



## A REMARK ON THE HILBERT SERIES OF TRANSVERSAL POLYMATROIDS

Alin Ștefan

### Abstract

In this note we study when the transversal polymatroids presented by  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ , where all the sets  $A_i$  have two elements, have the base ring  $B_m$  Gorenstein. Using Worpitzky identity, we show that the numerator of the Hilbert series has the coefficients Eulerian numbers and, from [1], the Hilbert series is unimodal.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $K$  be an infinite field,  $n$  and  $m$  be positive integers,  $A_i$  be some subsets of  $[n]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ . Let

$$B_m = K[x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} : i_j \in A_j, 1 \leq j \leq m]$$

and

$$C = K[x_i y_j : i \in A_j, 1 \leq j \leq m].$$

Obviously  $C \subseteq S$ , where  $S$  is the Segre product of the polynomial rings in  $n$ , respectively  $m$ , indeterminates,

$$S := K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] * K[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m] = K[x_i y_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m].$$

We consider the variables  $t_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and we define

$$T = K[t_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m],$$

$$T(\mathbf{A}) = K[t_{ij} : 1 \leq j \leq m, i \in A_j]$$

---

Key Words: polymatroids, base ring, Hilbert series  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: 13A02  
Received: March, 2006

and the presentations  $\phi : T \longrightarrow S$  and  $\phi' : T(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow C$  defined by  $t_{ij} \longrightarrow x_i y_j$ .

By [10, Proposition 9.1.2] we know that  $\ker(\phi)$  is the ideal  $I_2(t)$  of the 2-minors of the  $n \times m$  matrix  $t = (t_{ij})$  via the map  $\phi$ . The algebras  $C$ ,  $T(\mathbf{A})$ ,  $S$  and  $T$  are  $\mathbf{Z}^m$ -graded by setting  $\deg(x_i y_j) = \deg(t_{ij}) = e_i \in \mathbf{Z}^m$  where  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$  denote the vectors of the canonical basis of  $\mathbf{R}^m$ .

By [11, Propositions 4.11 and 8.11] or [10, Proposition 8.1.10] we know that the cycles of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n,m}$  give a universal Gröbner basis of  $I_2(t)$ .

A cycle of the complete bipartite graph is described by a pair  $(I, J)$  of sequences of integers, say

$$I = i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s, \quad J = j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s,$$

with  $2 \leq s \leq \min(m, n)$ ,  $1 \leq i_k \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j_k \leq n$  and such that the  $i_k$  are distinct and the  $j_k$  are distinct. Associated with any such a pair we have a polynomial  $F_{(I,J)} = t_{i_1 j_1} \dots t_{i_s j_s} - t_{i_2 j_1} \dots t_{i_s j_{s-1}} t_{i_1 j_s}$  which is in  $I_2(t)$ .

For a  $\mathbf{Z}^m$ -graded algebra  $E$  we denote by  $E_\Delta$  the direct sum of the graded components of degree  $(a, a, \dots, a) \in \mathbf{Z}^m$ . Similarly, for a  $\mathbf{Z}^m$ -graded  $E$ -module  $M$ , we denote by  $M_\Delta$  the direct sum of the graded components of  $M$  of degree  $(a, a, \dots, a) \in \mathbf{Z}^m$ . Clearly  $E_\Delta$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra and  $M_\Delta$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $E_\Delta$  module. Furthermore  $-_\Delta$  is exact as a functor on the category of  $\mathbf{Z}^m$ -graded  $E$ -modules with maps of degree 0. Now  $C_\Delta$  is the  $K$ -algebra generated by the elements  $x_{i_1} y_1 \dots x_{i_m} y_m$  with  $i_j \in A_j$ . Therefore  $B_m$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $C_\Delta$ . Hence we obtain a presentation :

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta \longrightarrow B_m \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $J = (I_2(t) \cap T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta)$ .

$T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta$  is the  $K$ -algebra generated by the monomials  $t_{1i_1} t_{2i_2} \dots t_{mi_m}$ , with  $i_k \in A_k$ , that is,  $T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta$  is the Segre product  $T_1 * T_2 * \dots * T_m$  of the polynomial rings  $T_i = K[t_{ij} : j \in A_i]$ . Now we consider the variables  $s_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in A := A_1 \times A_2 \times \dots \times A_m$ . Then we get the presentation of the Segre product  $T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta$  as a quotient of  $K[A]$  by mapping  $s_{(j_1, \dots, j_m)}$  to  $t_{1j_1} t_{2j_2} \dots t_{mj_m}$ .

From [5] the defining ideal of  $T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta$  is generated by the so-called Hibi relations:

$$s_\alpha s_\beta - s_{(\alpha \vee \beta)} s_{\alpha \wedge \beta},$$

where

$$\alpha \vee \beta = (\max(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, \max(\alpha_m, \beta_m)),$$

and

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = (\min(\alpha_1, \beta_1), \dots, \min(\alpha_m, \beta_m)).$$

**Example 1.1.** Let  $n = 3$  and

$$A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{2, 3\}, A_3 = \{3, 4\}.$$

Then  $C$  is the quotient of  $K[t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{22}, t_{23}, t_{33}, t_{34}]$  by the zero ideal ( $J = 0$  because we don't have cycles). Then

$$B_3 = K[x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_4, x_1x_3^2, x_1x_3x_4, x_2^2x_3, x_2^2x_4, x_2x_3^2, x_2x_3x_4]$$

is the quotient of  $K[s_{123}, s_{124}, s_{133}, s_{134}, s_{223}, s_{224}, s_{233}, s_{234}]$  modulo the ideal generated by the Hibi relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{123}s_{134} - s_{124}s_{133} &, s_{123}s_{224} - s_{124}s_{223}, \\ s_{123}s_{234} - s_{124}s_{233} &, s_{123}s_{233} - s_{133}s_{223}, \\ s_{123}s_{234} - s_{133}s_{224} &, s_{123}s_{234} - s_{134}s_{223}, \\ s_{124}s_{234} - s_{134}s_{224} &, s_{133}s_{234} - s_{134}s_{233}, \\ s_{223}s_{234} - s_{224}s_{233}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $K[t_{11}, t_{12}] * K[t_{22}, t_{23}] * K[t_{33}, t_{34}]$  is a Gorenstein ring ([6, Example 7.4]) then  $B_3$  is a Gorenstein ring .

**Example 1.2.** Let  $n = 3$  and

$$A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{2, 3\}, A_3 = \{3, 1\}.$$

Then  $C$  is the quotient of  $K[t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{22}, t_{23}, t_{33}, t_{31}]$  modulo the ideal generated by the polynomial  $t_{11}t_{22}t_{33} - t_{12}t_{23}t_{31}$  (we have one 6-cycles). Then

$$B_3 = K[x_1x_2x_3, x_1^2x_2, x_1x_3^2, x_1^2x_3, x_2^2x_3, x_1x_2^2, x_2x_3^2]$$

is the quotient of  $K[s_{123}, s_{121}, s_{133}, s_{131}, s_{223}, s_{221}, s_{233}, s_{231}]$  modulo the ideal generated by the Hibi relations:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{221}s_{233} - s_{223}s_{231}, s_{131}s_{233} - s_{133}s_{231}, \\ s_{121}s_{233} - s_{123}s_{231}, s_{131}s_{221} - s_{121}s_{231}, \\ s_{133}s_{221} - s_{123}s_{231}, s_{131}s_{223} - s_{123}s_{231}, \\ s_{133}s_{223} - s_{233}s_{231}, s_{121}s_{223} - s_{221}s_{231}, \\ s_{121}s_{133} - s_{131}s_{231}. \end{aligned}$$

and by the linear relation

$$s_{123} - s_{231}$$

Since  $K[t_{11}, t_{12}] * K[t_{22}, t_{23}] * K[t_{33}, t_{31}]$  is a Gorenstein ring and  $t_{11}t_{22}t_{33} - t_{12}t_{23}t_{31}$  is a regular element in  $K[t_{11}, t_{12}] * K[t_{22}, t_{23}] * K[t_{33}, t_{31}]$  then

$$\frac{K[t_{11}, t_{12}] * K[t_{22}, t_{23}] * K[t_{33}, t_{31}]}{(t_{11}t_{22}t_{33} - t_{12}t_{23}t_{31})} \cong B_3$$

is a Gorenstein ring.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $n = 3$  and

$$A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{1, 2, 3\}, A_3 = \{2, 3\}.$$

Then  $C$  is the quotient of  $K[t_{11}, t_{12}, t_{12}t_{22}, t_{23}, t_{23}, t_{33}]$  modulo the ideal generated by the polynomials  $t_{11}t_{22} - t_{12}t_{21}$ ,  $t_{22}t_{33} - t_{23}t_{32}$ ,  $t_{11}t_{23}t_{32} - t_{12}t_{21}t_{33}$  (we have two 4-cycles and one 6-cycle),

$$H_C(t) = \frac{1 + 2t + t^2}{(1 - t)^5},$$

and then

$$B_3 = K[x_1^2x_2, x_1^2x_3, x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2^2, x_1x_3^2, x_2^3, x_2^2x_3, x_2x_3^2]$$

is the quotient of  $K[s_{ijk} | (i, j, k) \in \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 2, 3\} \times \{2, 3\}]$  modulo the ideal generated by the Hibi relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & s_{222}s_{233} - s_{232}s_{223} , s_{212}s_{233} - s_{213}s_{232} , \\ & s_{212}s_{232} - s_{213}s_{222} , s_{133}s_{232} - s_{213}s_{233} , \\ & s_{133}s_{222} - s_{213}s_{232} , s_{133}s_{212} - s_{213}s_{132} , \\ & s_{113}s_{233} - s_{133}s_{213} , s_{113}s_{232} - s_{213}s_{123} , \\ & s_{113}s_{222} - s_{212}s_{213} , s_{112}s_{233} - s_{213}s_{132} , \\ & s_{112}s_{232} - s_{212}s_{213} , s_{112}s_{222} - s_{212}s_{122} , \\ & s_{112}s_{213} - s_{113}s_{212} , s_{112}s_{133} - s_{113}s_{213} . \end{aligned}$$

and by the linear relations  $s_{132} - s_{213}, s_{123} - s_{213}, s_{122} - s_{212}, s_{223} - s_{232}$  .

Since  $B_3$  is a domain and the Hilbert series of  $B_3$  is

$$H_{B_3}(t) = \frac{1 + 5t + t^2}{(1 - t)^3},$$

then  $B_3$  is a Gorenstein ring .

## 2 Hilbert series

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $K$ . If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded  $R$ -module, the numerical function:

$$H(M, -) : \mathbf{N} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$$

with  $H(M, n) = \dim_K(M_n)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , is the Hilbert function and  $H_M(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}} H(M, n)t^n$  is the Hilbert series of  $M$ .

Let  $n, m$  be positive integers,  $A_i$  be some subsets of  $[n]$  such that  $|A_i| = l$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ .

Let

$$B_m = K[x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m} : i_j \in A_j, 1 \leq j \leq m]$$

and

$$C = K[x_i y_j : i \in A_j, 1 \leq j \leq m].$$

From Section 1 we know that  $B_m$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $C_\Delta$  and we have the presentation :

$$0 \longrightarrow J \longrightarrow T(\mathbf{A})_\Delta \longrightarrow B_m \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $J = (I_2(t) \cap T(\mathbf{A}))_\Delta$ .

Now we are interested on the case when  $J = (0)$ .

*Remark 2.2.* If  $J = (0)$  then  $B_m$  is isomorphic to the algebra  $(T(\mathbf{A}))_\Delta$ .

$J = (0)$  is equivalent with the fact that the bipartite graph presented by  $\mathbf{A}$  ( $V_1 = 1, 2, \dots, m, V_2 = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_m$  and an edge from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  joins  $i \in V_1$  with  $i_j \in V_2$  if and only if  $i_j \in A_i$ ) does not have cycles.

If  $|A_i| = l$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $|A_i \cap A_{i+1}| \leq 1$ , and  $A_j \cap A_i = \emptyset$ , for  $2 \leq i \leq m, j < i - 1$ , then the bipartite graph presented by  $\mathbf{A}$  does not have cycles, thus the ideal  $J$  is zero.

Since  $J = (0)$ , then  $B_m$  is the Segre product of  $m$  polynomial rings, each of them in  $l$  indeterminates, that is,  $B_m$  is a Gorenstein ring (see [6, Example 7.4]);  $\dim_K(B_m)_i = \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m$ .

In the case  $m = 2$  it is known (see [10, proposition 9.1.3]) that the Hilbert series of  $B_2$  is

$$H_{B_2}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l-1}{k}^2 t^k}{(1-t)^{2l-1}}; \quad H(B_2, i) = \dim_k(B_2)_i = \binom{i+l-1}{i}^2.$$

It results that the Krull dimension of  $B_2$  is  $\dim_k B_2 = 2l - 1$  and the number of generators of the defining ideal of  $B_2$  (the number of Hibi-relations of  $B_2$ ) is

$$\mu = \left( \binom{H(B_2, 1) + 1}{2} \right) - H(B_2, 2) = \binom{l^2 + 1}{2} - \binom{l+1}{2}^2 = \binom{l}{2}^2.$$

*Remark 2.3.* We have the following relation between the Hilbert series of  $B_{m+1}$  and  $B_m$  :

$$H_{B_{m+1}}(t) = \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-1)}}{dt^{l-1}} (t^{l-1} H_{B_m}(t)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $H_{B_m}(t) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m t^i$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-1)}}{dt^{l-1}} (t^{l-1} H_{B_m}(t)) &= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-1)}}{dt^{l-1}} \left( t^{l-1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m t^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-2)}}{dt^{l-2}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \left( t^{l-1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m t^i \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-2)}}{dt^{l-2}} \left( (l-1)t^{l-2} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m t^i + t^{l-2} \sum_{i \geq 0} i \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m t^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-2)}}{dt^{l-2}} \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m (i+l-1)t^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-3)}}{dt^{l-3}} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \left( t^{l-2} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m (i+l-1)t^i \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-3)}}{dt^{l-3}} \left( (l-2)t^{l-3} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m (i+l-1)t^i + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + t^{l-3} \sum_{i \geq 0} i \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m (i+l-1)t^i \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \frac{d^{(l-3)}}{dt^{l-3}} \left( t^{l-3} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m (i+l-1)(i+l-2)t^i \right) = \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^m (i+l-1)(i+l-2) \dots (i+2)(i+1)t^i \\
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{i+l-1}{i}^{m+1} t^i = H_{B_{m+1}}(t).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $A(t) := \sum_i a_i t^i$  and  $B(t) := \sum_i b_i t^i$  be two power series in  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ . Then we denote by  $Had(A, B) := \sum_i (a_i b_i) t^i$  the Hadamard product of  $A$  and  $B$  ([8]).

**Definition 2.4.** ([8]) Let  $A(t)$  be the Hilbert series of a standard  $k$ -algebra  $S$ .  $ri(A)$  (or  $ri(S)$ ) is the regularity index of  $A$  (or of  $S$ ), i.e. the first integer  $r$  such that for every  $s \geq r$  the Hilbert function of  $S$  takes the same values as the Hilbert polynomial of  $S$ .

*Remark 2.5.*  $ri(S) = a(S) + 1$ , where  $a(S)$  is the  $a$ -invariant of  $S$ .

**Proposition 2.6** ([8]). *Let  $A(t) := \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)^a}$  and  $B(t) := \frac{Q(t)}{(1-t)^b}$ , where  $p := \deg(P)$ ,  $q := \deg(Q)$ ,  $P(1) \neq 0$ ,  $Q(1) \neq 0$ , and assume that  $A(t)$  and  $B(t)$  are the Hilbert series of standard  $k$ -algebras. Then*

- 1)  $ri(A) = p - a + 1$  and  $ri(B) = q - b + 1$ ;
- 2)  $ri(Had(A, B)) \leq \max(ri(A), ri(B))$ ;
- 3)  $Had(A, B) = \frac{R(t)}{(1-t)^{a+b-1}}$ , with  $R(1) \neq 0$ ;
- 4)  $\deg(R) \leq \max(ri(A), ri(B)) + (a + b - 1) - 1$ ;

**Theorem 2.7** ([8]). *Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two standard  $k$ -algebras with the Hilbert series  $H_{S_1}$ ,  $H_{S_2}$ . Then the Hilbert series of Segre product of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  is*

$$H_{S_1 * S_2} = Had(H_{S_1}, H_{S_2}).$$

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $K$  and  $M$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbf{N}$ -graded  $R$ -module. The *difference operator*  $\Delta$  on the set of numerical functions  $H(M, -)$  is

$$(\Delta H(M, -))(n) = H(M, n + 1) - H(M, n),$$

where  $H(M, -)$  is the Hilbert function.

The  $m$ -times iterated  $\Delta$  operator (" $m$ -difference of  $H(M, n)$ ") will be denoted by  $\Delta^m$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *If  $|A_i| = 2$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $|A_i \cap A_{i+1}| \leq 1$  and  $A_j \cap A_i = \emptyset$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m - 1$ ,  $1 \leq j < i - 1$ , then the Hilbert series of  $B_m$  is*

$$H_{B_m}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A(m, k+1)t^k}{(1-t)^{m+1}},$$

where

$$A(m, k) = kA(m-1, k) + (m-k+1)A(m-1, k-1),$$

with  $A(m, 1) = A(m, m) = 1$  and  $2 \leq k \leq m - 1$ .

*Remark 2.10.* The sequence in  $k$ ,  $A(m, k)$  with  $1 \leq k \leq m$  is symmetric for any  $m \geq 2$ . Indeed, if  $m = 2$  then  $A(2, 1) = A(2, 2) = 1$ . If  $m > 2$  then

$$\begin{aligned} A(m, k) &= kA(m-1, k) + (m-k+1)A(m-1, k-1) = \\ &= kA(m-1, m-k) + (m-k+1)A(m-1, m-k+1) = A(m, m-k+1). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We know that  $B_m = T_1 * T_2 * \dots * T_m$ , where  $T_i = K[t_{ij} : j \in A_i]$  is Segre product of  $m$  polynomial rings in two indeterminates and  $\dim_k(B_m)_i = \binom{i+2-1}{i}^m = (i+1)^m$ .

We show that the Krull dimension of  $B_m$  is  $\dim B_m = m + 1$  and the Hilbert series of  $B_m$ ,  $H_{B_m}(t) = \frac{R(t)}{(1-t)^{m+1}}$ , with  $\deg(R) \leq m - 1$ .

We proceed by induction on  $m$ . The case  $m = 1$  is clear. Suppose  $m \geq 2$ . For every  $1 \leq i \leq m$  we have  $ri(H_{T_i}) = -1$ , thus  $ri(H_{B_m}) = -1$ . Since  $B_{m+1} = B_m * T_{m+1}$  we have

$$H_{B_{m+1}}(t) = Had(H_{B_m}, T_{m+1}) = \frac{R(t)}{(1-t)^{(m+1)+2-1}} = \frac{R(t)}{(1-t)^{m+2}};$$

$$\deg(R) \leq \max(ri(H_{B_m}), ri(H_{T_{m+1}})) + ((m+1) + 2 - 1) - 1 = m.$$

If  $R(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} r_k t^k$ , then we need to find the coefficients  $r'_i$ . We may compute the first  $m$  values of  $H(B_m, i)$ . Then it suffices to take the  $(m+1)^{th}$  difference of these first  $m$  values and we get the required  $r'_i$ . For this it suffices to go backward in the algorithm which determines the numerators of the Hilbert series and to obtain  $H(B_m, i) = \dim_k(B)_i$  for all  $i$ .

We define

$$A_0(m, k) = r_k = A(m, k),$$

$$A_i(m, 1) = 1, A_i(m, k) = A_i(m, k - 1) + A_{i-1}(m, k),$$

for  $i \geq 1$  and  $2 \leq k \leq m$ . For  $m \geq 2$  and  $2 \leq k \leq m$  fixed we want to prove that

$$A_t(m, k) = \sum_{s=1}^k A(m, s) \binom{t+k-s-1}{k-s}$$

for any  $t \geq 1$  (with the convention that the binomial coefficient  $\binom{m}{n}$  is zero if  $m < n$ ).

We proceed by induction on  $t$ .

Case  $t = 1$ .

Since for any  $m \geq 2$  and fixed  $2 \leq k \leq m$ , we have

$$A_1(m, k) = A_1(m, k - 1) + A(m, k),$$

$$A_1(m, k - 1) = A_1(m, k - 2) + A(m, k - 1),$$

$$A_1(m, k - 2) = A_1(m, k - 3) + A(m, k - 2),$$

.....

$$A_1(m, 3) = A_1(m, 2) + A(m, 3),$$

$$A_1(m, 2) = A_1(m, 1) + A(m, 2),$$

$$A_1(m, 1) = 1 = A(m, 1),$$



we obtain:

$$A_1(m, k) = \sum_{s=1}^k A(m, s).$$

Case  $t > 1$  : From

$$\begin{aligned} A_t(m, k+1) &= A_t(m, k) + A_{t-1}(m, k+1), \\ A_{t-1}(m, k+1) &= A_{t-1}(m, k) + A_{t-2}(m, k+1), \\ A_{t-2}(m, k+1) &= A_{t-2}(m, k) + A_{t-3}(m, k+1), \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ A_3(m, k+1) &= A_3(m, k) + A_2(m, k+1), \\ A_2(m, k+1) &= A_2(m, k) + A_1(m, k+1), \\ A_1(m, k+1) &= A_1(m, k) + A(m, k+1), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$A_t(m, k+1) = \sum_{j=1}^t A_j(m, k) + A(m, k+1).$$

For  $t > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_t(m, k+1) &= \sum_{j=1}^t A_j(m, k) + A(m, k+1) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^t \left( \sum_{s=1}^k A(m, s) \binom{j+k-s-1}{k-s} \right) + A(m, k+1) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \left( \sum_{j=1}^t \binom{j+k-s-1}{k-s} \right) A(m, s) + A(m, k+1) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \binom{t+k-s}{k-s+1} A(m, s) + A(m, k+1) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{k+1} A(m, s) \binom{t+k-s}{k-s+1}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\sum_{j=1}^t \binom{j+k-s-1}{k-s} = \binom{t+k-s}{k-s+1}.$$

Now we want to prove that  $A_{m+1}(m, k) = k^m$ .

From [7] or [12] we mention the Worpitzky identity :

$$k^m = \sum_{s=1}^m A(m, s) \binom{k+s-1}{m}.$$

We know that

$$A_{m+1}(m, k) = \sum_{s=1}^k A(m, s) \binom{m+k-s}{k-s} = \sum_{s=1}^k A(m, s) \binom{m+k-s}{m}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} k^m &= \sum_{s=1}^m A(m, s) \binom{k+s-1}{m} = A(m, m) \binom{k+m-1}{m} + A(m, m-1) \\ &\quad \binom{k+m-1-1}{m} + \dots + A(m, m-k+2) \binom{m+1}{m} + A(m, m-k+1) \binom{m}{m} \\ &= A(m, 1) \binom{k+m-1}{m} + A(m, 2) \binom{k+m-2}{m} + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + A(m, k-1) \binom{k+m-k+1}{m} + A(m, k) \binom{k+m-k}{m} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k A(m, s) \binom{m+k-s}{m} = A_{m+1}(m, k). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the  $r_k = A(m, k+1)$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m-1$ .

□

**Example 2.11.** We compute the Hilbert series for

$$\mathbf{A} = \{A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{2, 3\}, A_3 = \{3, 4\}, A_4 = \{4, 5\}\}.$$

$k$	1	2	3	4
$k^4 = A_5(4, k)$	1	16	81	256
$A_4(4, k)$	1	15	65	175
$A_3(4, k)$	1	14	50	110
$A_2(4, k)$	1	13	36	60
$A_1(4, k)$	1	12	23	24
$A_0(4, k)$	1	11	11	1

The last row of the table contains the coefficients of the numerator of  $H_{B_4}(t)$ . Thus the Hilbert series of  $B_4$  is

$$H_{B_4}(t) = \frac{1 + 11t + 11t^2 + t^3}{(1-t)^5}.$$

**Corollary 2.12.** *The number of generators of the defining ideal of  $B_m$  (the number of Hibi-relations of  $B_m$ ) is*

$$\mu = \binom{H(B_m, 1) + 1}{2} - H(B_m, 2) = \binom{2^m + 1}{2} - 3^m = 2^{2m-1} + 2^{m-1} - 3^m.$$

**Corollary 2.13.** *The  $h$ -vector of the Hilbert series associated to the transversal polymatroid presented by  $\mathbf{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$ , such that  $|A_i| = 2$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $|A_i \cap A_{i+1}| \leq 1$  and  $A_j \cap A_i = \emptyset$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m-1$ ,  $1 \leq j < i-1$ , is unimodal.*

*Proof.* From [1], we know that  $A(m, k)$  is log-concave sequence in  $k$ , for all  $m$ , thus is unimodal.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** I would like to thank for financial support to University of Genova and CNCSIS program during one month visit to University of Genova. I am grateful to Professor Aldo Conca for helpful discussions and hospitality. Also I wish to express my thanks to Professor Dorin Popescu for support, helpful discussions and hints. This work was also partially supported by the CEEEX Program of the Romanian Ministry of Education and Research, contract CEx05-D11-11/2005.

## References

- [1] M.Bona, R.Ehrenborg, *Combinatorial Proof of the Log-Concavity of the Numbers of Permutations with  $k$  Runs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 90, 293-303, (2000)
- [2] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Revised Edition, Cambridge, 1996
- [3] Aldo Conca, *Linear Spaces, Transversal Polymatroids and ASL Domains*, arXiv:math.AC/0504111
- [4] G.-M.Greuel, G.Pfister, and H.Schönemann.SINGULAR 2.0. A Computer Algebra System for Polynomial Computations.Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern(2001).<http://www.singular.uni-kl.de>.
- [5] T. Hibi, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Convex Polytopes*, Carslaw Publications, Glebe, N.S.W., Australia,1992.
- [6] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, *Discrete Polymatroids*, arXiv:math.AC/0307236

- [7] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics: The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions*, rev. enl. ed. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Reidel, 1974.
- [8] L. Robbiano, G. Valla, *Hilbert-Poincare Series of Bigraded Algebra*, Bollettino U.M.I.(8) 1998,521-540
- [9] V.Reiner and V.Welker , *On the Charney-Davis and Neggers-Stanley conjectures*. Available at [www.math.umn.edu/reiner](http://www.math.umn.edu/reiner).
- [10] R. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Marcel Dekker, 2001
- [11] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1996
- [12] L. Worpitzky, *Studien über die Bernoullischen und Eulerischen Zahlen*, J. reine angew. Math. 94, 203-232, 1883.

University of Ploiești, Department of Mathematics  
Bd. București, 39, Ploiești, Romania  
E-mail: [nastefan@mail.upg-ploiesti.ro](mailto:nastefan@mail.upg-ploiesti.ro)