$$P^{\perp} = span\{e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}, \xi_2\}$$

and

$$N^{\perp} = span\{e_1, ..., e_n, \xi_2\}.$$

Similarly after some calculations we get

$$\begin{split} S(\xi_2,\xi_2) &= [K(\xi_2 \wedge e_1) + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_2) + \ldots + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_n)] \\ &+ [K(\xi_2 \wedge e_{n+1}) + \ldots + K(\xi_2 \wedge e_{2n})] \\ &= [\tau(N^{\perp}) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] + [\tau(P^{\perp}) - \sum_{n+1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} K(e_i \wedge e_j)] \\ &= [\tau(N) + b - \tau(P)] + [\tau(P) + a - \tau(N)] = a + b. \end{split}$$

Then we may write

$$S(\xi_2,\xi_2) = (b-c)g(\xi_2,\xi_2) + (a+c)B(\xi_2)B(\xi_2).$$
(18)

By making use of the equations (16), (17) and (18), we obtain from the symmetry of the Ricci tensor ${\cal S}$

$$S(X,Y) = (b-c)g(X,Y) + (a-b)A(X)A(Y) + (a+c)B(X)B(Y),$$

for any $X, Y \in T_pM$. Thus, M is a generalized quasi-Einstein manifold for $\alpha = b - c, \beta = a - b$ and $\gamma = a + c$, which finishes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can give the following theorem for an even dimensional generalized quasi-Einstein manifold:

Theorem 2.2. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 2n-manifold, with $n \ge 2$. Then M is generalized quasi-Einstein if and only if the Ricci operator Q has eigenvector fields ξ_1 and ξ_2 such that at any $p \in M$, there exist three real numbers a, b and c satisfying

$$\begin{split} \tau(P) + a &= \tau(P^{\perp}); \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in T_p P^{\perp} \\ \tau(N) + b &= \tau(N^{\perp}); \quad \xi_1, \xi_2 \in T_p N^{\perp} \end{split}$$

and

$$\tau(R) + c = \tau(R^{\perp}); \quad \xi_1 \in T_p R, \xi_2 \in T_p R^{\perp}$$

for any n-plane sections P, R and (n-1)-plane section N, where P^{\perp} , N^{\perp} and R^{\perp} denote the orthogonal complements of P, N and R in T_pM , respectively and $a = \frac{(\beta+\gamma)}{2}$, $b = \frac{(2\alpha+\beta+\gamma)}{2}$, $c = \frac{(\gamma-\beta)}{2}$.

Proof. Let P and R be n-plane sections and N be an (n-1)-plane section such that

$$P = span\{e_1, ..., e_n\}$$
$$R = span\{e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}\},$$

and

$$N = span\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}$$

respectively. Therefore the orthogonal complements of these sections can be written as

$$P^{\perp} = span\{e_{n+1}, ..., e_{2n}\}$$

 $R^{\perp} = span\{e_1, ..., e_n\},$

and

$$N^{\perp} = span\{e_1, e_{n+1}..., e_{2n}\}.$$

Then the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

References

- C. L. Bejan, Characterizations of quasi-Einstein manifolds, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi. Mat. (N.S.) 53(2007), suppl. 1, 67–72.
- [2] M. C. Chaki and R. K. Maity, On quasi Einstein manifolds, Publ. Math. Debrecen 57(2000), no. 3-4, 297-306.

- [3] B. Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, Characterizations of Riemannian space forms, Einstein spaces and conformally flat spaces, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 128(1999), no. 2, 589-598.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, Some new obstructions to minimal and Lagrangian, isometric immersions, Japan J. Math. 26(2000), 105-127.
- [5] U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh, On quasi Einstein manifolds, Period. Math. Hungar. 48(2004), no. 1-2, 223-231.
- [6] U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh, On generalized quasi Einstein manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J. 44(2004), no. 4, 607-615.
- [7] U. C. De and G. C. Ghosh, Some global properties of generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds, Ganita 56(2005), no. 1, 65-70.
- [8] G. C. Ghosh, U. C. De and T. Q. Binh, Certain curvature restrictions on a quasi Einstein manifold, Publ. Math. Debrecen 69(2006), no. 1-2, 209-217.
- [9] U. C. De, J. Sengupta and D. Saha, Conformally flat quasi-Einstein spaces, Kyungpook Math. J. 46(2004), no. 3, 417-423.
- [10] D. Dumitru, On Einstein spaces of odd dimension, Bul. Univ. Transilvania Brasov, 14(49)(2007), 95-97.
- [11] C. Özgür and S. Sular, On some properties of generalized quasi-Einstein manifolds, Indian J. Math. 50 (2008), no. 2, 297–302.
- [12] I. M. Singer and J. A. Thorpe, The curvature of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces, Global Analysis, Princeton University Press (1969), 355-365.

Sibel SULAR, Department of Mathematics, Balkesir University, 10145, Balkesir, Turkey. Email: csibel@balikesir.edu.tr Cihan ÖZGÜR, Department of Mathematics, Balkesir University, 10145, Balkesir, Turkey.

Email: cozgur@balikesir.edu.tr