



A new characterization of A_7 and A_8

Alireza Khalili Asboei, Seyed Sadegh Salehi Amiri, Ali Iranmanesh
and Abolfazl Tehranian

Abstract

Let G be a finite group and $\pi_e(G)$ be the set of element orders of G . Let $k \in \pi_e(G)$ and m_k be the number of elements of order k in G . Set $\text{nse}(G) := \{m_k | k \in \pi_e(G)\}$. It is proved that A_n are uniquely determined by $\text{nse}(A_n)$, where $n \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. In this paper, we prove that if G is a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(A_n)$ where $n \in \{7, 8\}$, then $G \cong A_n$.

1 Introduction

If n is an integer, then we denote by $\pi(n)$ the set of all prime divisors of n . Let G be a finite group. Denote by $\pi(G)$ the set of primes p such that G contains an element of order p . Also the set of element orders of G is denoted by $\pi_e(G)$. A finite group G is called a simple K_n -group, if G is a simple group with $|\pi(G)| = n$.

Set $m_i = m_i(G) = |\{g \in G | \text{the order of } g \text{ is } i\}|$. In fact, m_i is the number of elements of order i in G , and $\text{nse}(G) := \{m_i | i \in \pi_e(G)\}$, the set of sizes of elements with the same order. For the set $\text{nse}(G)$, the most important problem is related to Thompson's problem. In 1987, J. G. Thompson posed a very interesting problem related to algebraic number fields as follows. For each finite group G and each integer $d \geq 1$, let $G(d) = \{x \in G | x^d = 1\}$. Defining G_1 and G_2 is of the same order type if, and only if, $|G_1(d)| = |G_2(d)|$, $d = 1,$

Key Words: Element order, set of the numbers of elements of the same order, alternating group.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20D60.

Received: January, 2012.

Revised: May, 2012.

Accepted: March, 2013.

2, 3, \dots . Suppose G_1 and G_2 are of the same order type. If G_1 is solvable, is G_2 necessarily solvable? ([10, Problem 12.37])

We know that if groups G_1 and G_2 are of the same order type, then $|G_1| = |G_2|$ and $\text{nse}(G_1) = \text{nse}(G_2)$. So it is natural to investigate the Thompson's Problem by $|G|$ and $\text{nse}(G)$.

In [4], [2], [3] and [1], it is proved that all simple K_4 - groups, symmetric groups S_r where r is prime, sporadic simple groups and $L_2(p)$ where p is prime, can be uniquely determined by $\text{nse}(G)$ and the order of G . In [9] and [8], it is proved that the groups A_4, A_5 and $A_6, L_2(q)$ for $q \in \{7, 8, 11, 13\}$ are uniquely determined by only $\text{nse}(G)$. In [9], the authors gave the following problem:

Problem: Is a group G isomorphic to A_n ($n \geq 4$) if and only if $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(A_n)$?

In this paper, we give a positive answer to this problem and show that the alternating group A_n is characterizable by only $\text{nse}(G)$ for $n \in \{7, 8\}$. In fact the main theorem of our paper is as follows:

Main Theorem: Let G be a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(A_n)$, where $n \in \{7, 8\}$. Then $G \cong A_n$.

We note that there are finite groups which are not characterizable even by $\text{nse}(G)$ and $|G|$. In 1987, Thompson gave an example as follows: Let $G_1 = (C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2) \rtimes A_7$ and $G_2 = L_3(4) \rtimes C_2$ be the maximal subgroups of M_{23} . Then $\text{nse}(G_1) = \text{nse}(G_2)$ and $|G_1| = |G_2|$, but $G_1 \not\cong G_2$. Throughout this paper, we denote by ϕ the Euler totient function. If G is a finite group, then we denote by P_q a Sylow q -subgroup of G and $n_q(G)$ is the number of Sylow q -subgroup of G , that is, $n_q(G) = |\text{Syl}_q(G)|$. All other notations are standard and we refer to [7], for example.

2 Main Results

In this section, for the proof of main theorem, we need the following Lemmas:

Lemma 2.1. [5] Let G be a finite group and m be a positive integer dividing $|G|$. If $L_m(G) = \{g \in G | g^m = 1\}$, then $m \mid |L_m(G)|$.

Lemma 2.2. [6] Let G be a finite group and $p \in \pi(G)$ be odd. Suppose that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G and $n = p^s m$, where $(p, m) = 1$. If P is not cyclic and $s > 1$, then the number of elements of order n is always a multiple of p^s .

Lemma 2.3. [9] Let G be a group containing more than two elements.

Let $k \in \pi_e(G)$ and m_k be the number of elements of order k in G . If $s = \sup\{m_k | k \in \pi_e(G)\}$ is finite, then G is finite and $|G| \leq s(s^2 - 1)$.

Let G be a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(A_n)$, where $n \in \{7, 8\}$. By Lemma 2.3, we can assume that G is finite. Let m_n be the number of elements of order n . We note that $m_n = k\phi(n)$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order n in G . Also we note that if $n > 2$, then $\phi(n)$ is even. If $n \mid |G|$, then by Lemma 2.1 and the above notation we have

$$\begin{cases} \phi(n) \mid m_n \\ n \mid \sum_{d \mid n} m_d \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

In the proof of the main theorem, we often apply $(*)$ and the above comments.

3 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let G be a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(A_7) = \{1, 105, 210, 350, 504, 630, 720\}$. First we prove that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Since $105 \in \text{nse}(G)$, it follows that by $(*)$, $2 \in \pi(G)$ and $m_2 = 105$. Let $2 \neq p \in \pi(G)$. By $(*)$, $p \mid (1 + m_p)$ and $(p-1) \mid m_p$, which implies that $p \in \{3, 5, 7, 211, 631\}$. If $211 \in \pi(G)$, then by $(*)$, $m_{211} = 210$. On the other hand, by $(*)$ we conclude that if $422 \in \pi_e(G)$, then $m_{422} = 210$ or 630 and $422 \mid (1 + m_2 + m_{211} + m_{422})$, and hence $422 \mid 526$ or $422 \mid 946$, which is a contradiction. Thus $422 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Since $422 \notin \pi_e(G)$, the group P_{211} acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2, and so $|P_{211}| \mid m_2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $211 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Similar to the above discussion $631 \notin \pi(G)$.

If $3, 5$ and $7 \in \pi(G)$, then $m_3 = 350$, $m_5 = 504$ and $m_7 = 720$, by $(*)$. Also we can see easily that G does not contain any elements of order 35, 81, 64, 125 and 343. Similarly, we can see that if $10, 14, 15, 21 \in \pi_e(G)$, then $m_{10} = 720$, $m_{14} \in \{210, 504\}$, $m_{15} = 720$ and $m_{21} = 504$.

If $2^a \times 3^b \in \pi_e(G)$, then $2^a \times 3^{b-1} \mid m_{2^a \times 3^b}$. Hence $1 \leq a \leq 3$ and $1 \leq b \leq 3$.

If $2^c \times 5^d \in \pi_e(G)$, then $2^{c+1} \times 5^{d-1} \mid m_{2^c \times 5^d}$. Hence $1 \leq c \leq 3$ and $1 \leq d \leq 2$.

If $2^e \times 7^f \in \pi_e(G)$, then $2^e \times 3 \times 7^{f-1} \mid m_{2^e \times 7^f}$. Hence $1 \leq e \leq 3$ and $1 \leq f \leq 2$.

If $3^k \times 5^h \in \pi_e(G)$, then $2^3 \times 3^{k-1} \times 5^{h-1} \mid m_{3^k \times 5^h}$. Hence $1 \leq k \leq 3$ and $1 \leq h \leq 2$.

If $3^l \times 7^m \in \pi_e(G)$, then $2^2 \times 3^l \times 7^{m-1} \mid m_{3^l \times 7^m}$. Hence $1 \leq l \leq 2$ and $1 \leq m \leq 2$.

In follow, we show that $\pi(G)$ could not be the sets $\{2\}$, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{2, 3, 7\}$ and $\{2, 3, 5\}$, and $\pi(G)$ must be equal to $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$.

Case a. If $\pi(G) = \{2\}$, then $\pi_e(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5\}$. Since $\text{nse}(G)$ has seven elements, this case impossible.

Case b. We know that $2 \in \pi(G)$. We claim that $3 \in \pi(G)$. Suppose that $3 \notin \pi(G)$. If $5, 7 \notin \pi(G)$, then by Case a, we get a contradiction. Hence 5 or $7 \in \pi(G)$.

Let $5 \in \pi(G)$. Since $125 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_5) = 5$ or 25 . If $\exp(P_5) = 5$, then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5) = 505$. Hence $|P_5| = 5$. Then $n_5 = m_5/\phi(5) = 504/4 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. If $\exp(P_5) = 25$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5 + m_{25})$. Hence $|P_5| = 25$ and $n_5 = m_{25}/20 \mid |G|$. Since $m_{25} = 720$, we get a contradiction. Thus $5 \notin \pi(G)$.

Let $7 \in \pi(G)$. Since $7^3 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_7) = 7$ or 49 . If $\exp(P_7) = 7$, then by Lemma 2.1, $|P_7| \mid (1 + m_7) = 721$. Hence $|P_7| = 7$ and $n_7 = m_7/\phi(7) \mid |G|$, which is a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_7) = 49$, then $|P_7| \mid (1 + m_7 + m_{49})$. Hence $|P_7| = 49$. Since $m_{49} \in \{210, 504\}$, $n_7 = m_{49}/\phi(49) = 5$ or 12 . By Sylow's theorem $n_7 = 7k + 1$ for some k , since $n_7 = 5$ or 12 , we get a contradiction. Thus $3 \in \pi(G)$.

Case c. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3\}$. Since $3^4 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_3) = 3, 3^2$ or 3^3 . If $\exp(P_3) = 3$, $|P_3| \mid (1 + m_3) = 351$, by Lemma 2.1. Thus $|P_3| \mid 3^3$. If $|P_3| = 3$, then $n_3 = m_3/2 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. If $|P_3| > 3$, then since $\exp(P_3) = 3$, $|\pi_e(G)| \leq 11$. Therefore $|G| = 2^m \times 3^n = 2520 + 350k_1 + 504k_2 + 720k_3 + 630k_4 + 210k_5$, where m, n, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 and k_5 are non-negative integers and $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + k_5 \leq 4$. It is clear that $|G| \leq 5400$. If $n = 2$, then $m = 9$. It easy to check that the above equation has no solution. If $n = 3$, then $m = 7$, arqing as above, the equation has no solution. Therefore $\exp(P_3) \neq 3$.

If $\exp(P_3) = 9$, by Lemma 2.1, $|P_3| \mid (1 + m_3 + m_9)$. Since $m_9 \in \{504, 630, 720\}$, $|P_3| = 9$. Hence $n_3 = m_9/6 \mid |G|$, a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_3) = 27$, then since $m_{27} \in \{504, 630, 720\}$, $|P_3| \mid 3^5$. If $|P_3| = 27$, then $n_9 = m_{27}/18 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. If $|P_3| = 81$ or 243 , then by Lemma 2.2, $3^3 \mid m_{27}$, a contradiction.

Case d. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 7\}$. Since $7^3 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_7) = 7$ or 7^2 . If $\exp(P_7) = 7$, then $|P_7| \mid (1 + m_7) = 721$. Hence $|P_7| = 7$ and $n_7 = m_7/6 = 120 \mid |G|$, a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_7) = 49$, then $|P_7| \mid (1 + m_7 + m_{49})$. Thus $|P_7| = 49$ and $n_7 = m_{49}/42 = 5$ or 12 . By Sylow's theorem, we get a contradiction.

Case e. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5\}$. Since $125 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(G) = 5$ or 25 . If $\exp(G) = 5$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5) = 505$. Hence $|P_5| = 5$ and $n_5 = m_5/4 = 126 \mid |G|$, which is a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_5) = 25$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5 + m_{25}) = 1225$. Hence $|P_5| = 25$ and then the group P_5 is cyclic. Thus $n_5 = m_{25}/20 = 36$. Since a cyclic group of order 25 has 4 elements of order 5, $m_5 \leq 4 \times n_5 = 144$, which is a contradiction.

Case f. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Since $35 \notin \pi_e(G)$, the group P_7 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 5, and so $|P_7| \mid m_5 = 504$, which implies that $|P_7| = 7$. Similarly, $|P_5| = 5$.

We know that if P and Q are Sylow 7-subgroups of G , then P and Q are conjugate, which implies that $C_G(P)$ and $C_G(Q)$ are conjugate in G . Therefore $m_{21} = \phi(21) \cdot n_7 \cdot k$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 3 in $C_G(P_7)$. Since $n_7 = m_7/\phi(7) = 120$, $2 \times 720 \mid m_{21}$, which is a contradiction. Hence $21 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Similarly, $10 \notin \pi_e(G)$.

Since $21 \notin \pi_e(G)$, the group P_3 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 7, and $|P_3| \mid m_7$. Thus $|P_3| \mid 9$. Also since $10 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $|P_2| \mid m_5 = 504$, and so $|P_2| \mid 2^3$.

If $|P_3| = 3$, then $|G| = 2^m \times 105$ and $m \leq 3$. On the other hand, $2520 \leq |G|$, a contradiction. Therefore $|P_3| = 9$ and $|G| = 2^m \times 315$ where $m \leq 3$. Since $2520 \leq |G|$, $m = 3$ and then $|G| = 2520 = |A_7|$. By [4], since A_7 is a simple K_4 -group, $G \cong A_7$.

Now suppose that G be a group such that $\text{nse}(G) = \text{nse}(A_8) = \{1, 315, 1232, 1344, 2688, 3780, 5040, 5760\}$. First we prove that $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Since $315 \in \text{nse}(G)$, it follows that by (*), $2 \in \pi(G)$ and $m_2 = 315$. Let $2 \neq p \in \pi(G)$, by (*), $p \mid (1 + m_p)$ and $(p - 1) \mid m_p$, which implies that $p \in \{3, 5, 7, 19, 2689, 5041\}$.

If $19 \in \pi(G)$, then $m_{19} = 3780$. On the other hand, by (*) we conclude that if $38 \in \pi_e(G)$, then $m_{38} \in \{5760, 3780, 5040\}$ and $38 \mid (1 + m_2 + m_{19} + m_{38})$, a contradiction. Therefore $38 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Thus the group P_{19} acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 2, and $|P_{19}| \mid m_2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $19 \notin \pi(G)$. Similar to the above discussion $2689, 5041 \notin \pi(G)$, and so $\pi(G) \subseteq \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$.

If $3, 5$ and $7 \in \pi(G)$, then $m_3 = 1232, m_5 = 1344$ and $m_7 = 5760$, by (*). Also we can see easily that G does not contain any elements of order 35, 512, 81, 125, 343 and 768.

If $15, 25, 49 \in \pi_e(G)$, then $m_{15} = 2688, m_{25} = 3780$ and $m_{49} = 1344$.

If $2^a \times 3^b \in \pi_e(G)$, then $1 \leq a \leq 6$ and $1 \leq b \leq 4$.

If $2^c \times 5^d \in \pi_e(G)$, then $1 \leq c \leq 6$ and $1 \leq d \leq 2$.

If $2^e \times 7^f \in \pi_e(G)$, then $1 \leq e \leq 7$ and $1 \leq f \leq 2$. If $3^k \times 5^h \in \pi_e(G)$, then $1 \leq k \leq 3$ and $1 \leq h \leq 2$.

If $3^l \times 7^m \in \pi_e(G)$, then $1 \leq l \leq 3$ and $1 \leq m \leq 2$.

We show that $\pi(G)$ could not be the sets $\{2\}$, $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{2, 3, 5\}$ and $\{2, 3, 7\}$, and $\pi(G)$ must be equal to $\{2, 3, 5, 7\}$.

Case a. If $\pi(G) = \{2\}$, then $\pi_e(G) \subseteq \{1, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6, 2^7, 2^8\}$ and $|G| = 2^m = 20160 + 1232k_1 + 1344k_2 + 2688k_3 + 3780k_4 + 5040k_5 + 5760k_6$, where $m, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5$ and k_6 are non-negative integers and $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + k_5 + k_6 \leq 1$. It easy to check that this equation has no solution.

Case b. We claim that $3 \in \pi(G)$. Suppose contrary, i.e, $3 \notin \pi(G)$. If $5, 7 \notin \pi(G)$, then by Case a, we get a contradiction. Hence 5 or $7 \in \pi(G)$.

Let $5 \in \pi(G)$. Since $125 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_5) = 5$ or 25 .

If $\exp(P_5) = 5$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5) = 1345$. Hence $|P_5| = 5$, and so $n_5 = 1344/4 \mid |G|$. Because $3 \notin \pi(G)$, we get a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_5) = 25$, then $|P_5| \mid 125$. Suppose that $|P_5| = 25$, then $n_5 = 3780/20 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. If $|P_5| = 125$, then by Lemma 2.2, $25 \mid m_{25}$, a contradiction. Thus $5 \notin \pi(G)$.

Let $7 \in \pi(G)$. Since $7^3 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_7) = 7$ or 49 .

If $\exp(P_7) = 7$, then $|P_7| = 7$ and $n_7 = m_7/6 = 5760/6 \mid |G|$, a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_7) = 49$, then $|P_7| = 49$. Hence $n_7 = m_{49}/42 = 32$ and by Sylow's theorem, we get a contradiction.

Case c. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3\}$. Since $3^4 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_3) = 3, 3^2$ or 3^3 . If $\exp(P_3) = 3$, then $|P_3| \mid (1 + m_3) = 1233$. Hence $|P_3| \mid 9$. Thus $|P_3| = 3$ or 9 . First suppose that $|P_3| = 3$. Then $n_3 = m_3/2 = 1232/2 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. Suppose that $|P_3| = 9$. Thus $|G| = 2^m \times 9 = 20160 + 1232k_1 + 1344k_2 + 2688k_3 + 3780k_4 + 5040k_5 + 5760k_6$, where $m, k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5$ and k_6 are non-negative integers and $0 \leq k_1 + k_2 + k_3 + k_4 + k_5 + k_6 \leq 9$. It is clear that $|G| \leq 72000$. Since $20160 \leq |G| \leq 72000$, $m = 12$. Therefore $16704 = 1232k_1 + 1344k_2 + 2688k_3 + 3780k_4 + 5040k_5 + 5760k_6$. By using an easy computer calculation, we can see that this equation has no solution.

Let $\exp(P_3) = 9$. Since $m_9 \in \{3780, 5760\}$ and $|P_3| \mid (1 + m_3 + m_9)$, $|P_3| = 9$. Hence $n_3 = m_9/6 \mid |G|$, which is a contradiction.

Let $\exp(P_3) = 27$. Since $m_{27} \in \{3780, 5760\}$ and $|P_3| \mid (1 + m_3 + m_9 + m_{27})$, $|P_3| \mid 81$. If $|P_3| = 81$, then by Lemma 2.2, $27 \mid m_{27}$, which is a contradiction. If $|P_3| = 27$, then $n_3 = m_{27}/18 \mid |G|$, a contradiction.

Case d. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5\}$. Since $5^3 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_5) = 5$ or 25 . If $\exp(P_5) = 5$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5) = 1345$. Hence $|P_5| = 5$, and $n_5 = 1344/4 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. If $\exp(P_5) = 25$, then $|P_5| \mid (1 + m_5 + m_{25})$. Thus $|P_5| \mid 125$ and $|P_5| = 25$ or 125 . If $|P_5| = 25$, then $n_5 = 3780/20 \mid |G|$, a contradiction. If $|P_5| = 125$, then $25 \mid m_{25}$, a contradiction.

Case e. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 7\}$. Since $7^3 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $\exp(P_7) = 7$ or 49 . If $\exp(P_7) = 7$, then $|P_7| \mid (1 + m_7)$. Thus $|P_7| = 7$ and $n_7 = 960$. Since $n_7 \mid |G|$ and $5 \notin \pi(G)$, we get a contradiction.

If $\exp(P_7) = 49$, then $|P_7| \mid (1 + m_7 + m_{49})$. Thus $|P_7| = 49$ and $n_7 = 32$. By Sylow's theorem, we get a contradiction.

Case f. Let $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7\}$. Since $35 \notin \pi_e(G)$, the group P_7 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 5, and so $|P_7| \mid m_5 = 1344$, which implies that $|P_7| = 7$. Similarly, we can conclude that $|P_5| = 5$. We have $m_{21} = \phi(21) \cdot n_7 \cdot k$, where k is the number of cyclic subgroups of order 3 in $C_G(P_7)$. Since $n_7 = m_7/\phi(7) = 960$, $2 \times 5760 \mid m_{21}$, a contradiction. Hence $21 \notin \pi_e(G)$. Similarly, $10 \notin \pi_e(G)$.

Since $21 \notin \pi_e(G)$, the group P_3 acts fixed point freely on the set of elements of order 7. Then $|P_3| \mid m_7 = 5760$. Thus $|P_3| \mid 9$. Also since $10 \notin \pi_e(G)$, $|P_2| \mid m_5 = 1344$, and so $|P_2| \mid 2^6$. If $|P_3| = 3$, then $|G| = 2^m \times 105$. On the other hand, since $|P_2| \mid 2^6$, $m \leq 6$. Since $|G| \leq 20160$, $2^m \times 105 \leq 20160$, a contradiction. Therefore $|P_3| = 9$ and then $|G| = |A_8|$. By [4], since A_8 is a simple K_4 -group, $G \cong A_8$, and the proof is complete.

4 Acknowledgment

The authors is thankful to the referee for carefully reading the paper and for his valuable suggestions. Partial support by the Center of Excellence of Algebraic Hyperstructures and its Applications of Tarbiat Modares University (CEAHA) is gratefully acknowledge by the third author (AI).

References

- [1] A. K. Asboei and A. Iranmanesh, A characterization of Linear group $L_2(p)$, Czechoslovak Math. J (In press).
- [2] A. K. Asboei, S. S. S. Amiri, A. Iranmanesh and A. Tehranian, A characterization of Symmetric group S_r , where r is prime number, *Ann. Math. Inform.*, **40**, 2012, 13-23.

- [3] A. K. Asboei, S. S. S. Amiri, A. Iranmanesh and A. Tehranian, A new characterization of sporadic groups by NSE and order, *J. Algebra Appl*, **12**(2), 2013, 1-3.
- [4] C. G. Shao, W. Shi and Q. H. Jiang, Characterization of simple K_4 -groups, *Front Math China*, **3**, (2008), 355-370.
- [5] G. Frobenius, Verallgemeinerung des sylowschen satze, *Berliner sitz*, (1895), 981-993.
- [6] G. Miller, Addition to a theorem due to Frobenius, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc*, **11**, (1904), 6-7.
- [7] J. H. Conway, R. Curtis, S. Norton and et al, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon, Oxford, 1985.
- [8] M. Khatami, B. Khosravi and Z. Akhlaghi, A new characterization for some linear groups, *Monatsh Math*, **163**, (2011), 39-50.
- [9] R. Shen, C. G. Shao, Q. Jiang, W. Shi and V. Mazurov, A New Characterization of A_5 , *Monatsh Math*, **160**, (2010), 337-341.
- [10] V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro, *Unsolved Problems in group theory: the Kourovka Notebook*, 16 ed, Novosibirsk, Inst. Mat. Sibirsk. Otdel. Akad, 2006.

Alireza Khalili Asboei,
Department of Mathematics,
Science and Research Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
Email: khaliliasbo@yahoo.com

Syyed Sadegh Salehi,
Department of Mathematics,
Science and Research Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
Email: salehissss@yahoo.com

Ali Iranmanesh,
Department of Mathematics,
Tarbiat Modares University
P. O. Box: 14115-137, Tehran, Iran.
Email: iranmanesh@modares.ac.ir

Abolfazl Tehranian,
Department of Mathematics,
Science and Research Branch,
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
Email: tehranian1340@yahoo.com